

Conditions for Separability of Matrices in $M_2 \otimes M_n$

Tsuyoshi Ando
(Hokkaido University)

MAQIT
February 15–19, 2016
Daejeon, Korea

(2) § 1. Introduction.

The tensor product $\mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ is identified with the space $\mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{M}_n)$ of $m \times m$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{M}_n .

Here for $X = [\xi_{jk}]_{j,k} \in \mathbb{M}_m$, $Y \in \mathbb{M}_n$

$$X \otimes Y \sim [\xi_{jk} Y]_{j,k} \in \mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{M}_n).$$

The important fact is that

$$0 \leq X \in \mathbb{M}_m, 0 \leq Y \in \mathbb{M}_n \implies X \otimes Y \in \mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{M}_n)^+.$$

(3) The cone

$$\mathfrak{P}_+ := \left\{ \sum X_k \otimes Y_k; 0 \leq X_k \in \mathbb{M}_m, 0 \leq Y_k \in \mathbb{M}_n \right\},$$

however, **does not** cover the cone $\mathfrak{P}_0 := \mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{M}_n)^+$.

$0 \leq \mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{M}_n)$ is said to be **separable** if it belongs to the cone \mathfrak{P}_+ .

The standard cone \mathfrak{P}_0 is **selfdual** with respect to the duality induced by the inner product:

$$\langle X | Y \rangle := \text{Tr}(X^* Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{M}_n.$$

The **dual** cone of \mathfrak{P}_+ will be denoted by \mathfrak{P}_- .

$$\mathfrak{P}_+ \subset \mathfrak{P}_0 \subset \mathfrak{P}_-.$$

(4) Given selfadjoint $\mathbf{S} = [S_{jk}]_{j,k} \in \mathbb{M}_m(\mathbb{M}_n)$, our problem is to enumerate reasonable conditions on S_{jk} ($j, k = 1, \dots, m$) which guarantee **separability** of \mathbf{S} .

In this talk we restrict ourselves to the simplest case

$$m = 2, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \quad A, B, C \in \mathbb{M}_n.$$

(5) **Notations.** $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a **column** n -vector, so that x^* is **row** n -vector.

(Matrix multiplication)

$$xy^* \in \mathbb{M}_n \quad \text{and} \quad y^*x = \langle y|x \rangle \text{ (inner product)}$$

○ For $x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$)

$$[x_1, \dots, x_m] \cdot [y_1, \dots, y_m]^* = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j y_j^* \in \mathbb{M}_n,$$

$$[y_1, \dots, y_m]^* \cdot [x_1, \dots, x_m] = [\langle y_j|x_k \rangle]_{j,k} \in \mathbb{M}_m.$$

(6) A vector in $\mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a **product** vector if it is of the form $\xi \otimes x$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, that is

$$\xi \otimes x = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 x \\ \xi_2 x \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \xi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Extreme rays** of the convex cone \mathfrak{P}_+ consist of $t(\xi \otimes x)(\xi \otimes x)^*$ ($t \geq 0$), $\exists \xi \in \mathbb{C}^2, x \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- **Extreme rays** of the cone \mathfrak{P}_0 consist of $t \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}^*$ ($t \geq 0$), $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- **Extreme rays** of the cone \mathfrak{P}_- ? ? ?

(7) Recall the **Choi** correspondence between a linear map $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_2 \mapsto \mathbb{M}_n$ and its **Choi matrix** $\mathbf{C}_\Phi \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{M}_n)$

$$\mathbf{C}_\Phi := \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(E_{1,1}) & \Phi(E_{1,2}) \\ \Phi(E_{2,1}) & \Phi(E_{2,2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $E_{j,k}$ ($j, k = 1, 2$) are **matrix units** of \mathbb{M}_2 .

