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#### Abstract

For a network $G$, we introduce a non-singular symmetric matrix, called a path intersection matrix, that will provide a new method for computing the ratio $k(G) / k(G / a b)$ where $k(G)$ is the tree-number of $G$ and $G / a b$ is obtained from $G \cup a b$ by contracting the new edge $a b$ between two distinct nodes $a$ and $b$. The quantities $k(G) / k(G / a b)$ appear as invariants for various networks such as effective conductance for an electrical network and an ingredient for information centrality for a social network. We will review several examples of networks where path intersection matrices can be applied.
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## 1. Introduction

Tree enumeration in graphs often relies on matrices. For example, the matrix-tree theorem states that every cofactor of the Laplacian matrix $L$ of a finite graph $G$ is the tree number $k(G)$, i.e., the number of spanning trees. The tree numbers, in turn, may provide "combinatorial" interpretations of various network invariants. It is well-known that the effective conductance between two vertices $a$ and $b$ equals $k(G) / k(G / a b)$, where the contraction $G / a b$ is obtained from $G$ by adding a new edge $a b$ between $a$ and $b$, and contracting it. We refer the readers to [2] and [14] for derivations of this formula from the Laplacian matrix $L$ and Kirchhoff's laws.

The purpose of this paper is to present a new matrix called a path intersection matrix $D^{a b}$ for two distinct vertices $a$ and $b$ in a finite graph $G$ that provides a new method for computing the ratio $k(G) / k(G / a b)$. We remark that this ratio also equals the information between two nodes $a$ and $b$ in a network proposed by Stephenson and Zelen [13]. Refer to [7] for a derivation of this fact using the combinatorial Laplacian $L+J$ where $J$ is an all 1's matrix.

[^0]The ingredient for constructing a path intersection matrix $D^{a b}$ for a finite graph $G$ is a minimal collection of paths from $a$ to $b$ whose pairwise differences span the cycle space of $G$. We will discuss motivations and details of this construction in section 4. While one has choices for paths in constructing $D^{a b}$, the main results of the paper will show that the determinant of $D^{a b}$ equals $k(G / a b)$, and that the sum of all entries of its inverse matrix equals $k(G) / k(G / a b)$, which depend only on the vertices $a$ and $b$.

In deriving $k(G) / k(G / a b)$, our method differs from other works in the way $k(G / a b)$ is counted. In the previous works mentioned above, $k(G / a b)$ is realized exactly as the number of spanning trees in the contraction $G / a b$, whereas det $D^{a b}$ computes $k(G / a b)$ as the number of the spanning trees in $G \cup a b$ containing the edge $a b$. In particular, our method does not require edge-contraction, maintaining the original structure of graphs.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews tree enumeration in a graph via a basis of its cycle space. Section 3 introduces a new method for tree enumeration in a contraction of a graph without edge contraction, and demonstrates its advantages through classical examples. Section 4 defines the main object of study, the path intersection matrix of a graph $G$, and suggests the sum of all entries in its inverse matrix as the main invariant for our purpose. Section 5 presents the main result of the paper proving a combinatorial interpretation of the main invariant as the ratio $k(G) / k(G / a b)$, which requires a careful analysis of minors of a path intersection matrix. Section 6 discusses some well-known network invariants involving this quantity.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Spanning trees of a graph

We refer the readers to [3] for basic definitions concerning graphs. In this paper, we assume that a graph $G$ is connected with multiple edges allowed. Also we assume that its vertex set $V(G)$ has $n$ elements, and its edge set $E(G)$ is a multiset, having $m$ elements.

A spanning tree $T$ in a connected graph $G$ is a spanning subgraph which is connected and has no cycle. One can show that every spanning tree has $n-1$ edges, and we call $n-1$ the rank and $c=m-(n-1)$ the corank of $G$. We will denote the collection of all spanning trees in $G$ by $\mathcal{T}(G)$ and we will call the number of spanning trees in $G$ the tree-number of $G$, denoted by $k(G)$.

