

Hypercontractivity and log Sobolev inequalities for completely bounded norms

Salman Beigi

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)
Tehran, Iran

Joint work with **Christopher King**

J. Math. Phys. 57, 015206 (2016)
arXiv:1509.02610



math.ipm.ac.ir



Outline

- Introduce (classical) Markov semigroups
- Hypercontractivity and log-Sobolev inequalities
- Applications in
 - estimating mixing time
 - local state transformation
- **Quantum** hypercontractivity and log-Sobolev inequalities
- Completely bounded (CB) norm
 - CB-hypercontractivity and CB-log-Sobolev inequalities

Markov semigroups

- (Ω, π) : finite probability space with $\pi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$
- $L^2(\Omega, \pi)$: space of real functions on Ω

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}[f g], \quad \|f\|_2 = \left(\mathbb{E}[f^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Markov semigroups

- (Ω, π) : finite probability space with $\pi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$
- $L^2(\Omega, \pi)$: space of real functions on Ω

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}[f g], \quad \|f\|_2 = \left(\mathbb{E}[f^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- **Markov semigroup:** $P_t : L^2(\Omega, \pi) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \pi), \quad \forall t \geq 0$
 - $P_0 = I$
 - $t \mapsto P_t$ continuous
 - $P_s P_t = P_{s+t}$
 - P_t is stochastic: $P_t 1 = 1, \quad \& \quad f \geq 0 \Rightarrow P_t f \geq 0$

Lindblad operator

$$\mathcal{L} := -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}(P_t - I) = -\left. \frac{d}{dt} P_t \right|_{t=0}$$

Lindblad operator

$$\mathcal{L} := -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}(P_t - I) = -\left. \frac{d}{dt} P_t \right|_{t=0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

Lindblad operator

$$\mathcal{L} := -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}(P_t - I) = -\left. \frac{d}{dt} P_t \right|_{t=0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

- $P_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} \mathbf{1} = 0$

Lindblad operator

$$\mathcal{L} := -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}(P_t - I) = -\left. \frac{d}{dt} P_t \right|_{t=0} \Rightarrow P_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

- $P_t 1 = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} 1 = 0$

Reversible

We assume that \mathcal{L} is **self-adjoint** as an operator acting on $L^2(\Omega, \pi)$.

- Reversibility $\Rightarrow \pi$ is an **invariant** measure: $\pi P_t = \pi$
- Reversibility $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is positive semidefinite

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \langle f, \mathcal{L}g \rangle = \mathbb{E}[f \mathcal{L}g] = \mathbb{E}[g \mathcal{L}f] = -\frac{d}{dt} \langle f, P_t g \rangle \Big|_{t=0}$$

- **Dirichlet form** is positive semidefinite

- $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm for $p \geq 1$: $\|f\|_p = \left(\mathbb{E}[|f|^p]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

p -norm

- $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm for $p \geq 1$: $\|f\|_p = \left(\mathbb{E}[|f|^p]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$
- \hat{p} -norm is the dual of p -norm where $1/\hat{p} + 1/p = 1$

Hölder's inequality: $\mathbb{E}[fg] \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_{\hat{p}}$

p -norm

- $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm for $p \geq 1$: $\|f\|_p = \left(\mathbb{E}[|f|^p]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$
- \hat{p} -norm is the dual of p -norm where $1/\hat{p} + 1/p = 1$

Hölder's inequality: $\mathbb{E}[fg] \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_{\hat{p}}$

- $p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$ is non-decreasing

Hypercontractivity inequalities

- Operator norm:

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} := \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|Af\|_p}{\|f\|_q}$$

Hypercontractivity inequalities

- Operator norm:

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} := \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|Af\|_p}{\|f\|_q}$$

- By the convexity of $x \mapsto x^q$:

$$\|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow q} \leq 1, \quad \forall q \geq 1$$

Hypercontractivity inequalities

- Operator norm:

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} := \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|Af\|_p}{\|f\|_q}$$

- By the convexity of $x \mapsto x^q$: $\|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow q} \leq 1, \quad \forall q \geq 1$
- Do we have $\|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ for some $p > q$?
- An inequality of the above form is called a **hypercontractivity inequality**