Theorem of Choi:

$$\Phi \text{ **completely positive** } \iff \mathbf{C}_\Phi \in \mathfrak{P}_0.$$

The following is also immediate:

$$\bigcirc \quad \Phi \text{ **positive** } \iff \mathbf{C}_\Phi \in \mathfrak{P}_-.$$

$$(8) \quad \bigcirc \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_0 \quad \iff$$

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$\iff A, C \geq 0, \quad \langle x | Ax \rangle \cdot \langle y | Cy \rangle \geq |\langle x | By \rangle|^2 \quad \forall x, y$$

$$\bigcirc \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_- \quad \iff$$

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \xi x \\ \eta x \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi x \\ \eta x \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}, x$$

$$\iff A, C \geq 0, \quad \langle x | Ax \rangle \cdot \langle x | Cx \rangle \geq |\langle x | Bx \rangle|^2 \quad \forall x.$$

\bigcirc No corresponding formula for \mathfrak{P}_+ !!

(9) $0 \leq \mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{M}_n)$ is said to be **totally separable** if $\exists \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^2, x_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$, such that

$$\langle \xi_j \otimes x_j | \xi_k \otimes x_k \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k$$

and

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{j=1}^N (\xi_j \otimes x_j)(\xi_j \otimes x_j)^* = \sum_{j=1}^N (\xi_j \xi_j^*) \otimes (x_j x_j^*).$$

○ \mathbf{S} is **totally separable** if and only if the eigenspace corresponding to each **positive** eigenvalue admits a **CONS** consisting of product vectors.

○ Totally separable \implies separable.

(10) ○ \mathfrak{P}_0 is **stable** under the functional calculus :

$$f(\mathfrak{P}_0) \subset \mathfrak{P}_0 \quad \forall f(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, \infty).$$

○ Only functions $f(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ for which

$$f(\mathfrak{P}_+) \subset \mathfrak{P}_+$$

are of the form $f(t) = \alpha t$ with $\alpha \geq 0$.

○ **S** **totally separable** \implies

$f(\mathbf{S})$ **totally separable** $\forall f(t) \geq 0$ (with $f(0) = 0$.)

.

(11) § 2. Separability

A **necessary** condition for $0 \leq \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix}$ to be **separable** is **(PPT)** (positive partial transpose)

$$\mathbf{S}^\tau := \begin{bmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad (\text{because } (X \otimes Y)^\tau = X^T \otimes Y.)$$

○ **(Woronowicz)** When $n \leq 3$,
(PPT) \implies **separable**

$$(12) \quad \bigcirc \quad 0 \leq X \in \mathbb{M}_n \quad \implies$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{separable.}$$

$$\bigcirc \quad X \in \mathbb{M}_2, \quad \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m},$$

$$\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{M}_2 \otimes \mathbb{M}_m \quad \text{separable}$$

$$\implies$$

$$\mathbf{S} := (X \otimes \mathbf{Y}) \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot (X \otimes \mathbf{Y})^* \quad \text{separable.}$$

(13) **Proposition 1.** Let $\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_-$.

(i) A, B, B^*, C commuting (normal !)

$\implies \mathbf{S}$ totally separable.

(ii) \mathbf{S} separable \implies

$\exists \tilde{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m}$, normal $N \in \mathbb{M}_m$, $0 \leq \tilde{C} \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that

$$\mathbf{S} = (I_2 \otimes \tilde{A}) \begin{bmatrix} I & N^* \\ N & NN^* \end{bmatrix} (I_2 \otimes \tilde{A})^* + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{C} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(14) **(Proof.)** (i) Use **simultaneous diagonalization** of commuting **normal** matrices, A, B, B^* and C !

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (x_j x_j^*), \quad B = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j (x_j x_j^*), \quad C = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j (x_j x_j^*)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & \xi_j \\ \bar{\xi}_j & \mu_j \end{bmatrix} \otimes (x_j x_j^*).$$

$\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_-$ implies $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & \xi_j \\ \bar{\xi}_j & \mu_j \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$. Use representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & \xi_j \\ \bar{\xi}_j & \mu_j \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_j \boldsymbol{\xi}_j^* + \boldsymbol{\eta}_j \boldsymbol{\eta}_j^* \quad \exists \boldsymbol{\xi}_j, \boldsymbol{\eta}_j \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

(15) **Proof** of (ii).

