

The Partial Transpose and its Relation to Freeness

Jamie Mingo (Queen's)

joint work with M. Popa and O. Arizmendi, arXiv:1706.06711



May 20, MAQIT 2019, SNU, Korea

inspiration: Aubrun (2012), Banica - Nechita (2013)

- ▶ G_1, \dots, G_{d_1} are $d_2 \times p$ random matrices where $G_i = (g_{jk}^{(i)})_{jk}$ and $g_{jk}^{(i)}$ are complex Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables
- ▶ the random variables $\{g_{jk}^{(i)}\}_{i,j,k}$ are independent.

$$\text{▶ } W = \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ \vdots \\ G_{d_1} \end{pmatrix} \left(G_1^* \mid \cdots \mid G_{d_1}^* \right) = \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} (G_i G_j^*)_{ij}$$

is a $d_1 d_2 \times d_1 d_2$ Wishart matrix, $\frac{p}{d_1 d_2} \rightarrow c$, $W \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \text{MP}_c$

- ▶ $W^\top = (d_1 d_2)^{-1} (G_j G_i^*)_{ij}$ partial transpose

[Au] $p, d_1, d_2 \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow W^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \text{semi-circular: centre } c, \text{ radius } c$

[B-N] $d_1 \text{ fixed}, p, d_2 \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow W^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \text{MP}_{\frac{cd_1(d_1+1)}{2}} \boxplus (-\text{MP}_{\frac{cd_1(d_1-1)}{2}})$

free cumulants

- ▶ $W^\top = (d_1 d_2)^{-1} (G_j G_i^*)_{ij}$ partial transpose
- ▶ $W \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} w \in \text{MP}_c, W^\top \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} w^\top$
- ▶ d_1 fixed, $p, d_2 \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow w^\top \in \text{MP}_{\frac{cd_1(d_1+1)}{2}} \boxplus (-\text{MP}_{\frac{cd_1(d_1-1)}{2}})$
- ▶ given $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$, a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , we let $G(z) = \int (z-t)^{-1} d\mu(t)$ be the Cauchy transform of μ and $R(z) = G^{(-1)}(z) - z^{-1} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 z + \kappa_3 z^2 + \dots$
- ▶ $\{\kappa_n\}_n$ are the *free cumulants* of μ
- ▶ if $\mu =$ semi-circle with centre c and radius c , then $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = c$ and $\kappa_n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$
- ▶ if $\mu \in \text{MP}_c$ then $\kappa_n = c$ ($\forall n$)
- ▶ if $\mu \in \text{MP}_{\frac{cd_1(d_1+1)}{2}} \boxplus (-\text{MP}_{\frac{cd_1(d_1-1)}{2}})$ then for odd n , $\kappa_n = cd_1$ and for even n , $\kappa_n = cd_1^2 = cd_1 + cd_1 + \dots + cd_1$
- ▶ (d_1 odd) can we write w^\top as a sum of $(d_1 + 1)/2$ free operators $w^\top = x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_{(d_1-1)/2}$ with $\kappa_n^{(x_0)} = cd_1$ ($\forall n$), and for $i \geq 1$ $\kappa_{2n}^{(x_i)} = 2cd_1$ and $\kappa_{2n-1}^{(x_i)} = 0$?

the answer when $d_1 = 5$

$$w^T = \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & 0 & & & \\ 0 & w_{22} & 0 & & \\ & 0 & w_{33} & 0 & \\ & & 0 & w_{44} & 0 \\ & & & 0 & w_{55} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_{21} & & & w_{51} \\ w_{12} & 0 & w_{32} & & \\ & w_{23} & 0 & w_{43} & \\ & & w_{34} & 0 & w_{54} \\ w_{15} & & & w_{45} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & w_{31} & w_{41} & 0 \\ & 0 & & w_{42} & w_{52} \\ w_{13} & & 0 & & w_{53} \\ w_{34} & w_{24} & & 0 & \\ 0 & w_{15} & w_{35} & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