Hypercontractivity inequality \Rightarrow Log-Sobolev inequality

Theorem 1

$p(t)$ smooth increasing function with $p(0) = q$. Let $c = (q - 1)/p'(0)$

$$\|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow p(t)} \leq 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ent}(f) \leq c q \hat{q} \mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{q}}, f^{1/q}), \quad \forall f > 0$$

$$\text{Ent}(f) = \mathbb{E}(f \log f) - \mathbb{E}f \log \mathbb{E}f$$

Hypercontractivity inequality \Rightarrow Log-Sobolev inequality

Theorem 1

$p(t)$ smooth increasing function with $p(0) = q$. Let $c = (q - 1)/p'(0)$

$$\|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow p(t)} \leq 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ent}(f) \leq c q \hat{q} \mathcal{E}\left(f^{1/\hat{q}}, f^{1/q}\right), \quad \forall f > 0$$

$$\text{Ent}(f) = \mathbb{E}(f \log f) - \mathbb{E}f \log \mathbb{E}f$$

- Best constant c in LS inequality: α_q
- $\alpha_q = \alpha_{\hat{q}}$

Log-Sobolev inequality \Rightarrow Hypercontractivity inequality

Theorem II

$\rho(t)$ smooth increasing function with $\rho(0) = q$. Let $c(t) = (\rho(t) - 1)/\rho'(t)$.

$$\text{Ent}(f) \leq c(t) \rho(t) \hat{\rho}(t) \mathcal{E}\left(f^{\hat{\rho}(t)}, f^{1/(\rho(t))}\right), \quad \forall f > 0, \forall t$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow \rho(t)} \leq 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

Comparing LS constants

Theorem

For $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$

$$q \hat{q} \mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{q}}, f^{1/q}) \geq p \hat{p} \mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{p}}, f^{1/p})$$

Comparing LS constants

Theorem

For $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$

$$q\hat{q}\mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{q}}, f^{1/q}) \geq p\hat{p}\mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{p}}, f^{1/p})$$

- $q \mapsto \alpha_q$ is non-decreasing on $[1, 2]$
- α_2 is the largest log-Sobolev constant

$$\alpha_2 = \sup_{f>0} \frac{\text{Ent}(f^2)}{4\mathcal{E}(f, f)}$$

Comparing LS constants

Theorem

For $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$

$$q \hat{q} \mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{q}}, f^{1/q}) \geq p \hat{p} \mathcal{E}(f^{1/\hat{p}}, f^{1/p})$$

- $q \mapsto \alpha_q$ is non-decreasing on $[1, 2]$
- α_2 is the largest log-Sobolev constant

$$\alpha_2 = \sup_{f > 0} \frac{\text{Ent}(f^2)}{4\mathcal{E}(f, f)}$$

Corollary

$$\|P_t\|_{q \rightarrow p} \leq 1, \quad \forall p, q \text{ s.t. } \frac{p-1}{q-1} \leq e^{t/\alpha_2}.$$

Tensorization

- (Ω_k, π_k) , and \mathcal{L}_k reversible Lindblad operator

Tensorization

- (Ω_k, π_k) , and \mathcal{L}_k reversible Lindblad operator
- $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$, $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_n$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 + \cdots + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ Lindblad operator for $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$
- $\tilde{P}_t = e^{-t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}$

Tensorization

- (Ω_k, π_k) , and \mathcal{L}_k reversible Lindblad operator
- $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$, $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_n$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 + \cdots + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ Lindblad operator for $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$
- $\tilde{P}_t = e^{-t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}$

Theorem

$$\alpha_q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = \max_k \alpha_q(\mathcal{L}_k)$$

Tensorization

- (Ω_k, π_k) , and \mathcal{L}_k reversible Lindblad operator
- $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$, $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_n$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 + \cdots + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ Lindblad operator for $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$
- $\tilde{P}_t = e^{-t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}$

Theorem

$$\alpha_q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = \max_k \alpha_q(\mathcal{L}_k)$$

Proof:

- Operator norm is multiplicative:

$$\|\tilde{P}_t\|_{q \rightarrow p} = \|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1}\|_{q \rightarrow p} \cdots \|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}\|_{q \rightarrow p}$$

Tensorization

- (Ω_k, π_k) , and \mathcal{L}_k reversible Lindblad operator
- $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$, $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_n$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 + \cdots + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ Lindblad operator for $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$
- $\tilde{P}_t = e^{-t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}$

Theorem

$$\alpha_q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = \max_k \alpha_q(\mathcal{L}_k)$$

Proof:

- Operator norm is multiplicative:

$$\|\tilde{P}_t\|_{q \rightarrow p} = \|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1}\|_{q \rightarrow p} \cdots \|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}\|_{q \rightarrow p}$$