Use representation for **separable** $\mathbf{S} =$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \xi_j \end{bmatrix} \otimes x_j \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \xi_j \end{bmatrix} \otimes x_j \right)^* + \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_k \end{bmatrix} \otimes y_k \right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_k \end{bmatrix} \otimes y_k \right)^*.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = [x_1, \dots, x_{m_1}] \in \mathbb{M}_{n, m_1}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} |\eta_k|^2 y_k y_k^* \in \mathbb{M}_n$, $\mathbf{N} = \text{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m_1})$.

Then

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix}^* + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(16) **(Positivity)**

$$\bigcirc \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \iff$$

$$A, C \geq 0, B = A^{1/2}WC^{1/2} \quad \exists \|W\| \leq 1.$$

$$\iff \operatorname{ran}(B) \subset \operatorname{ran}(A),$$

$$\ker(B) \supset \ker(C) \quad \text{and} \quad B^*A^{-1}B \leq C.$$

Here A^{-1} is the **generalized inverse** of $A \geq 0$.

$$\ker(A^{-1}) = \ker(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ran}(A^{-1}) = \operatorname{ran}(A)$$

$$A^{-1} \cdot A = \text{projection to } \operatorname{ran}(A).$$

(17) (Positivity) + (PPT)

$$\circ \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \iff$$

$$B = A^{1/2} N A^{1/2} \quad \exists^1 N \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\text{ran}(N) \subset \text{ran}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(N) \supseteq \ker(A)$$

$$A^{1/2} N^* N A^{1/2} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad A^{1/2} N N^* A^{1/2} \leq C.$$

(18) **Theorem 1. (Rank condition 1.)**

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}^\tau = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{S}) = \text{rank}(A) \quad \implies \quad \mathbf{S} \text{ separable.}$$

(19) **(Proof.)** Use the identity

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ B^*A^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C - B^*A^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

to conclude

$$B^*A^{-1}B = A^{1/2}N^*NA^{1/2} = C \geq A^{1/2}NN^*A^{1/2}$$

hence $N^*N \geq NN^*$ and N is **normal**.

Then $\mathbf{S} =$

$$(I_2 \otimes A^{1/2}) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} I & N \\ N^* & N^*N \end{bmatrix} (I_2 \otimes A^{1/2}) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C - B^*A^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

(20)

Theorem 2. (Rank condition 2).

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}^\tau = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{S}) \leq 4 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{rank}(A) \leq 3$$

$$\implies \mathbf{S} \text{ separable.}$$

(21) **(Proof)** Use Theorem 1 if

$$\text{rank}(A) = 4 = \text{rank}(\mathbf{S}).$$

If $\text{rank}(A) \leq 3$,

$$\text{ran}(A^{1/2}NA^{1/2}), \text{ran}(A^{1/2}N^*A^{1/2}) \subset \text{ran}(A)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A^{1/2}NA^{1/2} \\ A^{1/2}N^*A^{1/2} & A^{1/2}N^*NA^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad C \geq A^{1/2}N^*NA^{1/2},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A^{1/2}N^*A^{1/2} \\ A^{1/2}NA^{1/2} & A^{1/2}NN^*A^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad C \geq A^{1/2}NN^*A^{1/2}.$$

Use the following lemma to apply [Woronowicz](#).

(22) **Lemma.**

(Schur complement theorem)

For any subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $0 \leq C \in \mathbb{M}_n$

$$\max\{X; C \geq X \geq 0, \text{ran}(X) \subset \mathcal{M}\}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} - C_{12}C_{22}^{-1}C_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

according to the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$.

(23) **(Proof continued:)** In fact, let

$$C_0 := \max\{X; C \geq X \geq 0, \text{ran}(X) \subset \text{ran}(A)\}.$$

$$\text{Then } \mathbf{S}_0 := \begin{bmatrix} A & A^{1/2} N A^{1/2} \\ A^{1/2} N^* A^{1/2} & C_0 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

the ranges of all entries are contained in $\text{ran}(A)$ of dimension 3 and \mathbf{S}_0 has (PPT).

By Woronowicz, \mathbf{S}_0 is separable and so is

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_0 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C - C_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

(24) **Theorem 3.**
(Three commuting entries)

Let $\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{S}^{\tau} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$.

