

Dynamical maps and memory kernels

Dariusz Chruściński

Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, POLAND

Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Information
NIMS, Daejeon, February 2016



Quantum evolution

$\dim \mathcal{H} = n$; $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ – space of quantum states (density matrices)

$$\Lambda_t : \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\rho_t = \Lambda_t[\rho] ; \quad t \geq 0$$

- Λ_t is completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP)
- $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{1}$

(quantum) dynamical map

Physics likes equations of motions

We look for the linear equation for Λ_t

Basic example — unitary evolution

$$H = H^*$$

$$L[\rho] = -i[H, \rho]$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda_t = L\Lambda_t ; \quad \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{1}$$

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = U_t \rho U_t^* ; \quad U_t = e^{-iHt} ; \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Markovian semigroup

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda_t = L\Lambda_t ; \quad \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{1} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Lambda_t = e^{tL} ; \quad t \geq 0$$

What is the most general L ?

Theorem (GKSL (1976))

$\Lambda_t = e^{tL}$ is CPTP iff

$$L[\rho] = -i[H, \rho] + \left(\Phi[\rho] - \frac{1}{2}\{\Phi^*[\mathbb{1}], \rho\} \right)$$

$$H^* = H \quad ; \quad \Phi - \text{arbitrary CP}$$

Quantum Open Systems

$$S + E \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$$

$$U_t = e^{-iHt}$$

$$t = 0 \rightarrow \rho \otimes \omega$$

$$\rho_t := \Lambda_t[\rho] = \text{Tr}_E \left[U_t \rho \otimes \omega U_t^\dagger \right]$$

Nakajima-Zwanzig equation

$$\rho_t := \Lambda_t[\rho] = \text{Tr}_E \left[U_t \rho \otimes \omega U_t^\dagger \right]$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

One obtains a Markovian semigroup e^{tL} only in the very special regime $K(t) \rightarrow \delta(t)L$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = L \Lambda_t$$

Local vs. non-local

- non-local master equation (Nakajima-Zwanzig equation)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

- local in time master equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = L_t \Lambda_t$$

$$\Lambda_t \longrightarrow L_t = \dot{\Lambda}_t \Lambda_t^{-1}$$

Local vs. non-local

- non-local master equation (Nakajima-Zwanzig equation)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

- local in time master equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = L_t \Lambda_t$$

$$\Lambda_t \longrightarrow L_t = \dot{\Lambda}_t \Lambda_t^{-1}$$

local generator

$$L_t[\rho] = -i[H_t, \rho] + \left(\Phi_t[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Phi_t^*[\mathbb{I}], \rho \} \right)$$

non-local (memory kernel) generator

$$K_t[\rho] = -i[G_t, \rho] + \left(\Psi_t[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Psi_t^*[\mathbb{I}], \rho \} \right)$$

H_t, G_t – hermitian ; Φ_t, Ψ_t – hermiticity-preserving

These structures guarantee that Λ_t is hermiticity- and trace-preserving

What about complete positivity?

What is known:

$$L_t[\rho] = -i[H_t, \rho] + \left(\Phi_t[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Phi_t^*[\mathbb{I}], \rho \} \right)$$

$$\Lambda_t = \mathcal{T} \exp \left(\int_0^t L_\tau d\tau \right)$$

$$\Phi_t \text{ -CP} \implies \Lambda_t \text{ -CPTP}$$

What is known:

$$K_t[\rho] = -i[G_t, \rho] + \left(\Psi_t[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Psi_t^*[\mathbb{I}], \rho \} \right)$$

NO general results were known!

only so-called semi-Markov classical evolution was characterized

I provide sufficient conditions during this talk

Example: qubit dephasing

$$L_t[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}\gamma(t)[\sigma_3\rho\sigma_3 - \rho]$$

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \lambda(t)\rho_{12} \\ \lambda(t)\rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$CP \iff |\lambda(t)| \leq 1$$

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -\gamma(t)\lambda(t) \ ; \ \lambda(0) = 1 \ \longrightarrow \ \lambda(t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \gamma(\tau)d\tau\right)$$

