

Temperley-Lieb quantum channels

Sang-Gyun Youn

jointly with M.Brannan, B.Collins and H.H.Lee

Postdoctoral Fellow, Queen's University

MAQIT 2019, May 23, 2019

- (Claim 1) Representation theory is a new source to produce ‘interesting’ quantum channels
- (Claim 2) The channels have complicated structures!
- (Claim 3) But some important informational quantities are computable.

Definition

- 1 $H =$ a finite dimensional Hilbert space.
- 2 $B(H) =$ the set of all linear maps from H into H .
- 3 Associated to an isometry $V : H_A \rightarrow H_B \otimes H_E$ are the following quantum channels

$$\Phi(\rho) : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_B), \rho \mapsto (id \otimes tr)(V\rho V^*) \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\rho) : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_E), \rho \mapsto (tr \otimes id)(V\rho V^*).$$

Here, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is called the complementary channel of Φ .

Temperley-Lieb Channels 1/3

From the representation theory of $SU(2)$ and O_N^+ , we have a family of isometries

$$V_{k, SU(2)}^{l,m}, V_{k, O_N^+}^{l,m} : H_k \hookrightarrow H_l \otimes H_m,$$

where $l, m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and k is one of $\begin{cases} l + m \\ l + m - 2 \\ \vdots \\ |l - m| \end{cases}$.

For $\mathbb{G} = SU(2)$ or O_N^+ , we define channels

$$\Phi_{k, \mathbb{G}}^{l, \bar{m}} : B(H_k) \rightarrow B(H_l), \rho \mapsto (id \otimes tr)(V_{k, \mathbb{G}}^{l,m} \rho (V_{k, \mathbb{G}}^{l,m})^*) \text{ and}$$

$$\Phi_{k, \mathbb{G}}^{\bar{l}, m} : B(H_k) \rightarrow B(H_m), \rho \mapsto (tr \otimes id)(V_{k, \mathbb{G}}^{l,m} \rho (V_{k, \mathbb{G}}^{l,m})^*).$$

Note that the channels above are **complementary** to each other.

Temperley-Lieb Channels 2/3

Let $G = SU(2)$. Then $H_l = \mathbb{C}^{l+1}$ and $\Phi_{k, SU(2)}^{l, \bar{m}} : B(H_k) \rightarrow B(H_l)$.

Example

For $(l, m) = (1, 1)$, possible k is either 0 or 2:

$$\Phi_{0, SU(2)}^{1, \bar{1}} : a \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{2, SU(2)}^{1, \bar{1}} : \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + \frac{a_{22}}{2} & \frac{a_{12} + a_{23}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{a_{21} + a_{32}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{a_{22}}{2} + a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Temperley-Lieb Channels 3/3

Example

For $(l, m) = (3, 2)$, possible k is one of 1, 3 or 5:

$$\Phi_{1, SU(2)}^{3, \bar{2}} : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{2} & \frac{b}{\sqrt{12}} & & \\ \frac{c}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{a}{3} + \frac{d}{6} & \frac{b}{3} & \\ & \frac{c}{3} & \frac{a}{6} + \frac{d}{3} & \frac{b}{\sqrt{12}} \\ & & \frac{c}{\sqrt{12}} & \frac{d}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{3, SU(2)}^{3, \bar{2}} : M_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\Phi_{5, SU(2)}^{3, \bar{2}} : M_6(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_4(\mathbb{C}).$$

G-covariant quantum channels 1/3

Example

Suppose that a q.channel $\Phi : M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ satisfies

$$\Phi(U\rho U^*) = U\Phi(\rho)U^* \quad \forall U \in SU(2).$$

Then Φ is a convex combination of $\Phi_1^{1,\bar{0}}$ and $\Phi_1^{1,\bar{2}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{1,SU(2)}^{1,\bar{0}} : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \\ \Phi_{1,SU(2)}^{1,\bar{2}} : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a+2d}{3} & -\frac{b}{3} \\ -\frac{c}{3} & \frac{2a+d}{3} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to consider all dynamical transformations

$$\rho \mapsto \pi(x)\rho\pi(x)^{-1}$$

arising from **irreducible unitary representations** $\pi!$

G-covariant quantum channels 2/3

Definition

- 1 A **unitary representation** is a continuous ft $\pi : G \rightarrow B(H)$ s.t.
 - 1 $\pi(x)$ is unitary $\forall x$ and
 - 2 $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y) \forall x, y$.
- 2 A unitary rep. $\pi : G \rightarrow B(H)$ is called **irreducible** if there do not exist other two reps π_1, π_2 s.t.