The deletion-contraction recurrence is an important property for $k(G)$ : if $e \in E(G)$ is not a loop nor an isthmus (refer to [15]),

$$
k(G)=k(G \backslash e)+k(G / e)
$$

where $G \backslash e$ is the subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting $e$ and $G / e$ is obtained by contracting $e$. For our purpose, it is important to note that the number of spanning trees in $G$ containing the given edge e equals the number of spanning trees in $G / e$.

### 2.2. Cycle space and $k(G)$

Let $G$ be a connected graph. We will assume that each edge $e=v v^{\prime}$ of $G$ is assigned an orientation, and $[e]=\left[v v^{\prime}\right]$ denotes the orientation of $e$ that originates from vertex $v$ and
terminates at vertex $v^{\prime}$. Let $C_{1}=C_{1}(G)=\mathbb{Z}^{m}$ be a free abelian group generated by the oriented edges $\{[e] \mid e \in E(G)\}$, and $C_{0}=C_{0}(G)=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ generated by the set $\{[v] \mid v \in V(G)\}$ where $[v]$ denotes the unique oriented vertex for each $v \in V(G)$. An element $x \in C_{1}$ may be represented as a column vector $x=\left(n_{e}\right)_{e \in E(G)}$ or as a formal sum $x=\sum_{e \in E(G)} n_{e}[e]$ with $n_{e} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $e \in E(G)$, depending on the context. The elements of $C_{0}$ will be represented similarly.

The incidence matrix $\partial_{1}=\partial_{1}(G): C_{1} \rightarrow C_{0}$ is defined by $\partial_{1}\left(\left[v v^{\prime}\right]\right)=\left[v^{\prime}\right]-[v]$ for each oriented edge $\left[v v^{\prime}\right] \in C_{1}$. Hence, $\partial_{1}$ is an $n$-by- $m$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively, and its $([v],[e])$-entry equals 1 or -1 if $v$ is the terminal or originating vertex of $e$, respectively, and equals 0 if $v$ is neither. The cycle space of $G$, denoted by $H_{1}(G)$, is the kernel of $\partial_{1}$. It is well-known that the rank of $H_{1}(G)$ as a free abelian group equals the corank $c$ of $G$.

Now, we define $\partial_{2}$ for $G$ to be an $m$-by-c integer matrix, given by

$$
\partial_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
z_{1} & z_{2} & \ldots & z_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the columns $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}$ form a basis for the cycle space $H_{1}(G)$. We may regard $\partial_{2}$ as a map $C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ where $C_{2}=\mathbb{Z}^{c}$ with a standard basis. When $G$ is planar, for example, the $z_{i}$ 's may be given by the boundary cycles of the finite faces of $G$.

Although $\partial_{2}$ depends on the choice of a basis of $H_{1}(G)$, the following proposition shows an important property of $\partial_{2}$ that is independent of that choice. For $S \subset E(G)$, let $\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}$ denote the submatrix of $\partial_{2}$ whose rows are indexed by $S$. Also, let $\bar{S}=E(G) \backslash S$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $S$ be a subset of $E(G)$ with $|S|=c=$ corank of $G$. If $\bar{S}$ forms a spanning tree in $G$, then $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}\right|=1$. Otherwise, it is equal to 0 .

Proof. Suppose $\partial_{2}=\left[z_{1} \cdots z_{c}\right]$ is given where $z_{i}$ 's form a basis for $H_{1}(G)$. Let $S$ be a subset of $E(G)$ such that $\bar{S}$ forms a spanning tree in $G$. For each $e \in S$, there exist coefficients $a_{1, e}, a_{2, e}, \ldots, a_{c, e} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{c} a_{i, e} z_{i}=z_{e}$ where $z_{e} \in H_{1}(G)$ corresponds to the unique cycle in $\bar{S} \cup e$. Writing these equations in a matrix form and restricting it to the rows indexed by $S$, we obtain a matrix equation $I^{\prime}=\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S} A$ where $A=\left(a_{i, e}\right)$ is the coefficient matrix and $I^{\prime}$ is a permutation matrix up to sign. Hence, we have $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}\right|=1$. If $\bar{S}$ does not form a spanning tree, then $\bar{S}$ contains a cycle, i.e., there is $z \in H_{1}(G)$ supported by $\bar{S}$. From this fact, one can easily deduce that $\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}$ is singular.