Some **three** among A, B, B^* and C are mutually commuting

$\implies \mathbf{S}$ **separable.**

(25) **(Proof.)** (i) Let A, B, C be commuting.
 It suffices to prove the fact :

$$C \geq B^*B, \quad C \geq BB^* \quad \text{and} \quad BC = CB$$

$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \text{ separable.}$$

Consider the dilation (**Halmos' method**)

$$\mathbf{N} := \begin{bmatrix} B & (C - BB^*)^{1/2} \\ (C - B^*B)^{1/2} & -B^* \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Because of $BC = CB$, \mathbf{N} is **normal**, and with the canonical imbedding V ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} = (I_2 \otimes V)^* \cdot \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{N}^* & \mathbf{N}^*\mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (I_2 \otimes V).$$

(26) (ii) If A, B, B^* are **commuting**, consider

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & B^*A^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C - B^*A^{-1}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

$A, B, B^*, B^*A^{-1}B$ are **commuting normal** and

$$C - B^*A^{-1}B \geq 0. \quad \square$$

(27)

Corollary. Let $\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$.

$A = C$ (Toeplitz) or $B = B^*$ (Hankel)

$\implies \mathbf{S}$ separable.

(Proof.)

(Toeplitz) \implies we may assume $A = C = I$.

(Hankel) \implies we may assume $A = I, B = B^*$.

(28) ○ (Gurwicz and Barnum)

With respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|\cdot\|_2$

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^*, \quad \|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}\|_2 \leq 1 \\ \implies \mathbf{S} \geq 0 \text{ and separable.}$$

Theorem 4.

Every orthoprojection of co-rank = 1 is separable.

($\because \|\mathbf{P}\|_2 = 1$ for every projection of rank 1.)

(29) § 3. Total Separability

Recall the definition.

$0 \leq \mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{M}_n)$ is said to be **totally separable** if

$\exists \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^2, x_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\langle \xi_j \otimes x_j | \xi_k \otimes x_k \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k.$$

and

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{j=1}^N (\xi_j \otimes x_j)(\xi_j \otimes x_j)^* = \sum_{j=1}^N (\xi_j \xi_j^*) \otimes (x_j x_j^*).$$

(30) \bigcirc $\mathbf{S} \geq 0$ is **totally separable** \iff
the eigenspace of each non-zero eigenvalue admits
a **CONS** of **product** eigenvectors.

\bigcirc $\mathbf{S} \geq 0$ is **totally separable** \implies
 $\text{ran}(\mathbf{S})$ admits a **CONS** of **product** vectors.

\bigcirc For $\mathbf{S} \geq 0$ with $\text{rank}(\mathbf{S}) = 1$
S separable \iff **totally separable**.

\bigcirc For an orthoprojection \mathbf{P}
P separable $\not\iff$ **$\mathbf{P}^\perp := \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$ separable**
(by Theorem 3.)

(31) (Notations)

$\xi \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $e^{i\theta}\xi$ are **identified**. $\xi \equiv e^{i\theta}\xi$.

○ $\forall \xi \exists^1 \xi^\perp$

such that $\|\xi\| = \|\xi^\perp\|$, $\langle \xi | \xi^\perp \rangle = 0$.

In fact, for $\xi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\xi^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\xi}_2 \\ -\bar{\xi}_1 \end{bmatrix}$

○ Since $\langle \xi \otimes x | \zeta \otimes y \rangle = \langle \xi | \zeta \rangle \cdot \langle x | y \rangle$,

$\xi \otimes x \perp \zeta \otimes y \iff \zeta \equiv \xi^\perp \text{ or } x \perp y.$

(32) **Theorem 4.**

Any **ONS** of **product** vectors in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ can be extended to a **CONS** of **product** vectors.

(33) Proof of Theorem 4 is based on the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let P_j, Q_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be orthoprojections in \mathbb{M}_n such that

$$P_j P_k = Q_j Q_k = P_j Q_k = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k.$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m P_j \neq I \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j \neq I$$

$\implies \exists k, \exists \|x\| = 1$ such that

$$P_j x = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad Q_j x = 0 \quad \forall j,$$

or

$$Q_j x = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad P_j x = 0 \quad \forall j.$$

(34)

Lemma 2.