- Classical conditional entropy is a convex combination of entropies & entropy is sub-additivity

Application: bounding the mixing time

Spectral gap

$$\tau_{\text{mix}} := \min\{t : \|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{1}{e}, \forall \mu\}$$

$$\|\rho\|_{\text{TV}} = \sum_x |\rho(x)|$$

Application: bounding the mixing time

Spectral gap

$$\tau_{\text{mix}} := \min\{t : \|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{1}{e}, \forall \mu\}$$

$$\|\rho\|_{\text{TV}} = \sum_x |\rho(x)|$$

$$\|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \left\| \frac{\mu P_t}{\pi} - \mathbf{1} \right\|_2$$

$$= \|P_t f - \mathbb{E}f\|_2$$

$$(f = \mu/\pi)$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda t} \|f - \mathbb{E}f\|_2$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}}$$

$$(\pi_{\min} = \min_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x))$$

Application: bounding the mixing time

Spectral gap

$$\tau_{\text{mix}} := \min\{t : \|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{1}{e}, \forall \mu\}$$

$$\|\rho\|_{\text{TV}} = \sum_x |\rho(x)|$$

$$\|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \left\| \frac{\mu P_t}{\pi} - \mathbf{1} \right\|_2$$

$$= \|P_t f - \mathbb{E}f\|_2$$

$$(f = \mu/\pi)$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda t} \|f - \mathbb{E}f\|_2$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda t} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}}$$

$$(\pi_{\min} = \min_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x))$$

$$\tau_{\text{min}} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}\right)$$

Application: bounding the mixing time

Log-Sobolev constant

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} &\leq 2D(\mu P_t \|\pi) \\ &= 2\text{Ent}(f_t)\end{aligned}$$

(Pinsker's inequality)

$$(f_t = \frac{\mu P_t}{\pi})$$

Application: bounding the mixing time

Log-Sobolev constant

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} &\leq 2D(\mu P_t \|\pi) \\ &= 2\text{Ent}(f_t)\end{aligned}$$

(Pinsker's inequality)

$$(f_t = \frac{\mu P_t}{\pi})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\text{Ent}(f_t) &= -\mathcal{E}(f_t, \log f_t) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\text{Ent}(f_t)\end{aligned}$$

(Log-Sobolev inequality)

Application: bounding the mixing time

Log-Sobolev constant

$$\|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq 2D(\mu P_t \|\pi)$$

(Pinsker's inequality)

$$= 2\text{Ent}(f_t)$$

$$(f_t = \frac{\mu P_t}{\pi})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{Ent}(f_t) = -\mathcal{E}(f_t, \log f_t)$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\text{Ent}(f_t)$$

(Log-Sobolev inequality)

$$\text{Ent}(f_t) \leq e^{-t/\alpha_1}\text{Ent}(f_0) = e^{-t/\alpha_1}D(\mu \|\pi)$$

Application: bounding the mixing time

Log-Sobolev constant

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu P_t - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} &\leq 2D(\mu P_t \|\pi) && \text{(Pinsker's inequality)} \\ &= 2\text{Ent}(f_t) && (f_t = \frac{\mu P_t}{\pi})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\text{Ent}(f_t) &= -\mathcal{E}(f_t, \log f_t) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\text{Ent}(f_t) && \text{(Log-Sobolev inequality)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Ent}(f_t) \leq e^{-t/\alpha_1}\text{Ent}(f_0) = e^{-t/\alpha_1}D(\mu \|\pi)$$

$$\tau_{\min} = O\left(\alpha_1 \log \log \frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}\right) = O\left(\alpha_2 \log \log \frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}\right)$$

Application: bounding the mixing time

Random transposition

- Start with $1, 2, \dots, n$
- In each time step choose random i, j and exchange them
- Using $\tau_{\min} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}\right)$

$$\tau_{\text{mix}} = O(n^2 \log n)$$

- Using $\tau_{\min} = O\left(\alpha_1 \log \log \frac{1}{\pi_{\min}}\right)$

$$\tau_{\text{mix}} = O(n \log n)$$

Application: Non-interactive correlation distillation

- Given two bipartite distributions π_{AB} and μ_{CD}
- Are there n and stochastic maps $T : A^n \rightarrow C$ and $S : B^n \rightarrow D$ s.t.