$$\pi(x) \cong \begin{bmatrix} \pi_1(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_2(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

G-covariant quantum channels 3/3

Definition

Let $\pi_A : G \rightarrow B(H_A)$ and $\pi_B : G \rightarrow B(H_B)$ be irr. unitary reps. Then a quantum channel $\Phi : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_B)$ is called **G-irreducibly covariant** w.r.t. (π_A, π_B) if

$$\Phi(\pi_A(x)\rho\pi_A(x^{-1})) = \pi_B(x)\Phi(\rho)\pi_B(x^{-1}) \quad \forall x \in G.$$

Remark

- The channels $\Phi_{k, SU(2)}^{l, \bar{m}}$ and $\Phi_{k, SU(2)}^{\bar{l}, m}$ are **SU(2)-irreducibly covariant**.
- Within the framework of compact quantum groups \mathbb{G} , the notion of **G-covariance** can be defined naturally. Moreover, the channels

$\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}}$ and $\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m}$ are **O_N^+ – irreducibly covariant**.

Advantages from G -covariance

Suppose that $\Phi : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_B)$ is irreducibly G -covariant.

- Various averaging techniques, e.g. bi-stochastic property!

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\dim(H_A)}\text{Id}_A\right) &= \Phi\left(\int_G \pi_A(x)\rho\pi_A(x^{-1})dx\right) \\ &= \int_G \pi_B(x)\Phi(\rho)\pi_B(x^{-1})dx = \frac{1}{\dim(H_B)}\text{Id}_B.\end{aligned}$$

- $C_\chi(\Phi) = \log(\dim(H_B)) - H_{\min}(\Phi)$. Moreover, any minimizer of H_{\min} gives an explicit optimizer of C_χ .
- If Φ is degradable, then $Q(\Phi)$ is attained at $\frac{1}{\dim(H_A)}\text{Id}_{H_A}$.

We are going to talk about the following properties for Temperley-Lieb channels $\Phi_{k,\mathbb{G}}^{l,\bar{m}}$ and $\Phi_{k,\mathbb{G}}^{\bar{l},m}$:

- Structural properties
 - ▶ Entanglement-breaking property
 - ▶ Degradability
- Quantitative properties
 - ▶ Minimum output entropy
 - ▶ One-shot classical/quantum capacities
- Additivity questions and Future works

Entanglement-breaking property

Theorem (BCLY, submitted)

- 1 The channel $\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{m}}$ is *not entanglement-breaking*
 \Leftrightarrow the channel $\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{m}}$ is *not PPT* $\Leftrightarrow k > m - l$.
- 2 The channel $\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{\bar{l},m}$ is *not entanglement-breaking*
 \Leftrightarrow the channel $\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{\bar{l},m}$ is *not PPT* $\Leftrightarrow k > l - m$.

Example

For $(l, m) = (3, 2)$, we have the following characterization:

$$\Phi_{5,SU(2)}^{3,\bar{2}} \text{ Not PPT}$$

$$\Phi_{5,SU(2)}^{\bar{3},2} \text{ Not PPT}$$

$$\Phi_{3,SU(2)}^{3,\bar{2}} \text{ Not PPT}$$

$$\Phi_{3,SU(2)}^{\bar{3},2} \text{ Not PPT}$$

$$\Phi_{1,SU(2)}^{3,\bar{2}} \text{ Not PPT}$$

$$\Phi_{1,SU(2)}^{\bar{3},2} \text{ Entanglement – breaking!}$$

Entanglement-breaking property

Theorem (BCLY, submitted)

Let $\mathbb{G} = O_N^+$ with $N \geq 3$.

- 1 The channel $\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}}$ is *not entanglement-breaking* if $k > m - l$.
- 2 The channel $\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m}$ is *not entanglement-breaking* if $k > l - m$.

