

**REPRESENTATIONS OF BINARY FORMS
BY QUINARY QUADRATIC FORMS**

MYUNG-HWAN KIM

Department of Mathematics
Seoul National University
Seoul 151-742, Korea
mhkim@math.snu.ac.kr

August 25, 2000
Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

§1. Introduction

(1) As a generalization of the famous four square theorem of Lagrange, Ramanujan and Willerding found all quaternary positive integral quadratic forms that represent all positive integers (1-universal). We discuss on quinary positive integral quadratic forms that represent all positive integral binary quadratic forms (2-universal).

(2) We discuss on almost 2-universal quinary forms and representations of binary forms by the classes in 2-universal genera of quinary positive integral quadratic forms of class number 2.

We adopt lattice theoretic language.

(*) A \mathbb{Z} -lattice L is a finitely generated free \mathbb{Z} -module equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B such that $B(L, L) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

Q is the corresponding quadratic map ($Q(\mathbf{e}) := B(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e})$).

\mathbb{Z} -lattices correspond to classic integral quadratic forms.

L is positive definite or simply positive if $Q(L^\times) > 0$.

We'll assume every \mathbb{Z} -lattice is positive unless \dots .

(*) $V := \mathbb{Q}L = \mathbb{Q} \otimes L$ is the quadratic space spanned by L .

$L_p := \mathbb{Z}_p L = \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes L$ is the localization of L at p .

$V_p := \mathbb{Q}_p V = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes V$ is the localization of V at p .

(*) We write $L \cong (B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j))$ for $L = R\mathbf{e}_1 + R\mathbf{e}_2 + \cdots + R\mathbf{e}_n$, where $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_p .

L is even if $Q(L) \subset 2R$, and odd otherwise, $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 .

$\det L := \det(B(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j))$ is the discriminant of L .

(*) If $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis, we write $\langle Q(\mathbf{e}_1), Q(\mathbf{e}_2), \dots, Q(\mathbf{e}_n) \rangle$ for the lattice; $(Q(\mathbf{e}_1), Q(\mathbf{e}_2), \dots, Q(\mathbf{e}_n))$ for the space.

(*) L represents K ($K \rightarrow L$) if \exists an isometry $\sigma : FK \rightarrow FL$ such that $\sigma(K) \subset L$, where $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{Q}_p according to K, L are \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_p -lattices, respectively.

L is equivalent to K ($L \cong K$) if $\sigma(K) = L$.

(*) For a \mathbb{Z} -lattice L , $cls(L)$ (the class of L) is the set of all \mathbb{Z} -lattices K such that $K \cong L$.

$gen(L)$ (the genus of L) is the set of all \mathbb{Z} -lattices K such that $K_p \cong L_p$ at every p (including ∞).

$K \rightarrow gen(L)$ if $K \rightarrow L'$ for some $L' \in gen(L)$, i.e., L_p represents K_p at every p (including ∞).

The number of inequivalent classes in a genus is finite and is called the class number of L or of $gen(L)$.

(*) A positive \mathbb{Z} -lattice L is k -universal (almost k -universal) if L represents all (all but finitely many, respectively) positive \mathbb{Z} -lattices K of rank k .

§2. 2-universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices

2-1. (1-)universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices

(*) Lagrange's four square theorem (1770): $I_4 = \langle 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ is universal.

(*) Ramanujan (1917) claimed: \exists exactly 55 universal quaternary diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattices up to equivalence.

$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 2 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 1, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 2, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 3, 3 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 2, 3, 3 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 2, 4 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 3, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 4, 4 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 5 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 2, 5 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 2, 5 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 3, 5 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 5 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 2, 4, 5 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 1, 6 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 6 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 2, 6 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 3, 6 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 6 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 4, 6 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 5, 6 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 1, 7 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 7 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 2, 7 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 7 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 2, 4, 7 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 5, 7 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 8 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 8 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 2, 4, 8 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 5, 8 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 9 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 9 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 2, 4, 9 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 5, 9 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 10 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 3, 10 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 2, 4, 10 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 5, 10 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 11 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 4, 11 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 2, 12 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 4, 12 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 1, 2, 13 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 4, 13 \rangle$
$\langle 1, 1, 2, 14 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 4, 14 \rangle$	$\langle 1, 2, 5, 5 \rangle^*$	

Dickson (1927) confirmed Ramanujan's claim except $\langle 1, 2, 5, 5 \rangle$, which fails to represent 15

(*) Willerding (1947) found all 124 universal quaternary non-diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattices up to equivalence.