$$\pi^{\otimes n}(T \otimes S) = \mu?$$

Application: Non-interactive correlation distillation

- Given two bipartite distributions π_{AB} and μ_{CD}
- Are there n and stochastic maps $T : A^n \rightarrow C$ and $S : B^n \rightarrow D$ s.t.

$$\pi^{\otimes n}(T \otimes S) = \mu?$$

Application: Non-interactive correlation distillation

- Given two bipartite distributions π_{AB} and μ_{CD}
- Are there n and stochastic maps $T : A^n \rightarrow C$ and $S : B^n \rightarrow D$ s.t.

$$\pi^{\otimes n}(T \otimes S) = \mu?$$

- Define $U : L^2(\pi_A) \rightarrow L^2(\pi_B)$ by $Uf(b) = \mathbb{E}[f(A)|B = b]$

Application: Non-interactive correlation distillation

- Given two bipartite distributions π_{AB} and μ_{CD}
- Are there n and stochastic maps $T : A^n \rightarrow C$ and $S : B^n \rightarrow D$ s.t.

$$\pi^{\otimes n}(T \otimes S) = \mu?$$

- Define $U : L^2(\pi_A) \rightarrow L^2(\pi_B)$ by $Uf(b) = \mathbb{E}[f(A)|B = b]$
- Define $V : L^2(\mu_C) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_D)$ similarly.

Theorem [Ahlsvede, Gács '76]

If there are $p > q$ such that $\|U\|_{q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ and $\|V\|_{q \rightarrow p} > 1$, then the answer is no.



Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Depolarizing channels form a quantum Markov semigroup:

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := X - \operatorname{tr}X \frac{I}{d}, \quad e^{-t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) = e^{-t}\rho + (1 - e^{-t})\frac{I}{d}$$

Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Depolarizing channels form a quantum Markov semigroup:

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := X - \operatorname{tr}X \frac{I}{d}, \quad e^{-t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) = e^{-t}\rho + (1 - e^{-t})\frac{I}{d}$$

- The theory of is developed by [Olkiewicz & Zegarlinski \(1999\)](#)
- There are several complications due to non-commutativity

Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Depolarizing channels form a quantum Markov semigroup:

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := X - \operatorname{tr}X \frac{I}{d}, \quad e^{-t\mathcal{L}}(\rho) = e^{-t}\rho + (1 - e^{-t})\frac{I}{d}$$

- The theory of is developed by [Olkiewicz & Zegarliński \(1999\)](#)
- There are several complications due to non-commutativity
- Easier when

$$\pi = \text{maximally mixed} = \frac{I}{d}$$

Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Semigroup of maps $\Phi_t : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$

$$\Phi_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

- completely positive
self-adjoint (reversible)
trace preserving & unital ($\pi = I/d$)

Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Semigroup of maps $\Phi_t : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$

$$\Phi_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

- completely positive
self-adjoint (reversible)
trace preserving & unital ($\pi = I/d$)
- Inner product: $\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}(A^\dagger B) = \widehat{\text{tr}}(A^\dagger B)$

($\widehat{\text{tr}}$: normalized trace)

Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Semigroup of maps $\Phi_t : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$

$$\Phi_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

- completely positive
self-adjoint (reversible)
trace preserving & unital ($\pi = I/d$)
- Inner product: $\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}(A^\dagger B) = \widehat{\text{tr}}(A^\dagger B)$
- HC inequalities \Leftrightarrow LS inequalities

($\widehat{\text{tr}}$: normalized trace)

Quantum hypercontractivity & log-Sobolev inequalities

- Semigroup of maps $\Phi_t : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$

$$\Phi_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$$

- completely positive
self-adjoint (reversible)
trace preserving & unital ($\pi = I/d$)
- Inner product: $\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}(A^\dagger B) = \widehat{\text{tr}}(A^\dagger B)$

($\widehat{\text{tr}}$: normalized trace)

- HC inequalities \Leftrightarrow LS inequalities
- $\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_q, \quad \forall q$

Tensorization

- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 + \dots + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ Lindblad operator for $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_t = e^{-t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}$

$$\alpha_q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) \stackrel{?}{=} \max_k \alpha_q(\mathcal{L}_k)$$

- **Tensorization doesn't hold!**

Tensorization

- $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 + \dots + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ Lindblad operator for $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_t = e^{-t\tilde{\mathcal{L}}} = e^{-t\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{-t\mathcal{L}_n}$

$$\alpha_q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) \stackrel{?}{=} \max_k \alpha_q(\mathcal{L}_k)$$

- **Tensorization doesn't hold!**
 - $\|\Phi_t\|_{q \rightarrow p}$ is not multiplicative!
 - Quantum conditional entropy is not a convex combination of entropies!