Example: qubit dephasing

$$K_t[\rho] = \frac{1}{2}k(t)[\sigma_3\rho\sigma_3 - \rho]$$

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = - \int_0^t k(t-\tau)\lambda(\tau)d\tau \quad ; \quad \lambda(0) = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \lambda(t) = ???$$

$$\text{Laplace: } \lambda(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \lambda(t) dt$$

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = - \int_0^t k(t-\tau) \lambda(\tau) d\tau ; \quad \lambda(0) = 1$$

$$s\tilde{\lambda}(s) - 1 = -\tilde{k}(s)\tilde{\lambda}(s) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}(s) = \frac{1}{s + \tilde{k}(s)}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}(s) \rightarrow \lambda(t)$$

$$\text{CP} \iff \lambda(t) \in [-1, 1]$$

NO simple condition for $k(t)$

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \lambda(t)\rho_{12} \\ \lambda(t)\rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\gamma(t)$ satisfies $\Gamma(t) := \int_0^t \gamma(\tau) d\tau < \infty$ for finite t

$$\text{time-local} \longrightarrow \lambda(t) = e^{-\Gamma(t)} \geq 0$$

$$\Lambda_t \text{ is CP} \iff \lambda(t) \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\lambda(t) \not\equiv 0 \implies \gamma(t) \text{ is singular}$$

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \lambda(t)\rho_{12} \\ \lambda(t)\rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\gamma(t)$ satisfies $\Gamma(t) := \int_0^t \gamma(\tau) d\tau < \infty$ for finite t

$$\text{time-local} \longrightarrow \lambda(t) = e^{-\Gamma(t)} \geq 0$$

$$\Lambda_t \text{ is CP} \iff \lambda(t) \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\lambda(t) \not\equiv 0 \implies \gamma(t) \text{ is singular}$$

Example: let $\lambda(t) = \cos t$; (non-Markovian evolution – next talk)

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \cos t \\ \rho_{21} \cos t & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma(t) = \tan t \quad (\text{singular})$$

$$k(t) = 1 \quad (t \geq 0) \quad (\text{regular})$$

non-trivial & singular $L_t \iff$ simple & regular K_t

Example: let $\lambda(t) = \cos t$; (non-Markovian evolution – next talk)

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \cos t \\ \rho_{21} \cos t & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma(t) = \tan t \quad (\text{singular})$$

$$k(t) = 1 \quad (t \geq 0) \quad (\text{regular})$$

non-trivial & singular $L_t \iff$ simple & regular K_t

Example: let $\lambda(t) = \cos t$; (non-Markovian evolution – next talk)

$$\Lambda_t[\rho] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \cos t \\ \rho_{21} \cos t & \rho_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma(t) = \tan t \quad (\text{singular})$$

$$k(t) = 1 \quad (t \geq 0) \quad (\text{regular})$$

non-trivial & singular $L_t \iff$ simple & regular K_t



Laplace transform domain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

$$s\tilde{\Lambda}_s - \mathbb{1} = \tilde{K}_s \tilde{\Lambda}_s$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \frac{1}{s - \tilde{K}_s} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Lambda_t = ???$$

$$\text{semigroup} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_s = \frac{1}{s - L} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Lambda_t = e^{tL}$$

- Λ_t CP
- what about $\tilde{\Lambda}_s$?

Laplace transform domain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

$$s\tilde{\Lambda}_s - \mathbb{1} = \tilde{K}_s \tilde{\Lambda}_s$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \frac{1}{s - \tilde{K}_s} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Lambda_t = ???$$

$$\text{semigroup} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_s = \frac{1}{s - L} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Lambda_t = e^{tL}$$

- Λ_t CP
- what about $\tilde{\Lambda}_s$?