$\left\{ \zeta_j \otimes w_j ; j = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\}$ (with $N < 2n$)

is an **ONS** of **product vectors** in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$,

\implies

\exists unit vectors $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\langle \zeta_j \otimes w_j | \eta \otimes x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

(35) **(Proof)** Rewrite ζ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) as

$$\begin{array}{cc} \overbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_1}^{p_1} & \overbrace{\xi_1^\perp, \dots, \xi_1^\perp}^{q_1} \\ \dots\dots\dots & \\ \overbrace{\xi_m, \dots, \xi_m}^{p_m} & \overbrace{\xi_m^\perp, \dots, \xi_m^\perp}^{q_m} \end{array}$$

where

$$\xi_j \neq \xi_k \text{ and } \xi_j \neq \xi_k^\perp \quad (j \neq k)$$

(and some q_j may be 0.)

(36)

Correspondingly the original **ONS** becomes

$$\begin{array}{cc} \overbrace{\xi_1 \otimes x_{1,1}, \dots, \xi_1 \otimes x_{1,p_1}}^{p_1} & \overbrace{\xi_1^\perp \otimes y_{1,1}, \dots, \xi_1^\perp \otimes y_{1,q_1}}^{q_1} \\ \dots\dots\dots & \\ \overbrace{\xi_m \otimes x_{m,1}, \dots, \xi_m \otimes x_{m,p_m}}^{p_m} & \overbrace{\xi_m^\perp \otimes y_{m,1}, \dots, \xi_m^\perp \otimes y_{m,q_m}}^{q_m} \end{array}$$

(37) Here by definition

$$\langle \xi_j | \xi_k \rangle \neq 0, \quad \langle \xi_j^\perp | \xi_k^\perp \rangle \neq 0, \quad \langle \xi_j | \xi_k^\perp \rangle \neq 0 \quad \forall j \neq k$$

so that

$$\langle x_{j,s} | x_{k,t} \rangle = \delta_{jk} \delta_{st}, \quad \langle y_{j,s} | y_{k,t} \rangle = \delta_{jk} \delta_{st} \quad \forall j, k, s, t$$

$$\text{and} \quad \langle x_{j,s} | y_{k,t} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k, \forall s, t.$$

Let $P_j := \sum_{s=1}^{p_1} x_{j,s} x_{j,s}^*$ and $Q_j := \sum_{s=1}^{q_j} y_{j,s} y_{j,s}^*$.

Then

$$P_j P_k = Q_j Q_k = P_j Q_k = 0 \quad j \neq k,$$

and

$$I \neq \sum_{j=1}^m P_j \quad \text{or} \quad I \neq \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j \quad \text{because} \quad N < 2n.$$

(38) By Lemma 1 $\exists k$, and unit vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$P_j x = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad Q_j x = 0 \quad \forall j \quad (\text{say}).$$

Let $\eta := \xi_k^\perp$. Then

$$\langle \xi_j^\perp \otimes y_{j,t} | \eta \otimes x \rangle = \langle \xi_j^\perp | \eta \rangle \langle y_{j,t} | x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j, \forall t.$$

$$\langle \xi_j \otimes x_{j,t} | \eta \otimes x \rangle = \langle \xi_j | \eta \rangle \langle x_{j,t} | x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k, \forall t.$$

$$\langle \xi_k \otimes x_{k,t} | \eta \otimes x \rangle = \langle \xi_k | \xi_k^\perp \rangle \cdot \langle x_{k,t} | x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall t.$$

Therefore $\langle \zeta_j \otimes w_j | \eta \otimes x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j. \quad \square$

Apply Lemma 2 step by step to get Theorem 4.

(39) **Corollary 1.** $\mathbf{S} \geq 0$ totally separable

\implies

$\text{ran}(\mathbf{S}), \text{ker}(\mathbf{S})$ admits **CONS** of product vectors.