So, \exists exactly 178 universal quaternary \mathbb{Z} -lattices.

There exists no universal ternary \mathbb{Z} -lattice.

(*) Conway and Schneeberger recently proved so called the 15-Theorem: A \mathbb{Z} -lattice L is universal if and only if L represents 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15.

They listed all 204(?) universal quaternary \mathbb{Z} -lattices.

(*) Mordell's five square theorem (1930): I_5 is 2-universal, i.e., I_5 represents all binary \mathbb{Z} -lattices.

(*) The following questions arise naturally:

(Q1) How many 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices?

Can you determine all of them up to equivalence?

(Q2) An analogy of the 15-Theorem for 2-universality?

(Q3) How about 3-universal, 4-universal, \dots \mathbb{Z} -lattices?

2-2. 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices

(*) We write for convenience

$$[a, b, c] := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad [a, b, c, d, e] \cong \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ b & c & d \\ 0 & d & e \end{pmatrix}.$$

(*) Diagonal case:

Let $L \cong \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \rangle$ be 2-universal
with $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq a_4 \leq a_5$.

$\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ splits $L \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = 1$.

If $a_3 \geq 2$, then $[2, 1, 2] \not\rightarrow L \Rightarrow a_3 = 1$.

If $a_4 \geq 3$, then $[2, 1, 3] \not\rightarrow L \Rightarrow a_4 = 1, 2$.

If $a_5 \geq 4$, then $[2, 1, 4] \not\rightarrow L$ when $a_4 = 1$
and $\langle 3, 3 \rangle \not\rightarrow L$ when $a_4 = 2 \Rightarrow a_4 \leq a_5 \leq 3$.

In summary, only five candidates survive:

$$L \cong \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp \langle a, b \rangle \text{ with} \\ \langle a, b \rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle.$$

(*) Non-diagonal case:

Let L be a 2-universal nondiagonal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattice.

$\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ splits $L \Rightarrow L \cong \langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp L_0$,
 where L_0 is ternary non-diagonal.

(Subcase 1) 1 is represented by L_0 , i.e., $1 \in Q(L_0)$:

$L_0 \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp L_1$, where $L_1 \cong [a, b, c]$ is Minkowski reduced,
 i.e., $2 \leq a \leq c$, $0 < 2b \leq a$.

If $a \geq 3$, then $[2, 1, 3] \not\rightarrow L \Rightarrow a = 2, b = 1$.

$\langle 3, 3 \rangle \rightarrow L \Rightarrow c = 2, 3$.

Therefore:

$$L_0 \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp L_1 \text{ with } L_1 \cong [2, 1, 2], [2, 1, 3].$$

(Subcase 2) 1 is not represented by L_0 , i.e., $1 \notin Q(L_0)$:

Since $[2, 1, 2], \langle 2, 3 \rangle \rightarrow L$,

$$L_0 \cong [2, 1, 2] \perp \langle c \rangle, [2, 1, 2, 1, c] \text{ with } c = 2, 3.$$

(*) A \mathbb{Z} -lattice L is 2-regular if L represents all binary \mathbb{Z} -lattices that are represented by L at every p .

Earnest proved: there are only finitely many 2-regular primitive quaternary \mathbb{Z} -lattices, up to equivalence.

(*) No quaternary \mathbb{Z} -lattice can be 2-universal.

Theorem 1. (Kim-Oh-K) There are exactly eleven quinary 2-universal positive \mathbb{Z} -lattices, up to equivalence. They are:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 2 \rangle \\ &\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 3 \rangle \\ &\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2], \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 3], \\ &\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2], \langle 1, 1, 3 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2], \\ &\langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2, 1, 2], \langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2, 1, 3]. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The five diagonal forms are all 2-universal by local-global principle, i.e., each of them is locally 2-universal at every prime p (including ∞) and has class number 1.

