

Dynamical rigidity of Ginibre interacting Brownian motions

Hirofumi Osada (Kyushu University)

2014/8/7/Thu at Soul National Univ

Interacting Brownian motions in infinite-dimensions $\mathbf{X} = (X^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are stochastic dynamics in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by ISDE

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq i} \nabla \Psi(X_t^i - X_t^j) dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

Here Ψ is an interaction potential and β is inverse temperature. This ISDE has been studied by Lang, Fritz, and others. So far Ψ is taken to be Ruelle's class potentials. We take Ψ is the 2D Coulomb potential (logarithmic potential):

$$\Psi(x) = -\log |x|.$$

Set up: Ginibre interacting Brownian motions in infinite-dimensions.

Let Ψ be the 2D Coulomb, $d = 2$, and $\beta = 2$. Then

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt \quad (1)$$

$$X_0^i = x_i.$$

We call $\mathbf{X} = (X_t^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the Ginibre interacting Brownian motions (IBMs) in infinite-dimensions.

• • •

Set up: Ginibre interacting Brownian motions in infinite-dimensions.

- This is very complicated SDEs:

$$dX_t^1 = dB_t^1 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq 1, |X_t^1 - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^1 - X_t^j}{|X_t^1 - X_t^j|^2} dt$$

$$dX_t^2 = dB_t^2 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq 2, |X_t^2 - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^2 - X_t^j}{|X_t^2 - X_t^j|^2} dt$$

$$dX_t^3 = dB_t^3 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq 3, |X_t^3 - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^3 - X_t^j}{|X_t^3 - X_t^j|^2} dt$$

$$dX_t^4 = dB_t^4 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq 4, |X_t^4 - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^4 - X_t^j}{|X_t^4 - X_t^j|^2} dt$$

• • •

Set up: Ginibre interacting Brownian motions in infinite-dimensions.

Ginibre interacting Brownian motions:

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt \quad (2)$$

$$X_0^i = x_i.$$

Let μ_{gin} be the Ginibre random point field (RPF).

Let $x = \sum_i \delta_{x_i} \mapsto (x_i)$ be a label.

Thm [O.(PTRF12)] For μ_{gin} -a.s. $x = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$, the ISDE (2) has a weak solution (X, B) whose unlabeled diffusion

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{X_t^i}, \quad X_0 = x = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}. \quad (3)$$

is reversible w.r.t. to the Ginibre RPF μ_{gin} .

Set up: Ginibre interacting Brownian motions in infinite-dimensions.

Ginibre interacting Brownian motions:

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt. \quad (2)$$

Thm [O.-Tanemura, 14] (Existence & Uniqueness of strong sols)
 (1) For μ_{gin} -a.s. x (2) has a strong solution whose unlabeled diffusion

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{X_t^i}, \quad X_0 = x = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}. \quad (4)$$

is reversible w.r.t. to the Ginibre RPF μ_{gin} .

(2) A pathwise uniqueness holds under the absolutely cont cond (AC):

$$\mu_{\text{gin}} \circ X_t^{-1} \prec \mu_{\text{gin}} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (\text{AC})$$

A family of weak solutions whose unlabeled dynamics satisfies (AC) is unique.

- Thm is an application of a general theory of O.-Tanemura.

Two models

Ginibre IBMs

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt \quad (2)$$

Not Ginibre but similar potentials

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^4} dt. \quad (5)$$

- What is the difference of these models?

Set up

Set up :
Ginibre random point field

Set up

Let $S = \mathbb{R}^2$. We regard S as \mathbb{C} .

S : configuration space over S

$$S = \{s = \sum_i \delta_{s_i}; s_i \in S, s(|s| < r) < \infty \ (\forall r \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

- S is a Polish space with the vague topology.
- A prob meas. μ on S is called random point field (point process) on S .
- S is a set of **unlabeled** particles.
- $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the space of **labeled** particles.

Definition of Ginibre RPF

- A symmetric function ρ^n is called the n -correlation function of μ w.r.t. Radon m. m if

$$\int_{A_1^{k_1} \times \dots \times A_m^{k_m}} \rho^n(\mathbf{x}_n) \prod_{i=1}^n m(dx_i) = \int_S \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{s(A_i)!}{(s(A_i) - k_i)!} d\mu$$

for any disjoint $A_i \in \mathcal{B}(S)$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $k_1 + \dots + k_m = n$.