Example

For $(l, m) = (3, 2)$, we have the following characterization:

$\Phi_{5, O_N^+}^{3, \bar{2}}$ *Not PPT* if $N \gg 1$

$\Phi_{5, O_N^+}^{\bar{3}, 2}$ *Not PPT* if $N \gg 1$

$\Phi_{3, O_N^+}^{3, \bar{2}}$ *Not PPT* if $N \gg 1$

$\Phi_{3, O_N^+}^{\bar{3}, 2}$ *Not PPT* if $N \gg 1$

$\Phi_{1, O_N^+}^{3, \bar{2}}$ *Not PPT* if $N \gg 1$

$\Phi_{1, O_N^+}^{\bar{3}, 2}$???

Degradability

Theorem (BCLY, submitted)

Since $\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{m}} = \Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{\bar{m},l}$, we may assume $l \geq m$ and we have the following results:

① $l = m \Rightarrow \Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{l}}$ is always *degradable*.

② If $l > m$,

$\Phi_{l+m,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{m}}$	<i>degradable</i>	$\Phi_{l+m,SU(2)}^{\bar{l},m}$	<i>Not degradable</i>
	\vdots		\vdots
$\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{m}}$??	$\Phi_{k,SU(2)}^{\bar{l},m}$	<i>Not degradable</i>
	\vdots		\vdots
$\Phi_{l-m,SU(2)}^{l,\bar{m}}$	<i>degradable</i>	$\Phi_{l-m,SU(2)}^{\bar{l},m}$	<i>Not degradable.</i>

Degradability

Example

① For $(l, m) = (3, 2)$,

$\Phi_{5, SU(2)}^{3, \bar{2}}$ *degradable*

$\Phi_{5, SU(2)}^{\bar{3}, 2}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{3, SU(2)}^{3, \bar{2}}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{3, SU(2)}^{\bar{3}, 2}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{1, SU(2)}^{3, \bar{2}}$ *degradable*

$\Phi_{1, SU(2)}^{\bar{3}, 2}$ *Not degradable.*

② For $(l, m) = (4, 3)$,

$\Phi_{7, SU(2)}^{4, \bar{3}}$ *degradable*

$\Phi_{7, SU(2)}^{\bar{4}, 3}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{5, SU(2)}^{4, \bar{3}}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{5, SU(2)}^{\bar{4}, 3}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{3, SU(2)}^{4, \bar{3}}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{3, SU(2)}^{\bar{4}, 3}$ *Not degradable*

$\Phi_{1, SU(2)}^{4, \bar{3}}$ *degradable*

$\Phi_{1, SU(2)}^{\bar{4}, 3}$ *Not degradable.*

Degradability

Theorem (BCLY, submitted)

① For $l \geq m$ and sufficiently large $N \gg 1$,

$$\Phi_{l+m, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}} \text{ Not degradable}$$

\vdots

$$\Phi_{l-m+2, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}} \text{ Not degradable}$$

$$\Phi_{l-m, SU(2)}^{l, \bar{m}} ??$$

$$\Phi_{l+m, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m} \text{ Not degradable}$$

\vdots

$$\Phi_{l-m+2, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m} \text{ Not degradable}$$

$$\Phi_{l-m, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m} \text{ Not degradable.}$$

② For $l \leq m$ and sufficiently large $N \gg 1$,

$$\Phi_{l+m, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}} \text{ Not degradable}$$

\vdots

$$\Phi_{m-l+2, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}} \text{ Not degradable}$$

$$\Phi_{m-l, SU(2)}^{l, \bar{m}} \text{ Not degradable}$$

$$\Phi_{l+m, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m} \text{ Not degradable}$$

\vdots

$$\Phi_{m-l+2, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m} \text{ Not degradable}$$

$$\Phi_{m-l, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m} ??.$$

Quantitative aspects of O_N^+ -TL channels?

- Minimum output entropy H_{min}
- One-shot classical/quantum capacity $C_X/Q^{(1)}$

Minimum output entropies of Temperley-Lieb channels

Definition

The **minimum output entropy** of a q.channel $\Phi : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_B)$ is defined by

$$H_{\min}(\Phi) = \min_{\rho: \text{q.states}} H(\Phi(\rho)). \quad (1)$$

Note that $H_{\min}(\Phi) = H_{\min}(\tilde{\Phi})$ for any q.channels.