Completely monotone functions

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is completely monotone (CM) if

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} f(s) \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Theorem (Bernstein)

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is CM iff it is a Laplace transform of a positive function $g(t) \geq 0$

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} g(t) dt$$

Completely monotone functions

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is completely monotone (CM) if

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} f(s) \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Theorem (Bernstein)

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is CM iff it is a Laplace transform of a positive function $g(t) \geq 0$

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} g(t) dt$$

Completely monotone functions — examples

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t+a}$$

$$f(t) = e^{-at} \ ; \ a > 0$$

If f and g are CM, then $f \cdot g$ – CM

Completely monotone functions — examples

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t+a}$$

$$f(t) = e^{-at} \ ; \ a > 0$$

If f and g are CM, then $f \cdot g$ – CM

“Quantum Bernstein theorem”

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

$$\Lambda_t \text{ — CP}$$

$$s\tilde{\Lambda}_s - \mathbb{1} = \tilde{K}_s \tilde{\Lambda}_s$$

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \tilde{\Lambda}_s \text{ — CP } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ CM-CP}$$

“Quantum Bernstein theorem”

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

$$\Lambda_t \text{ — CP}$$

$$s\tilde{\Lambda}_s - \mathbb{1} = \tilde{K}_s \tilde{\Lambda}_s$$

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \tilde{\Lambda}_s \text{ — CP } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ CM-CP}$$

How to construct a legitimate K_t ?



Markovian semigroup — quantum jumps representation

$$L[\rho] = -i[H, \rho] + \left(B[\rho] - \frac{1}{2}\{B^*[\mathbb{I}], \rho\} \right)$$

$$X = \frac{1}{2}B^*[\mathbb{I}] \geq 0$$

$$L[\rho] = B[\rho] - [i(H\rho - \rho H) + (X\rho + \rho X)]$$

$$L = B - Z$$

$$Z[\rho] = i(C\rho - \rho C^\dagger) \quad ; \quad C = H - \frac{i}{2}X$$

Markovian semigroup — quantum jumps representation

$$L[\rho] = -i[H, \rho] + \left(B[\rho] - \frac{1}{2}\{B^*[\mathbb{I}], \rho\} \right)$$

$$X = \frac{1}{2}B^*[\mathbb{I}] \geq 0$$

$$L[\rho] = B[\rho] - [i(H\rho - \rho H) + (X\rho + \rho X)]$$

$$L = B - Z$$

$$Z[\rho] = i(C\rho - \rho C^\dagger) \quad ; \quad C = H - \frac{i}{2}X$$

$$L = B - Z$$

$$\dot{\Lambda}_t = L\Lambda_t \quad ; \quad \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{1}$$

$$\dot{N}_t = -ZN_t \quad ; \quad N_0 = \mathbb{1} \quad \longrightarrow \quad N_t[\rho] = e^{-iCt} \rho e^{iC^\dagger t}$$

$$\tilde{N}_s = \frac{1}{s + Z} \quad ; \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_s = \frac{1}{s - B + Z}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \tilde{N}_s + \tilde{N}_s B \tilde{\Lambda}_s$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \tilde{N}_s (\mathbb{1} + \tilde{Q}_s + \tilde{Q}_s \tilde{Q}_s + \dots) = \tilde{N}_s \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{Q}_s}$$

$$Q_t := BN_t$$

$$L = B - Z$$

$$\dot{\Lambda}_t = L\Lambda_t \quad ; \quad \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{1}$$

$$\dot{N}_t = -ZN_t \quad ; \quad N_0 = \mathbb{1} \quad \longrightarrow \quad N_t[\rho] = e^{-iCt} \rho e^{iC^\dagger t}$$

$$\tilde{N}_s = \frac{1}{s + Z} \quad ; \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_s = \frac{1}{s - B + Z}$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \tilde{N}_s + \tilde{N}_s B \tilde{\Lambda}_s$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \tilde{N}_s (\mathbb{1} + \tilde{Q}_s + \tilde{Q}_s \tilde{Q}_s + \dots) = \tilde{N}_s \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{Q}_s}$$

$$Q_t := BN_t$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_s = \tilde{N}_s(\mathbb{1} + \tilde{Q}_s + \tilde{Q}_s\tilde{Q}_s + \dots)$$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots)$$

$$N_t, Q_t = BN_t \text{ -CP}$$

quantum jump representation

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_t &= N_t + \int_0^t dt_1 N_{t-t_1} BN_{t_1} + \dots \\ &= N_t + N_t * BN_t + N_t * BN_t * BN_t + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$L \longrightarrow K_t$$

$$L = B - Z \rightarrow \dot{N}_t = -ZN_t ; N_0 = \mathbb{1} \longrightarrow N_t[\rho] = e^{-iCt} \rho e^{iC^\dagger t}$$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots) ; Q_t := BN_t$$