The following theorem, which is essential for our purpose, is a well-known result from linear algebra. Refer to any standard text for a proof (for example, [6]).

Theorem 2.2 (Cauchy-Binet formula). Let $A$ and $B$ be s-by-t matrices with $s \geq t$ whose rows are indexed by a set $R$ with $|R|=s$. For a $t$-set $I \subset R$, let $A_{I}$ (resp. $B_{I}$ ) be a submatrix of $A$ (resp. B) whose rows are indexed by $I$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{det} A^{t} B=\sum_{I \subset R,|I|=t} \operatorname{det} A_{I} \operatorname{det} B_{I} .
$$

Recall that the matrix-tree theorem from graph theory states that for a graph $G$, every cofactor of its Laplacian matrix $L(G)=\partial_{1} \partial_{1}^{t}$ equals $k(G)$. The following is a cycle-space version of this fact, and its proof is immediate from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. The number of spanning trees in $G$ is

$$
k(G)=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}^{t} \partial_{2}\right)
$$

Remark 2.4. The proofs of the matrix-tree theorem and Theorem 2.3 are similar in that both are given via the Cauchy-Binet Theorem. However, they differ in the ways the spanning trees of $G$ are accounted for in the matrices $\partial_{1}$ and $\partial_{2}$. Indeed, $\left(\partial_{1}^{t}\right)_{S}$ with $|S|=n-1$ is a fullrank submatrix of $\partial_{1}^{t}$ iff $S$ forms a spanning tree whereas $\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}$ with $|S|=c$ is a full-rank submatrix of $\partial_{2}$ iff the complement of $S$ in $E(G)$ forms a spanning tree.

Example 2.5. To illustrate Theorem 2.3, we compute $k(G)$ where $G$ is the graph in Figure 1. Note that $z_{1}=[12]+[23]+[34]-[14]$ and $z_{2}=[34]-[14]+[15]-[25]+[23]$ form a basis of $H_{1}(G)$. Using this basis, we have

$$
\partial_{2}=\begin{gathered}
z_{1} \\
{[12]} \\
{[14]} \\
{[15]} \\
{[23]} \\
{[25]} \\
{[34]}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{2}^{t} \partial_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 3 \\
3 & 5
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.3 gives $k(G)=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}^{t} \partial_{2}\right)=11$.


Figure 1: A graph $G$ with $c=2$

Example 2.6. Let $P_{n}$ be a path of length $n \geq 1$, or $P_{n}=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{n}$. A fan $\hat{P}_{n}$ is obtained by joining each vertex of $P_{n}$ to a new vertex $w$ by a simple edge (see Figure 2). If we define
$\partial_{2}$ using cycles described in Figure 2, then $\partial_{2}^{t} \partial_{2}=T_{n}$ where $T_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ tridigonal matrix whose main diagonals are 3 and the first diagonals below and above these are -1 . It follows $\operatorname{det} T_{n}=3 \operatorname{det} T_{n-1}-\operatorname{det} T_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$ with $\operatorname{det} T_{0}:=1$ and $\operatorname{det} T_{1}=3$, which are the same recurrence relations satisfied by the Fibonacci numbers $\left\{F_{2 n+2}\right\}$ with $F_{2}=1$ and $F_{4}=3$. Hence we have $k\left(\hat{P}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det} T_{n}=F_{2 n+2}$.