Theorem

① (BC18)

$$0 \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ H_{\min}(\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}}) - \frac{l + m - k}{2} \log(N) \right\}$$

② (BCLY, submitted)

$$0 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ H_{\min}(\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}}) - \frac{l + m - k}{2} \log(N) \right\}$$

One-shot classical/quantum capacities

Definition

Let $\Phi : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_B)$ be a quantum channel.

- 1 The **Holevo capacity** is defined by

$$C_\chi(\Phi) = \sup_{(\rho_i, p_i)_i} \left\{ H(\Phi(\sum_i p_i \rho_i)) - \sum_i p_i H(\Phi(\rho_i)) \right\},$$

where $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_i p_i = 1$ and ρ_i 's are quantum states.

- 2 The **one-shot quantum capacity** is defined by

$$Q^{(1)}(\Phi) = \sup_{\rho: \text{a state}} \left\{ H(\Phi(\rho)) - H(\tilde{\Phi}(\rho)) \right\}.$$

It is known that $Q^{(1)}(\Phi) \leq C_\chi(\Phi)$ for any channel Φ .

Classical/quantum capacities

Definition

Let $\Phi : B(H_A) \rightarrow B(H_B)$ be a quantum channel. The **classical capacity** and the **quantum capacity** are defined by

$$C(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_\chi(\Phi^{\otimes n})}{n} \text{ and } Q(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^{(1)}(\Phi^{\otimes n})}{n} \text{ resp.}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q^{(1)}(\Phi) & \xrightarrow{\leq} & C_\chi(\Phi) \\ \leq \downarrow & & \downarrow \leq \\ Q(\Phi) & \xrightarrow{\leq} & C(\Phi) \end{array}$$

Coding theorems assert that $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} e^{nC(\Phi)} \text{ codewords} \\ \text{an } e^{nQ(\Phi)} \text{ - dim'l unit sphere} \end{array} \right.$ are asymptotically communicatable through $\Phi^{\otimes n} : B(H_A^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow B(H_B^{\otimes n})$.

Additivity of capacities from structural properties

- $\Phi: \begin{cases} \text{anti-degradable or} \\ \text{entanglement-breaking} \end{cases} \Rightarrow Q(\Phi) = Q^{(1)}(\Phi) = 0.$
- $\Phi: \text{degradable} \Rightarrow Q(\Phi) = Q^{(1)}(\Phi).$
- $\Phi: \text{entanglement-breaking} \Rightarrow C(\Phi) = C_{\chi}(\Phi).$

One-shot capacities of Temperley-Lieb channels

Theorem (BCLY, submitted)

For Temperley-Lieb channels of O_N^+ , we have

$$(1) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ Q^{(1)}(\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}}) - \frac{l+k-m}{2} \log(N) \right\} \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ C_X(\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{l, \bar{m}}) - \frac{l+k-m}{2} \log(N) \right\} = 0.$$

$$(2) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ Q^{(1)}(\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m}) - \frac{m+k-l}{2} \log(N) \right\} \\ = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ C_X(\Phi_{k, O_N^+}^{\bar{l}, m}) - \frac{m+k-l}{2} \log(N) \right\} = 0.$$

Note that

$$0 = Q(\Phi_{l+m, SU(2)}^{\bar{l}, m}) < C(\Phi_{l+m, SU(2)}^{\bar{l}, m}) = \log(m+1) \text{ if } l \geq m.$$

Additivity questions on Temperley-Lieb channels

Question (in progress)

For any (l_j, m_j, k_j) ($1 \leq j \leq t$),

$$Q1. \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ H_{min} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^t \Phi_{k_j}^{l_j, \overline{m_j}} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^t H_{min}(\Phi_{k_j}^{l_j, \overline{m_j}}) \right\} = 0?$$

$$Q2. \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ C_{\chi} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^t \Phi_{k_j}^{l_j, \overline{m_j}} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^t C_{\chi}(\Phi_{k_j}^{l_j, \overline{m_j}}) \right\} = 0?$$

$$Q3. \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ Q^{(1)} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^t \Phi_{k_j}^{l_j, \overline{m_j}} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^t Q^{(1)}(\Phi_{k_j}^{l_j, \overline{m_j}}) \right\} = 0?$$

Future works

- Entanglement-assisted classical capacity
- Entanglement of formation of Choi matrices
- Completely bounded minimum output entropies

Thank you for your attention.