$$K_t = B_t - Z_t \rightarrow \dot{N}_t = - \int_0^t Z_{t-\tau} N_\tau d\tau ; N_0 = \mathbb{1} \longrightarrow N_t - \text{CP}$$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots) ; Q_t := B_t * N_t - \text{CP}$$

$$L \longrightarrow K_t$$

$$L = B - Z \rightarrow \dot{N}_t = -ZN_t ; N_0 = \mathbb{1} \longrightarrow N_t[\rho] = e^{-iCt} \rho e^{iC^\dagger t}$$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots) ; Q_t := BN_t$$

$$K_t = B_t - Z_t \rightarrow \dot{N}_t = - \int_0^t Z_{t-\tau} N_\tau d\tau ; N_0 = \mathbb{1} \longrightarrow N_t - \text{CP}$$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots) ; Q_t := B_t * N_t - \text{CP}$$

We call $\{N_t, Q_t\}$ a legitimate pair iff

- N_t, Q_t are CP, and $N_0 = \mathbb{1}$
- $\text{Tr}[(Q_t + \dot{N}_t)\rho] = 0,$
- $\|\tilde{Q}_s\| \leq 1$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots)$$

$$K_t = B_t - Z_t$$

$$\tilde{Q}_s = \tilde{B}_s \tilde{N}_s \quad ; \quad \tilde{N}_s = \frac{1}{s + \tilde{Z}_s}$$

We call $\{N_t, Q_t\}$ a legitimate pair iff

- N_t, Q_t are CP, and $N_0 = \mathbb{1}$
- $\text{Tr}[(Q_t + \dot{N}_t)\rho] = 0,$
- $\|\tilde{Q}_s\| \leq 1$

$$\Lambda_t = N_t + N_t * (Q_t + Q_t * Q_t + Q_t * Q_t * Q_t + \dots)$$

$$K_t = B_t - Z_t$$

$$\tilde{Q}_s = \tilde{B}_s \tilde{N}_s \quad ; \quad \tilde{N}_s = \frac{1}{s + \tilde{Z}_s}$$

Example:

$$N_t = \left(1 - \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \right) \mathbb{1}$$

$$f(t) \geq 0 ; \int_0^\infty f(\tau) d\tau \leq 1$$

$$Q_t = f(t)B ; \quad B \text{-CPTP} \implies \|\tilde{Q}_s\|_1 = |\tilde{f}(s)| \leq 1$$

$$K_t = k(t)(B - \mathbb{1})$$

$$\tilde{k}(s) = \frac{s\tilde{f}(s)}{1 - \tilde{f}(s)}$$

$$f(t) = \gamma e^{-\gamma t} \longrightarrow \tilde{f}(s) = \frac{\gamma}{s + \gamma} \longrightarrow \tilde{k}(s) = \gamma \longrightarrow k(t) = \gamma \delta(t)$$

Example:

$$N_t = \left(1 - \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \right) \mathbb{1}$$

$$f(t) \geq 0 \ ; \ \int_0^\infty f(\tau) d\tau \leq 1$$

$$Q_t = f(t)B \ ; \ B \text{-CPTP} \implies \|\tilde{Q}_s\|_1 = |\tilde{f}(s)| \leq 1$$

$$K_t = k(t)(B - \mathbb{1})$$

$$\tilde{k}(s) = \frac{s\tilde{f}(s)}{1 - \tilde{f}(s)}$$

$$f(t) = \gamma e^{-\gamma t} \longrightarrow \tilde{f}(s) = \frac{\gamma}{s + \gamma} \longrightarrow \tilde{k}(s) = \gamma \longrightarrow k(t) = \gamma \delta(t)$$

Example:

$$N_t = \left(1 - \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \right) \mathbb{1}$$

$$f(t) \geq 0 ; \int_0^\infty f(\tau) d\tau \leq 1$$

$$Q_t = f(t)B ; \quad B \text{-CPTP} \implies \|\tilde{Q}_s\|_1 = |\tilde{f}(s)| \leq 1$$

$$K_t = k(t)(B - \mathbb{1})$$

$$\tilde{k}(s) = \frac{s\tilde{f}(s)}{1 - \tilde{f}(s)}$$

$$f(t) = \gamma e^{-\gamma t} \longrightarrow \tilde{f}(s) = \frac{\gamma}{s + \gamma} \longrightarrow \tilde{k}(s) = \gamma \longrightarrow k(t) = \gamma \delta(t)$$