The non-diagonal forms except $\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$ are also 2-universal by local-global principle.

$K := \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$ is locally 2-universal at every p . Although its class number is 2, it is still 2-universal globally. The other class in $\text{gen}(K)$ is the class of $M := \langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 6 \rangle$, which is not 2-universal because $[2, 1, 4] \not\rightarrow M$.

Since $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 6 \rangle$ is a sublattice of both K and M and $\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 3 \rangle$ is 2-universal, both K and M represent all binary lattices $[a, b, c]$ with a, b, c even.

Let $\ell \cong [a, b, c]$ be a Minkowski reduced binary \mathbb{Z} -lattice, i.e., $0 \leq 2b \leq a \leq c$.

If ℓ is not even unimodular over \mathbb{Z}_2 ($\det \ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$), then $\ell \rightarrow K$ over \mathbb{Z} .

If $\det \ell \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\ell \rightarrow K$ over \mathbb{Z} .

(pf) Assume $\sigma : \ell \rightarrow M = I_4 \perp \langle 6 \rangle$ such that $\sigma(\ell) = \mathbb{Z}(x_1 + y_1) + \mathbb{Z}(x_2 + y_2)$, $x_1, x_2 \in I_4$, $y_1, y_2 \in \langle 6 \rangle$. Let $\tilde{\ell} := \mathbb{Z}x_1 + \mathbb{Z}x_2 \subset I_4$. Then $\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \langle 1, 3 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$. So, $\ell \rightarrow \tilde{\ell} \perp \langle 6 \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1, 3 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2] \perp \langle 6 \rangle \subset K$.

We may assume: $Q(\ell) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$, $\det \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

Then $a, c \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ and b is odd.

Define for integers s, α, β

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_s &:= \cong [a, sa + b, s^2a + 2sb + c], \\ \ell(\alpha, \beta) &:= \cong [a - 2\alpha^2, b - 2\alpha\beta, c - 2\beta^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\ell_s \cong \ell = \ell_0$ and that

$$\ell_s(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow N := \langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2] \implies \ell \rightarrow N \perp \langle 2 \rangle = K.$$

Indeed, there exists s, α, β such $\ell_s(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow N$ under the assumption that $\ell \not\rightarrow N$ and that a is large so that $\ell_s(\alpha, \beta)$ is positive. Note that N is of class number 1.

The case when a is not large enough to make $\ell_s(\alpha, \beta)$ positive can be proved by direct computation. \square

2-3. Characterization of 2-universality

Theorem 2. (Kim-Oh-K) A positive \mathbb{Z} -lattice is 2-universal if it represents the following six binary \mathbb{Z} -lattices:

$$\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle, [2, 1, 2], [2, 1, 3], [2, 1, 4].$$

Moreover, this is a minimal set.

PROOF. $L \cong \langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp L_0$.

$$[2, 1, 2] \rightarrow L \Rightarrow L_0 \text{ represents } 1 \text{ or } [2, 1, 2].$$

(Case 1) L_0 represents 1: Then $L \cong \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp L_1$.

$$[2, 1, 3] \rightarrow L \Rightarrow L_1 \text{ represent } 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

$$L_1 \text{ represent } 1 \Rightarrow L \cong \langle 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp L_2.$$

$$[2, 1, 4] \rightarrow L \Rightarrow L_2 \text{ represents } 1, 2 \text{ or } 3.$$

So, L has a sublattice isometric to:

$$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 3 \rangle.$$

$\therefore L$ is 2-universal.

L_1 does not represent 1 $\Rightarrow L_1$ represents 2.

$\langle 3, 3 \rangle \rightarrow L \Rightarrow L$ has a sublattice isometric to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \\ &\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2], \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 3]. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore L$ is 2-universal.

(Case 2) Let L_0 does not represent 1: $[2, 1, 2] \rightarrow L_0$.

$\langle 2, 3 \rangle \rightarrow L \Rightarrow L_0$ represents:

$\langle 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$, $\langle 3 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$, $[2, 1, 2, 1, 2]$, $[2, 1, 2, 1, 3]$.