- μ is called the **determinantal RPF** generated by (K, m) if its n -correlation fun. ρ^n is given by

$$\rho^n(\mathbf{x}_n) = \det[K(x_i, x_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

- **Ginibre RPF** $S = \mathbb{C}$. μ_{gin} is generated by (K_{gin}, g)

$$K_{\text{gin}}(x, y) = e^{x\bar{y}} \quad g(dx) = \pi^{-1} e^{-|x|^2} dx$$

- A simulation is as follows:

Basic properties of Ginibre RPF

- μ_{gin} is rotation and translation invariant.
- μ_{gin} has a N -particle approximation μ_{gin}^N , i.e.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\text{gin}}^N = \mu_{\text{gin}} \quad \text{weakly}$$

and μ_{gin}^N is the RPF supported on the N -particles defined by the labeled density m^N

$$m^N(\mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{i < j}^N |x_i - x_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^N g(x_k) d\mathbf{x}_N$$

- μ_{gin}^N is the distribution of the eigen values of non-Hermitian Gaussian random matrices called Ginibre ensemble.

Two intuitive representations of Ginibre RPF

- From the N -particle density

$$m^N(\mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{i < j}^N |x_i - x_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^N g(x_k) \prod_{l=1}^N dx_l,$$

we have the first intuitive representation of Ginibre RPF:

$$\mu_{\text{gin}} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{i < j}^{\infty} |x_i - x_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g(x_k) \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} dx_l. \quad (6)$$

- Taking the translation invariance of μ_{gin} into account, we have the second intuitive representation:

$$\mu_{\text{gin}} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{i < j}^{\infty} |x_i - x_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} dx_k. \quad (7)$$

- I will justify these two informal expressions. This fact is one of geometric rigidities.

Quasi Gibbs measures

Gibbs measures & Quasi-Gibbs measures

Gibbs measure

- Ψ : Ruelle's class interaction potential,

$$S_r = \{|x| \leq r\}, \pi_r(s) = s(\cdot \cap S_r), \pi_r^c(s) = s(\cdot \cap S_r^c)$$

$$\mu_{r,\xi}^m(\cdot) = \mu(\pi_r \in \cdot | s(S_r) = m, \pi_r^c(s) = \pi_r^c(\xi))$$

- μ is called (Φ, Ψ) -Gibbs m. if it satisfies DLR eq:

$$d\mu_{r,\xi}^m = \frac{1}{z_{r,\xi}} e^{-\mathcal{H}_r(s) - \mathcal{W}_{r,\xi}(s)} \prod_{k=1}^m e^{-\Phi(s_k)} ds_k$$

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \sum_{s_i, s_j \in S_r, i < j} \Psi(s_i - s_j), \quad \mathcal{W}_{r,\xi} = \sum_{s_i \in S_r, \xi_j \in S_r^c} \Psi(s_i - \xi_j)$$

- Let $\Psi(x) = -2 \log |x|$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_{r,\xi} = \sum_{s_i \in S_r, \xi_j \in S_r^c} -2 \log |s_i - \xi_j| = \infty$$

Hence, $\mathcal{W}_{r,\xi}$ diverges and DLR does not make sense as it is.

(Φ, Ψ) -Quasi Gibbs measures

Let $\Lambda_r^m = \Lambda_r(\cdot | s(S_r) = m)$, where Λ_r is the Poisson RPF with $1_{S_r} ds$.

(Φ, Ψ) -Gibbs m.

$$d\mu_{r,\xi}^m = \frac{1}{z_{r,\xi}^m} e^{-\mathcal{H}_r - \mathcal{W}_{r,\xi}} d\Lambda_r^m \quad (\text{DLR eq})$$

(Φ, Ψ) -quasi Gibbs m. $\exists c_{r,\xi}^m$

$$c_{r,\xi}^m - 1 e^{-\mathcal{H}_r} d\Lambda_r^m \leq \mu_{r,\xi}^m \leq c_{r,\xi}^m e^{-\mathcal{H}_r} d\Lambda_r^m$$

- If μ is Airy RPF, $\mathcal{W}_{r,\xi}$ and $z_{r,\xi}^m$ diverge. But $e^{-\mathcal{W}_{r,\xi}}/z_{r,\xi}^m$ conv.

$$c_{r,\xi}^m - 1 \leq e^{-\mathcal{W}_{r,\xi}}/z_{r,\xi}^m \leq c_{r,\xi}^m$$

- Quasi-Gibbs is very mild restriction.
- If μ is (Φ, Ψ) -quasi-Gibbs m, then μ is also $(\Phi + f, \Psi)$ -quasi Gibbs m for any loc bdd m'able f .

Thm 1 (O. [AOP13]). Ginibre RPF μ_{gin} is a quasi-Gibbs measure with $\Phi = 0$ and 2D Coulomb potential:

$$\Psi(x) = -2 \log |x|.$$

Namely, there exists a constant $c = c_{r,\xi}^m$ such that

$$\frac{1}{c} e^{\sum_{i<j}^m 2 \log |s_i - s_j|} d\Lambda_r^m \leq \mu_{r,\xi}^m \leq c e^{\sum_{i<j}^m 2 \log |s_i - s_j|} d\Lambda_r^m.$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{c} \prod_{i<j}^m |s_i - s_j|^2 d\Lambda_r^m \leq \mu_{r,\xi}^m \leq c \prod_{i<j}^m |s_i - s_j|^2 d\Lambda_r^m$$

- Sine_β , Airy_β , Bessel_β ($\beta = 1, 2, 4$) are quasi-Gibbs measures.