freeness and the computation of cumulants

- ▶ \mathcal{A} = unital algebra over \mathbf{C} , $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbf{C}$, $\varphi(1) = 1$, (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a *non-commutative probability space*
- ▶ $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, unital subalgebras, are *freely independent* **if** whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi(a_i) = 0$ and $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{j_i}$ with $j_1 \neq j_2 \neq \dots \neq j_n$, we have $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$
- ▶ if \mathcal{A} is a \mathbf{C}^* -algebra and $a = a^* \in \mathcal{A}$, a has a distribution, μ_a , with respect to φ : $\int f(t) \mu_a(t) = \varphi(f(a))$ (*usual functional calculus*); such an element has a Cauchy transform, a R -transform: $R(z) = G^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z) - z^{-1} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 z + \dots$, and free cumulants: $\{\kappa_n^{(a)}\}_n$
- ▶ if a_1 and a_2 are free then $R_{a_1+a_2} = R_{a_1} + R_{a_2}$, i.e.
$$\kappa_n^{(a_1+a_2)} = \kappa_n^{(a_1)} + \kappa_n^{(a_2)}$$
- ▶ conversely: $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathcal{A}$ are free \Leftrightarrow for all $i_1, \dots, i_n \in [s]$ we have $\kappa_n(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_n}) = 0$ unless $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n$
- ▶ given cumulants $\{\kappa_n\}_n$ we set $R(z) = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 z + \kappa_3 z^2 + \dots$ and then $G^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z) = R(z) + z^{-1}$, and from this a, we obtain a density $\rho(t) = -\pi^{-1} \text{Im}(G(t + 0^+ i))$

freeness and the transpose in the regime: $d_1, d_2 \rightarrow \infty$

- ▶ Voiculescu (1991) showed that independence and unitary invariance for two ensembles implies asymptotic freeness
- ▶ work of Emily Redelmeier on real second order freeness made it clear that the transpose plays an important role in freeness
- ▶ Mihai Popa and I (2016) showed that unitarily invariant matrices are asymptotically free from their transposes (& in fact real second order free)
- ▶ **Theorem.** In the regime $d_1, d_2 \rightarrow \infty$, W , W^\top , W^Γ , and $W^{\top\Gamma}$ are asymptotically free
- ▶ **Theorem.** In the regime $d_1, d_2 \rightarrow \infty$, U_N , U_N^\top , U_N^Γ , and $U_N^{\top\Gamma}$ are asymptotically $*$ -free, for a $N \times N$ Haar distributed random unitary matrix U_N

R-diagonal and even operators: Nica-Speicher (1995)

- ▶ in a $*$ -probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) an operator a is *R-diagonal* if $\kappa_n(a^{(\epsilon_1)}, a^{(\epsilon_2)}, \dots, a^{(\epsilon_n)}) = 0$ unless: n is even and $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \dots = -\epsilon_n$, where $a^{(-1)} = a^*$ and $a^{(1)} = a$
- ▶ if x and y are free and semi-circular then $x + iy$ is *R-diagonal*
- ▶ if U is a Haar distributed orthogonal or unitary matrix and $U \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} u$, then u is *R-diagonal*
- ▶ if $x = x^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is free from the matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}_{ij}$ then x_{12} is *R-diagonal*

[THM] if $x = x^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is free from the matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}_{ij}$ then $\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{21} \\ x_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are free

R-cyclic operators: Nica-Shlyakhtenko-Speicher (1999)

- ▶ if (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a $*$ -probability space then $(M_n(\mathcal{A}), \varphi)$ is a $*$ -probability space where $\varphi(x) = n^{-1}\varphi(x_{11} + \dots + x_{nn})$
- ▶ if $x = (x_{ij})_{ij} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is such that

$$\kappa_n(x_{i_1 j_1}, x_{i_2 j_2}, x_{i_3 j_3}, \dots, x_{i_n j_n}) = 0$$

unless $j_1 = i_2, j_2 = i_3, \dots, j_n = i_1$, then we say c is *R-cyclic*

- ▶ if $x = M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and x is free from matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}_{ij=1}^n$ then x is *R-cyclic*
- ▶ if $\{X_N\}_N$ is an ensemble of random matrices with $X_N \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} x$ and which is asymptotically free from deterministic matrices and we write $N = n \times d$ with $d \rightarrow \infty$ and n is fixed, then $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is *R-cyclic*