Completely bounded norm

Theorem [Devetak, Junge, King, Ruskai '06]

CB-norm is multiplicative for CP maps

Completely bounded norm

Theorem [Devetak, Junge, King, Ruskai '06]

CB-norm is multiplicative for CP maps

(t, q) -norm

$$\|X_{RS}\|_{(t,q)} := \sup \inf_{\sigma_R} \|(\sigma_R^{-1/2r} \otimes I_S) X_{RS} (\sigma_R^{-1/2r} \otimes I_S)\|_q$$

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{q}$$

σ_R : positive & $\widehat{\text{tr}}(\sigma) = 1$

sup if $t \geq q$, & inf if $t \leq q$

Completely bounded norm

Theorem [Devetak, Junge, King, Ruskai '06]

CB-norm is multiplicative for CP maps

(t, q) -norm

$$\|X_{RS}\|_{(t,q)} := \sup \inf_{\sigma_R} \|(\sigma_R^{-1/2r} \otimes I_S) X_{RS} (\sigma_R^{-1/2r} \otimes I_S)\|_q$$

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{q}$$

σ_R : positive & $\widehat{\text{tr}}(\sigma) = 1$ sup if $t \geq q$, & inf if $t \leq q$

$$\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} := \sup_R \sup_{X_{RS} > 0} \frac{\|\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \Phi(X_{RS})\|_{(t,p)}}{\|X_{RS}\|_{(t,q)}}$$

Completely bounded norm

Theorem [Devetak, Junge, King, Ruskai '06]

CB-norm is multiplicative for CP maps

(t, q) -norm

$$\|X_{RS}\|_{(t,q)} := \sup \inf_{\sigma_R} \|(\sigma_R^{-1/2r} \otimes I_S) X_{RS} (\sigma_R^{-1/2r} \otimes I_S)\|_q$$

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{q}$$

σ_R : positive & $\widehat{\text{tr}}(\sigma) = 1$ sup if $t \geq q$, & inf if $t \leq q$

$$\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} := \sup_R \sup_{X_{RS} > 0} \frac{\|\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \Phi(X_{RS})\|_{(t,p)}}{\|X_{RS}\|_{(t,q)}}$$

- Choice of t is arbitrary. Usually $t = q$

CB-log-Sobolev inequality

- CB-hypercontractive: $\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ for some $q \leq p$

CB-log-Sobolev inequality

- CB-hypercontractive: $\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ for some $q \leq p$
- CB-log-Sobolev inequality: $\widehat{\text{tr}}$: normalized trace

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{tr}}(X_{RS} \log X_{RS}) - \widehat{\text{tr}}_R \left(\widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \log \widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \right) \\ \leq cq\hat{q} \widehat{\text{tr}} \left(X_{RS}^{1/\hat{q}} (\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \mathcal{L})(X_{RS}^{1/q}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

CB-log-Sobolev inequality

- CB-hypercontractive: $\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ for some $q \leq p$
- CB-log-Sobolev inequality: $(\widehat{\text{tr}}: \text{normalized trace})$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{tr}}(X_{RS} \log X_{RS}) - \widehat{\text{tr}}_R \left(\widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \log \widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \right) \\ \leq cq\hat{q} \widehat{\text{tr}} \left(X_{RS}^{1/\hat{q}} (\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \mathcal{L})(X_{RS}^{1/q}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- [SB, King '15] CB-hypercontractivity \Leftrightarrow CB-log-Sobolev inequality

CB-log-Sobolev inequality

- CB-hypercontractive: $\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ for some $q \leq p$
- CB-log-Sobolev inequality: $\widehat{\text{tr}}$: normalized trace

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{tr}}(X_{RS} \log X_{RS}) - \widehat{\text{tr}}_R \left(\widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \log \widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \right) \\ \leq cq\hat{q} \widehat{\text{tr}} \left(X_{RS}^{1/\hat{q}} (\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \mathcal{L})(X_{RS}^{1/q}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- [SB, King '15] CB-hypercontractivity \Leftrightarrow CB-log-Sobolev inequality
- $\alpha_2^{\text{CB}} \geq \alpha_q^{\text{CB}}, \quad \forall q$

CB-log-Sobolev inequality

- CB-hypercontractive: $\|\Phi\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} \leq 1$ for some $q \leq p$
- CB-log-Sobolev inequality: $\widehat{\text{tr}}$: normalized trace