Unlabeled dynamics

As a corollary of Thm 2 we have

Thm 2 (O. [AOP13]). *The standard bilinear form*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu_{\text{gin}}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \int_S \mathbb{D}[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}] \mu_{\text{gin}}(ds)$$

associated with the Ginibre RPF μ_{gin} is closable on $L^2(S, \mu_{\text{gin}})$, and its closure is a quasi-regular Dirichlet form. Here \mathbb{D} is defined as

$$\mathbb{D}[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}](s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial s_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial s_i} \quad (s = \sum_i \delta_{s_i})$$

Corollary 1. *There exists a unlabeled diffusion $X = \sum_i \delta_{X_t^i}$ associated with the Dirichlet space $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_{\text{gin}}}, \mathcal{D}^{\mu_{\text{gin}}})$ on $L^2(S, \mu_{\text{gin}})$.*

• For given quasi-Gibbs measures with upper semi-continuous potentials with marginal assumptions, the associated bilinear forms are closable and their closures become quasi-regular Dirichlet forms (O. [CMP96, AOP13]). So Corollary follows from Dirichlet form theory.

Log derivatives:

To give a precise correspondence between Coulomb potentials and quasi-Gibbs measures, we introduce the notion of Log derivative.
As application, we solve the ISDEs.

Log derivative of μ

- Let μ_x be the (reduced) Palm m. of μ conditioned at x

$$\mu_x(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot - \delta_x | s(\{x\}) \geq 1)$$

- Let μ^1 be the 1-Campbell measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times S$:

$$\mu^1(A \times B) = \int_A \rho^1(x) \mu_x(B) dx$$

- $d_\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times S, \mu^1)$ is called the **log derivative** of μ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S} \nabla_x f d\mu^1 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S} f d_\mu d\mu^1 \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_o$$

Here ∇_x is the nabla on \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathcal{D}_o = \{\text{bdd, loc smooth fns } f \text{ on } S\}$.

- Very informally

$$d_\mu = \nabla_x \log \mu^1$$

Log derivative of Ginibre RPF

Thm 3 (O. [PTRF12]).

The log derivative $d^{\mu_{\text{gin}}}$ of Ginibre RPF is given by

$$d^{\mu_{\text{gin}}}(x, s) = 2 \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|x - s_i| < r} \frac{x - s_i}{|x - s_i|^2}. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$d^{\mu_{\text{gin}}}(x, s) = -2(x - a) + 2 \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|s_i - a| < r} \frac{x - s_i}{|x - s_i|^2}. \quad (9)$$

*These give **the same** log derivative.*

- This result is a geometric rigidity. In fact, μ_{gin} is supported on the set that these representations define the same functions. These functions are not the same on the whole space.
- This result justifies the both intuitive representations of μ_{gin} .
- Periodic rpf's satisfy the identity of the rhs (but no log derivative).

Consider uncountably many ISDEs $\mathbf{X} = (X_t^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$:

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt, \quad (2)$$

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i - (X_t^i - a)dt + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^j - a| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt. \quad (10)$$

Thm 4 (O.PTRF12, O.-Tanemura 14).

(1) For μ_{gin} -a.s. \mathbf{x} both of (2), (10) have a **unique, strong** solution whose unlabeled diffusion is reversible w.r.t. the Ginibre rpf μ_{gin} .

(2) All SDEs have the **same** strong solutions.

- This result is a dynamical rigidity. The support of μ_{gin} concentrates on the very thin subset in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. There the log derivatives takes the same value. Log derivatives are tangent vectors in infinite dimensions.

We see that Ginibre IBMs satisfies relation for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for all t :

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt \\ &= -(X_t^i - a)dt + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^j - a| < r} \frac{X_t^i - X_t^j}{|X_t^i - X_t^j|^2} dt \end{aligned}$$

finite particle approximation Recall that the density of finite Ginibre is:

$$m^N(\mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{i < j}^N |x_i - x_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^N g(x_k) d\mathbf{x}_N$$

Then the associated SDE is

$$dX_t^{N,i} = dB_t^i - X_t^{N,i} dt + \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{X_t^{N,i} - X_t^{N,j}}{|X_t^{N,i} - X_t^{N,j}|^2} dt.$$

Let $\ell(x) = (x^1, \dots, x^n, \dots)$ be a label. We take $\ell(x)_N = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ as the ini cond.