diagonal decompositions

- suppose $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, we shall write $x = y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_{n-1}$, we call this the *diagonal decomposition* of x , where

$$y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & x_{n-1,n} \\ x_{n1} & 0 & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in general

$$y_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & x_{1k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{2,k+1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & x_{n-k+1,n} \\ x_{n-k+2,1} & 0 & & & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{n-k+3,2} & 0 & & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n,k-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$

main theorem

- ▶ let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a $*$ -probability space and $(M_n(\mathcal{A}), \varphi)$ the corresponding matrix algebra
- ▶ let $\mathcal{D}_n \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{A})$ be the subalgebra of diagonal scalar matrices, and let $\tilde{\varphi} : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ be the conditional expectation given by $\tilde{\varphi}(A) = \text{diag}(\varphi(a_{11}), \dots, \varphi(a_{nn}))$
- ▶ suppose $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is R -cyclic, and we write $x^t = y_0 + y_1 + \dots + y_{n-1}$ in its diagonal decomposition
- ▶ then when n is *odd*

$$y_0, \{y_1, y_{n-1}\}, \{y_2, y_{n-2}\}, \dots, \{y_{(n-1)/2}, y_{(n+1)/2}\}$$

are $\tilde{\varphi}$ -free

- ▶ and when n is *even*

$$y_0, \{y_1, y_{n-1}\}, \{y_2, y_{n-2}\}, \dots, \{y_{(n-2)/2}, y_{(n+2)/2}\}, y_{n/2}$$

are $\tilde{\varphi}$ -free

- ▶ if $x = x^*$ then $y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}$ are $\tilde{\varphi}$ - $*$ -free and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} are $\tilde{\varphi}$ - R -diagonal

a word about the proof

- ▶ for $d \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $\text{Im}(d) > 0$, let $\tilde{G}(d) = \tilde{\varphi}((d-x)^{-1}) \in \mathcal{D}_n$
- ▶ from $\tilde{G}^{(-1)}$ we get the \mathcal{D}_n -valued cumulants $\{\tilde{\kappa}_n\}_n$, we shall use these to prove freeness over \mathcal{D}
- ▶ let s be the matrix that cyclically permutes (backwards) the standard basis of \mathbf{C}^n ,

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ suppose $x \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $x = y_0 + \cdots + y_{n-1}$ is its diagonal decomposition. If we let $a_k = y_k s^{-k}$ then a_k is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(x_{1,k+1}, x_{2,k+2}, \dots, x_{d,k})$.

$$x = y_0 + \cdots + y_{n-1} = a_0 s^0 + a_1 s^1 + a_2 s^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} s^{n-1}$$

example: when $n = 3$

$$\blacktriangleright x = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix}, s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} \end{pmatrix}, y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{33} \end{pmatrix}, a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{31} \end{pmatrix}, a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x = y_0 + y_1 + y_2 = a_0s^0 + a_1s^1 + a_2s^2$$

main technical lemmas

- ▶ let $a_{j,k} = s^k a_j s^{-k}$. Then $a_{j,k}$ is the diagonal matrix $a_{j,k} = \text{diag}(x_{j+k+1,k+1}, x_{j+k+2,k+2}, \dots, x_{j+k+d,k+d})$
- ▶ for $i_1, \dots, i_k \in [n]$ and any $d_1, \dots, d_{k-1} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ we let $d_{i,l} = s^{-l} d_i s^l$

[LEM. 1] then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\kappa}_k(a_{i_1} s^{i_1} d_1, \dots, a_{i_{k-1}} s^{i_{k-1}} d_{k-1}, a_{i_k} s^{i_k}) \\ &= \tilde{\kappa}_n(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2, i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n, i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}}) d_{1, i_1} \cdots d_{k-1, i_1 + \dots + i_{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

[LEM. 2] Let $d_1, \dots, d_{k-1} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ then

$$\tilde{\kappa}_k(y_{i_1} d_1, \dots, y_{i_{k-1}} d_{k-1}, y_{i_k}) = 0$$

unless either $i_1 \equiv i_2 \equiv \dots \equiv i_k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ or, n is even and we have $i_l + i_{l+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n-1$.