Qubit dephasing

$$K_t = k(t)(B - \mathbb{1})$$

$$B[\rho] = \sigma_3 \rho \sigma_3$$

$$\tilde{k}(s) = \frac{s\tilde{f}(s)}{1 - f(s)}$$

$$f(t) \geq 0 \quad ; \quad \int_0^\infty f(\tau) d\tau \leq 1$$

$$K_t = k(t)(B - \mathbb{1})$$

$$B^2 = B \quad \text{-CPTP projector}$$

$$\Lambda_t = \left(1 - \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau\right) \mathbb{1} + \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau B$$

$$0 \leq \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \leq 1$$

but $f(t)$ needs not be positive!

Properties — 1

Convexity

If $\{N_t^{(k)}, Q_t^{(k)}\}$ are legitimate pairs then a convex combination

$$N_t = \sum_k p_k N_t^{(k)} \quad ; \quad Q_t = \sum_k p_k Q_t^{(k)}$$

provide a legitimate pair.

Properties — 2

Reduced pair

Suppose that $\{\mathbf{N}_t, \mathbf{Q}_t\}$ defines a legitimate pair for the evolution in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$. Then for arbitrary state ω in \mathcal{H}_E

$$N_t[\rho] = \text{Tr}_E(\mathbf{N}_t[\rho \otimes \omega]), \quad Q_t[\rho] = \text{Tr}_E(\mathbf{Q}_t[\rho \otimes \omega]),$$

provide a legitimate pair for the reduced evolution in \mathcal{H} .

Properties — 3

Gauge transformations

If $\{N_t, Q_t\}$ is a legitimate pair and \mathcal{F}_t is a dynamical map, then

$$N'_t = \mathcal{F}_t N_t ; \quad Q'_t = \mathcal{F}_t Q_t,$$

provide a legitimate pair as well.

Properties — 4

CP shift

If $\{N_t, Q_t\}$ is a legitimate pair and \mathcal{G}_t is a linear map such that $\int_0^t \mathcal{G}_\tau d\tau$ is CP, then

$$N'_t = N_t + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_\tau d\tau ; \quad Q'_t = Q_t - \mathcal{G}_t,$$

define a legitimate pair provided Q'_t is CP.

$$N_t + \int_0^t Q_\tau d\tau \text{ -CPTP}$$

Properties — 4

CP shift

If $\{N_t, Q_t\}$ is a legitimate pair and \mathcal{G}_t is a linear map such that $\int_0^t \mathcal{G}_\tau d\tau$ is CP, then

$$N'_t = N_t + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}_\tau d\tau ; \quad Q'_t = Q_t - \mathcal{G}_t,$$

define a legitimate pair provided Q'_t is CP.

$$N_t + \int_0^t Q_\tau d\tau \quad \text{-CPTP}$$

New equation — collision models

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t K_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau$$

$$\{N_t, Q_t\}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_t = \int_0^t \mathbb{K}_{t-\tau} \Lambda_\tau d\tau + \frac{d}{dt} N_t$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{K}}_s = s \tilde{N}_s \tilde{Q}_s \tilde{N}_s^{-1}$$

Summary

- I provided a relation between time-local and non-local approaches
- I provided a construction for a family of legitimate kernels in terms of legitimate pairs
- all known examples fit this class
- this class defines a natural generalization of classical semi-Markov evolution
- the necessary condition is still missing
- see the next talk for INTERESTING results on non-Markovian quantum evolution!

References

- D.C. and A. Kossakowski, PRL, **104**, 070406 (2010)
- D.C. and A. Kossakowski, EPL, **97**, 20005 (2012)
- D.C. and A. Kossakowski, PRL, **111**, 050402 (2013)
- D.C. and S. Maniscalco, PRL, **112**, 120404 (2014)
- D.C. and A. Kossakowski, arXiv:1602.01642
- J. Bae and D.C, arXiv:1601.05522