So L has a sublattice isometric to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2], \quad \langle 1, 1, 3 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2], \\ &\langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2, 1, 2], \quad \langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2, 1, 3]. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore L$ is 2-universal.

(*) For the second assertion, we let S be the set of six binary \mathbb{Z} -lattices listed in the theorem.

One can easily show that:

$\langle 1, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$ represents all of S but $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$

$\langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$ represents all of S but $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$.

$\langle 1, 1, 1, 2 \rangle$ represents all of S but $\langle 3, 3 \rangle$.

$\langle 1, 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ represents all of S but $[2, 1, 2]$.

$\langle 1, 1, 1, 3 \rangle$ represents all of S but $[2, 1, 3]$.

$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ represents all of S but $[2, 1, 4]$. \square

2-4. k -universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices for $k \geq 3$

(*) $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k) = \min \{ \text{rank}(L) \mid L \text{ is } k\text{-universal} \}$.

$u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$ exists for every $k \geq 1$: $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(1) = 4, u_{\mathbb{Z}}(2) = 5, \dots$.

Ko proved (1937): $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k) = k + 3$ for $k = 3, 4, 5$.

(*) For every given positive integer k , there are only finitely many k -universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices of rank $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$.

(proof) Suppose there are infinitely many k -universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices, say L_1, L_2, \dots , of rank $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$.

Define $\mathfrak{L} = \{ \ell \mid \ell \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} L_i \text{ for infinitely many } i \}$, where σ_i is a representation from ℓ to L_i .

Let ℓ be a \mathbb{Z} -lattice of maximal rank in \mathfrak{L} .

Then $k \leq \text{rank}(\ell) < u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$.

We may assume: $\sigma_i(\ell)$ is a primitive sublattice of L_i .

Since $\text{rank}(\ell) < u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$, ℓ cannot be k -universal.

So, there exist a lattice ℓ' of rank k such that

$\ell' \not\rightarrow \ell$ but $\ell' \xrightarrow{\sigma'_i} L_i$ for all i .

ℓ_i : the \mathbb{Z} -sublattice of L_i generated by $\sigma_i(\ell)$ and $\sigma'_i(\ell')$.

Since $\sigma_i(\ell)$ is primitive, $\text{rank}(\ell) < \text{rank}(\ell_i) \leq u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$.

So, ℓ_i has a bounded discriminant and a bounded rank.

Thus, the number of ℓ_i is finite up to equivalence .

But then, we can take $\hat{\ell}$ with $\text{rank}(\hat{\ell}) > \text{rank}(\ell)$

such that $\hat{\ell} \rightarrow L_i$ for infinitely many i . \square

Theorem 3. (Kim-Oh-K)

$$u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k) = \begin{cases} k + 3 & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq 5, \\ 13 & \text{if } k = 6, \\ 15 & \text{if } k = 7, \\ 16 & \text{if } k = 8, \\ 28 & \text{if } k = 9, \\ 30 & \text{if } k = 10. \end{cases}$$

(*) For each k , $1 \leq k \leq 8$, we know all k -universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices of rank $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$, up to equivalence, except for $k = 3, 5$.

For $k = 3$, $I_3 \perp \langle 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$, $I_3 \perp \langle 3 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]$;
and for $k = 5$, $I_6 \perp [2, 1, 2]$ to be determined.

(*) For $k = 8$, there is a unique 8-universal \mathbb{Z} -lattice of rank $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(8) = 16$, up to equivalence, namely

$$I_8 \perp E_8.$$

Furthermore, we have an analogy of the 15-Theorem:

$$L \text{ is 8-universal} \iff L \text{ represents } I_8 \text{ and } E_8.$$

(*) There exists no k -universal diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattices $\forall k \geq 6$.

(*) $u_{\mathbb{Z}}(k)$ seems to grow very quickly.