Thm 5. *The first m component $\mathbf{X}^{N,m} = (X^{N,1}, \dots, X^{N,m})$ converge to that of Ginibre IBMs:*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{X}^{N,m} = \mathbf{X}^m \quad \text{weakly in } C([0, \infty); \mathbb{C})$$

Proof. Application of uniqueness of ISDE and control of tail part of log derivative. □

Idea to solve ISDE

Idea to solve ISDE: From S to $S^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(Step 1) To construct unlabeled diffusion X by Dirichlet forms. To prove μ is quasi-Gibbs.

There exist map from probabilities on S to bilinear forms :

$$\mu \mapsto \mathcal{E}^\mu(f, g) = \int_S \mathbb{D}[f, g] d\mu \quad \text{on } L^2(S, \mu)$$

We can do this with quasi-Gibbs (local density) + marginal ass.
At this step, diffusions are unlabeled.

Then we have unlabeled diffusion

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{X_t^i}.$$

From $C([0, \infty); S)$ to $C([0, \infty); S^{\mathbb{N}})$:

(Step 2) The difficulty to construct $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ -valued diffusion, there is no good measure on $S^{\mathbb{N}}$. Even if Brownian motions, the measure should be $dx^{\mathbb{N}}$. Hence consider m-Campbell measure $\mu^{[m]}$ of μ .

Introduce the countable family of Dirichlet forms:

$$(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[m]}}, L^2(S^m \times S, \mu^{[m]})), \quad \mathbf{X}^{[m]} := (X^{m1}, \dots, X^{m,m}, \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \delta_{X^{m,i}})$$

There is natural coupling associated diffusions. \Rightarrow

$X^{m,i}$ are independent of m. \Rightarrow

From this consistency we can construct the labeled diffusion on $S^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(Step 3) Calculate the logarithmic derivative d^μ . ISDE becomes

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \frac{1}{2}d^\mu(X_t^i, \sum_{j \neq i} \delta_{X_t^j})dt$$

In the case of Ginibre, Sine $_\beta$ (Dyson), Bessel, and Gibbs measures:

$$\beta \nabla \Phi(x) + \beta \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j, |x-s_j| < r} \nabla \Psi(x - s_j)$$

Then we have the ISDE (weak solution):

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i - \frac{\beta}{2} \nabla \Phi(X_t^i) - \frac{\beta}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \neq i, |X_t^i - X_t^j| < r} \nabla \Psi(X_t^i - X_t^j)dt$$

To calculate the logarithmic derivative we use finite particle approximation. In particular, orthogonal polynomials.

The shape of Airy RPF is different.

(Step 4) Introduce the infinitely many finite dimensional SDEs:

Let (B, X) be a weak solution.

We regard X as a part of coefficients of SDEs.

For each m consider SDE of Y^m

$$dY_t^{m,i} = dB_t^m - \frac{\beta}{2} \nabla \Phi(Y_t^{m,i}) \\ - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \nabla \Psi(Y_t^{m,i} - Y_t^{m,j}) dt - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \nabla \Psi(Y_t^{m,i} - X_t^j) dt.$$

These SDE have unique strong solution (under suitable assumptions). Hence

$$Y^m = X^m := (X^1, \dots, X^m)$$

- We solve infinite-many finite-dimensional SDEs with consistency in stead of solving the ISDE.

(Step 5) Y^m is a functional of $(B, (X^{m+1}, \dots,))$.

\Rightarrow If $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Y^m$ exists, then $\sigma[B] \vee \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma[X^m, \dots,]$ -measurable.

\Rightarrow Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Y^m = X$, X is $\sigma[B] \vee \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma[X^m, \dots,]$ -measurable.

\Rightarrow If $\cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma[X^m, \dots,]$ is trivial, then X is a strong solution.

(Step 6) If absolutely continuity condition satisfied, and if μ is tail trivial with a marginal assumption, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{tail}}(C([0, \infty); S^{\mathbb{N}})) := \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma[X^m, \dots,]$$

is trivial.

- Tail triviality of RPF \Rightarrow tail triviality of labeled path space.
- The tail σ -field of the labeled path space w.r.t. the label is a *boundary condition of ISDE*. So if its trivial and unique, then the solution of ISDE is unique.

Tail triviality of μ is not a restriction. Indeed,

Prop 1. *Determinantal RPFs (in continuous spaces) are tail trivial. In particular, Ginibre RPF is tail trivial.*

This result is a generalization of Shirai-Talaghashi, and Russel Lyons for discrete spaces.

Prop 2. *Quasi-Gibbs measures μ have decomposition w.r.t. their tail σ -fields $\mathcal{T}(S)$ such that each components are tail trivial: For μ -a.s. s*

$$\mu(A|\mathcal{T}(S))(s) = 1_A(s) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{T}(S).$$

This is an analogy of the result of Georgii on Gibbs measures on discrete spaces.