Corollary 2. $\mathbf{I} \geq \mathbf{S} \geq 0$ totally separable

$f(t) \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$ \implies

$f(\mathbf{S})$ totally separable.

In particular, $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}$ is totally separable.

(40) **Theorem 5.**

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{totally separable}$$

$$\implies B \quad \text{normal,}$$

but A, B, C are **not** necessarily commuting.

(41) **(Proof)** When

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^N (\boldsymbol{\xi}_k \boldsymbol{\xi}_k^*) \otimes (x_k x_k^*) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\xi}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{j,1} \\ \xi_{j,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\forall j \neq k$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_j | \boldsymbol{\xi}_k \rangle \cdot \langle x_j | x_k \rangle = (\overline{\xi_{j,1}} \xi_{k,1} + \overline{\xi_{j,2}} \xi_{k,2}) \langle x_j | x_k \rangle = 0$$

that is,

$$\overline{\xi_{j,1}} \xi_{k,1} + \overline{\xi_{j,2}} \xi_{k,2} = 0 \text{ or } \langle x_j | x_k \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k.$$

The commutativity of B and B^* follows from this orthogonality condition. \square

(42) § 4. Back to the dual cone \mathfrak{P}_-

Recall that for the cone \mathfrak{P}_- , dual to \mathfrak{P}_+

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_- \iff$$

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \xi x \\ \eta x \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi x \\ \eta x \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathbb{C}^n$$
$$\iff$$

$$A, C \geq 0, \quad \langle x | Ax \rangle \cdot \langle x | Cx \rangle \geq |\langle x | Bx \rangle|^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

$$(43) \quad \text{Let } \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_-.$$

○ A, B, B^*, C commuting $\implies \mathbf{S}$ separable.

○ $A = C$ (Toeplitz) $\not\implies \mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_0$.

When $A = C = I$,

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B^* & I \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_- \iff$$

$$\max\{|\langle x | Bx \rangle|; \|x\| = 1\} \leq 1,$$

B : numerical contraction.

$$(44) \quad \text{Let } \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_-.$$

$$\bigcirc \quad B = B^* \quad (\text{Hankel}) \not\Rightarrow \mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_0.$$

When $A = I$ & $B = B^*$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & B \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_- \iff C = X^*X, \quad B = \text{Re}(X) \quad \exists X.$$

$$\bigcirc \quad A = C \quad \& \quad B = B^* \quad (\text{Toeplitz} \quad \& \quad \text{Hankel}) \\ \implies \quad A \geq B \geq -A \quad \implies \mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_0,$$

hence **separable**.

(45) **Theorem 6.** Let $\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{P}_-$.

(i) \mathbf{S} is **Toeplitz**, that is, $A = C$

\iff

$$B = 2(A - D)^{1/2} W D^{1/2} \quad \exists A \geq D \geq 0, \|W\| \leq 1.$$

(ii) \mathbf{S} is **Hankel**, that is, $B = B^*$

\iff

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \{ A^{1/2} W C^{1/2} + C^{1/2} W^* A^{1/2} \} \quad \exists \|W\| \leq 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (45) \quad (\mathbf{Proof.}) \quad (i) \quad & \text{When } A = C, \mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_- \iff \\
 & F(e^{it}) := 2A + e^{it}B + e^{-it}B^* \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\
 \iff & \quad (\mathbf{Fejer-Riesz Theorem}) \quad \exists A_1, B_1 \\
 & F(e^{it}) := (A_1 + e^{it}B_1)^*(A_1 + e^{it}B_1) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\
 \iff & \quad 2A = A_1^*A_1 + B_1^*B_1 \quad \text{and} \quad B = A_1^*B_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (ii) \quad & \text{When } B = B^*, \quad \mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_- \iff \\
 & F(t) := A + 2tB + t^2C \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\
 \iff & \quad (\mathbf{Fejer-Riesz Theorem}) \quad \exists A_1, C_1 \\
 & F(t) = (A_1 + tC_1)^*(A_1 + tC_1) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\
 \iff & \quad A = A_1^*A_1, \quad C = C_1^*C_1, \quad 2B = A_1^*C_1 + C_1^*A_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thank you for your attention !