2-5. Fourier coefficients of theta-series

- (*) Consider the following theta-series of degree k attached to a positive \mathbb{Z} -lattice L of rank $m \geq k$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^k(L, Z) &:= \sum_X \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}({}^t X M X Z)) \\ &= \sum_N r(N, M) \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(N Z))\end{aligned}$$

$Z \in \mathcal{H}_k$, X : all $m \times k$ integer matrices,
 M : the integral symmetric matrix corresponding to L ,
 N : all $k \times k$ semi-positive integral symmetric matrices
 $r(N, M) := \#\{ X \mid {}^t X M X = N \} < \infty$.

- (*) If $k = 1$ and $r(n, M) > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15$, then $r(n, M) > 0$ for all nonnegative integers n .
- (*) If $k = 2$ and $r(N, M) > 0$ for $N = \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$, then $r(N, M) > 0$ for all 2×2 semi-positive integral symmetric matrices N .
- (*) If $k = 8$ and $r(I_8, M), r(E_8, M) > 0$, then $r(N, M) > 0$ for all 8×8 semi-positive integral symmetric N .

§3. Almost 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices

- (*) An almost k -universal \mathbb{Z} -lattice is a \mathbb{Z} -lattice that represents all but finitely many \mathbb{Z} -lattices of rank k .

3-1. Almost (1-)universal quaternary \mathbb{Z} -lattices

- (*) Kloosterman (1926) determined all quadruples (a, b, c, d) of positive integers with $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$ for which $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ are almost universal.

He left the following four \mathbb{Z} -lattices undetermined:
 $\langle 1, 2, 11, 38 \rangle$ $\langle 1, 2, 17, 33 \rangle$ $\langle 1, 2, 19, 22 \rangle$ $\langle 1, 2, 19, 38 \rangle$.

Pall (1946) showed the almost universality for the remaining \mathbb{Z} -lattices above.

Pall and Ross (1946) extended Kloosterman's result to the non-diagonal case.

Halmos (1938) found all 88 quaternary diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattices that represent all positive integers except exactly one (the largest exception is 15)

3-2. Almost 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices

(*) Hwang (1997): In order for a quinary diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattice L to be almost 2-universal, L should be one of the following forms:

$$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, a \rangle \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, a \rangle \langle 1, 1, 1, 3, a \rangle \langle 1, 1, 2, 2, a \rangle, \\ \langle 1, 1, 2, 3, a \rangle \langle 1, 1, 2, 4, a \rangle \langle 1, 1, 2, 5, a \rangle,$$

where a 's are yet to be determined.

\exists only three positive quinary diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattices that represent all but one positive binary \mathbb{Z} -lattice :

$$\langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 2, 2, 3 \rangle$$

whose exceptions are $\langle 3, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, resp.

(*) Oh (2000): There exist only finitely many almost 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices.

Furthermore, he improved Hwang's result: Other than 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices, there are at most nine almost 2-universal quinary diagonal \mathbb{Z} -lattices.

The following six are almost 2-universal:

$$\langle 1, 1, 1, 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 5 \rangle, \\ \langle 1, 1, 2, 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 7 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 2, 2, 5 \rangle.$$

But for each of the following three, almost 2-universality is not known yet:

$$\langle 1, 1, 1, 3, 7 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 2, 3, 5 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 2, 3, 8 \rangle.$$

3-3. Kitaoka's question

(*) In his book, Kitaoka raised a question on representations of binary integral quadratic forms by the following two quinary even integral quadratic forms:

$$f = 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^2 + 2x_5^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 - x_3x_4\right),$$

$$g = 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^2 + 3x_5^2 - x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_1x_5 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_5\right).$$

$f \leftrightarrow L := A_4 \perp \langle 4 \rangle$, $g \leftrightarrow K := D_4(5)$, where

$$D_4(k) = D_4 4k \left[2 \frac{1}{2} \right] \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & k+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These two \mathbb{Z} -lattices are indistinguishable locally at every p but inequivalent globally, i.e., $K \in \text{gen}(L)$, $K \not\cong L$.

$\text{gen}(L) = \text{gen}(K) = \text{cls}(L) \cup \text{cls}(K)$; $\det L = \det K = 20$ is the smallest among genera of quinary (positive) even \mathbb{Z} -lattices with class number > 1

- (*) Since each \mathbb{Z} -lattice above represents all binary even integral quadratic forms locally at every p , the genus is even 2-universal, i.e., $\ell \rightarrow \text{gen}(L)$ for every binary positive even \mathbb{Z} -lattices ℓ .