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{tr}}(X_{RS} \log X_{RS}) - \widehat{\text{tr}}_R \left(\widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \log \widehat{\text{tr}}_S(X_{RS}) \right) \\ \leq cq\hat{q} \widehat{\text{tr}} \left(X_{RS}^{1/\hat{q}} (\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \mathcal{L})(X_{RS}^{1/q}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- [SB, King '15] CB-hypercontractivity \Leftrightarrow CB-log-Sobolev inequality
- $\alpha_2^{\text{CB}} \geq \alpha_q^{\text{CB}}$, $\forall q$
- Tensorization holds!
 - CB-norm is multiplicative on CP maps!
 - CB-log-Sobolev inequality is already in terms of conditional entropy!

Application: bounding the mixing time

- [Kastoryano, Temme '13]: α_1 gives a bound on the mixing time of Φ_t

Application: bounding the mixing time

- [Kastoryano, Temme '13]: α_1 gives a bound on the mixing time of Φ_t
- α_1^{CB} gives a bound on the mixing time of $\Phi_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_t$

Application: local state transformation

- Given ρ_{AB} and σ_{CD}
- Question: Is there n and $\Phi : A^n \rightarrow C$ and $\Psi : B^n \rightarrow D$ such that

$$\Phi \otimes \Psi(\rho_{AB}^{\otimes n}) = \sigma_{CD}?$$

Application: local state transformation

- Given ρ_{AB} and σ_{CD}
- Question: Is there n and $\Phi : A^n \rightarrow C$ and $\Psi : B^n \rightarrow D$ such that

$$\Phi \otimes \Psi(\rho_{AB}^{\otimes n}) = \sigma_{CD}?$$

- If $\exists p, q$ such that

$$\|\Gamma_{\rho_B}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \circ \Lambda_\rho \circ \Gamma_{\rho_A}^{\frac{1}{q}}\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} \leq 1 \quad \& \quad \|\Gamma_{\sigma_B}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \circ \Lambda_\sigma \circ \Gamma_{\sigma_A}^{\frac{1}{q}}\|_{\text{CB}, q \rightarrow p} > 1$$

the answer is **NO!**

- $\Lambda_\rho : A \rightarrow B$ is the map whose Choi matrix is ρ_{AB}
- $\Gamma_M(X) = M^{1/2} X M^{1/2}$
- M^* is the entry-wise complex conjugate of M

[Objectives](#)

[Confirmed Participants](#)

[Press Release](#)

[Meeting Facilities](#)

[Schedule \(PDF\)](#)

[Abstracts \(PDF\)](#)

[Mailing List](#)

[Workshop Videos](#)

[Workshop Files](#)

[Final Report \(PDF\)](#)

[Testimonials](#)

Hypercontractivity and Log Sobolev Inequalities in Quantum Information Theory (15w5098)

Arriving in Banff, Alberta Sunday, February 22 and departing Friday February 27, 2015



Organizers

Patrick Hayden (Stanford University)

Christopher King (Northeastern University)

Ashley Montanaro (University of Bristol)

Mary Beth Ruskai (delocalized)

Conclusion

- CB version of log-Sobolev inequality characterizes CB-hypercontractivity inequalities
- Application: mixing time [Kastoryano & Temme '13]
 - CB-log-Sobolev inequalities can be used to bound mixing times of multipartite systems
- Application: non-interactive correlation simulation
 - Computing the CB-hypercontractivity ribbon [Delgosha, B. '14]
- Open problem: compute the CB-log-Sobolev constant for depolarizing channels
- Open problem: generalize to non-unital channels

For further reading



S. Beigi, C. King

Hypercontractivity and the logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the completely bounded norm

J. Math. Phys. 57, 015206 (2016)



R. Olkiewicz, B. Zegarlinski

Hypercontractivity in noncommutative L_p spaces

J. Funct. Anal. 161(1) 246-285 (1999)



M.J. Kastoryano, K. Temme

Quantum logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and rapid mixing

J. Math. Phys. 54, 052202 (2013)



I. Devetak, M. Junge, C. King, M.B. Ruskai

Multiplicativity of completely bounded p -norms implies a new additivity result

Commun. Math. Phys. 266, 37-63 (2006)



P. Delgosha, S. Beigi

Impossibility of Local State Transformation via Hypercontractivity

Commun. Math. Phys. 332, 449-476 (2014)