Hence either $\ell \rightarrow L$ or $\ell \rightarrow K$.

Kitaoka conjectured each of the two \mathbb{Z} -lattices above represents all but finitely many binary even \mathbb{Z} -lattices

- (*) Koo-Oh-K : L represents all but one even \mathbb{Z} -lattice, which is $[4, 2, 4]$; K represents all but three even \mathbb{Z} -lattices, which are $[2, 1, 4]$, $[4, 1, 4]$, $[8, 1, 8]$.

We further found examples of even 2-universal genera of quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices with class number 2, whose classes have no exceptions, finitely many exceptions or infinitely many exceptions.

- (*) From these examples, we may conclude that all kinds of combination is possible concerning representations of binary \mathbb{Z} -lattices by such genera:

Both classes may represent all binary forms;

Both may have finitely many exceptions;

One may represent all while the other has finitely or infinitely many exceptions;

One may have finitely many exceptions while the other has infinitely many.

(*) $[2, 1, 2] \perp \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$ is even 2-universal;

$D_4 \perp \langle 6 \rangle$ has infinitely many exceptions, which are
 $[4, 1, c]$, $[8, 1, 8]$, $[8, 1, 12]$, $[8, 1, 16]$, $[8, 3, 8]$,
 where c is a positive even integer.

(*) $[2, 1, 2, 1, 2] \perp [2, 1, 4]$ is even 2-universal;

$D_4(7)$ has eleven exceptions, which are
 $[2, 1, 4]$, $[4, 1, 4]$, $[4, 1, 6]$, $[6, 3, 8]$, $[8, 1, 8]$, $[8, 1, 16]$
 $[8, 1, 24]$, $[8, 1, 32]$, $[8, 1, 40]$, $[8, 3, 8]$, $[8, 3, 16]$.

(*) Both $A_3 \perp \langle 2, 6 \rangle$ and $A_2 \perp \langle 2, 2, 4 \rangle$ are even 2-universal.

(*) $J \perp \langle 2 \rangle$ has one exception, which is $[2, 1, 2]$;

$D_4 \perp \langle 10 \rangle$ has infinitely many exceptions, which are
 $[16, 1, 16]$, $[16, 3, 16]$, $[16, 1, 20]$, $[12, 1, 36]$, $[12, 1, 40]$
 $[12, 1, 44]$, $[12, 1, 48]$, $[10, 5, 12]$, $[8, 1, c_1]$, $[8, 3, c_1]$
 $[6, 1, 8]$, $[6, 3, 8]$, $[4, 1, c_2]$, $[2, 1, 8]$, $[12, b_1, 12]$
 $[12, b_1, 16]$, $[12, b_1, 20]$, $[12, b_2, 24]$, $[12, b_2, 28]$
 $[12, b_2, 32]$, $[4, 2, 8]$, $[8, 2, 8]$, $[16, 2, 16]$,

where c_1, c_2 are positive even integers with $c_1 \geq 8$
 $b_1 = 1, 3, 5$ and $b_2 = 1, 3$, while J above is defined by

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(*) We know that there are only finitely many almost 2-universal quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices. Observe that this implies that almost all 2-universal genera have the property that all classes in the genera have infinitely many exceptions.

One such example is $gen(\langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 6 \rangle)$, which consists of

$$cls(\langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 6 \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad cls(\langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]).$$

Note that for all nonnegative integers t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 3, 3^{2t+1} \rangle &\not\rightarrow \langle 1, 1, 1, 2, 6 \rangle; \\ \langle 3, 3^{2t} \rangle &\not\rightarrow \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle \perp [2, 1, 2]. \end{aligned}$$

In this vein, although we couldn't find an even 2-universal genus of quinary \mathbb{Z} -lattices of class number 2 such that both classes in the genus have infinitely many exceptions, we believe that there are only finitely many quinary almost even 2-universal \mathbb{Z} -lattices.