Figure 2: A fan $\hat{P}_{n}$

### 2.3. Weighted graphs

A weighted graph $G$ is also called a network. For each $e \in E(G)$ in a weighted graph $G$, we assume that its weight $w_{e}$ is postive. For a spanning tree $T$ in $G$, we define its weight to be

$$
w_{T}=\prod_{e \in E(T)} w_{e}
$$

Then the weighted tree-number $\hat{k}(G)$ of $G$ is defined as

$$
\hat{k}(G)=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)} w_{T}
$$

## 3. Tree-numbers of contraction graphs

In this section, we will give a new method for computing the tree-number of a contraction graph as a determinant. Given a connected graph $G$ and $a, b \in V(G)$ with $a \neq b$, a path $p$ from $a$ to $b$ is an element of $C_{1}(G)$ such that

$$
\partial_{1} p=[b]-[a] .
$$

Hence, for our purpose, a path $p$ means an element of $C_{1}$ which is a formal sum of oriented edges satisfying the above condition, and need not correspond to a graph-theoretic path in $G$. Also, a path is defined between two distinct vertices only.

Let $c$ be the corank of $G$. Given a basis $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}\right\}$ for $H_{1}(G)$ and a path $p$ from $a$ to $b$, define $\partial^{a b}$ to be an $m \times(c+1)$ matrix obtained by adding $p$ to $\partial_{2}$ as a column, i.e.,

$$
\partial^{a b}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
p & z_{1} & \ldots & z_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, given $a, b \in V(G)$ with $a \neq b$, let $G^{a b}$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by adding a new edge $a b$ oriented from $b$ to $a$. Hence, $\partial_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$ is an $n$-by- $(m+1)$ matrix obtained from $\partial_{1}(G)$ by adding a new column that will be indexed by [ba]. In particular, $\partial_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)[b a]=[a]-[b]$, and the restriction of $\partial_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$ to $C_{1}(G)$ equals $\partial_{1}(G)$.

Proposition 3.1. The tree-number of the contraction $G / a b$ is given by

$$
k(G / a b)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{a b}\right)^{t} \partial^{a b}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{a b}\right)^{t} \partial^{a b}\right)$ depends only on the vertices $a$ and $b$, and is independent of the choices of a path from a to $b$ and a basis for $H_{1}(G)$.

Proof. Assume that the corank of $G$ is $c$. Then, consequently, the corank of $G^{a b}$ is $c+1$. We claim that the columns of the following $(m+1)$-by- $(c+1)$ matrix form a basis for $H_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
10 \cdots 0 \\
\\
\\
\partial^{a b}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\tilde{z}_{0} & \tilde{z}_{1} & \tilde{z}_{2} & \ldots & \tilde{z}_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the first row is indexed by the new oriented edge $[b a]$ and the rest by the oriented edges in $G$. As formal sums, we have $\tilde{z}_{0}=[b a]+p$ and $\tilde{z}_{i}=z_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$.

For the proof of the claim, we will write $\partial_{1}^{\prime}$ for $\partial_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$ and $\partial_{1}$ for $\partial_{1}(G)$. We have $\tilde{z}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{c} \in H_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$ because $\partial_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{z}_{i}=\partial_{1} z_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. Also we have $\tilde{z}_{0} \in H_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$ because $\partial_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{z}_{0}=\partial_{1}^{\prime}[b a]+\partial_{1}^{\prime} p=[a]-[b]+\partial_{1} p=0$. Since $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}$ are linearly independent, being a basis of $H_{1}(G)$, it follows that $\tilde{z}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{c}$ are also linearly independent. Hence, it remains to show that $\tilde{z}_{i}$ 's span $H_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$. Indeed, let $\tilde{z} \in H_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$, and write $\tilde{z}=r[b a]+x$ for some integer $r$ and some $x \in C_{1}(G)$. Clearly, $w:=\tilde{z}-r \tilde{z}_{0} \in H_{1}\left(G^{a b}\right)$. Since $w=x-r p \in$ $C_{1}(G)$, we have $0=\partial_{1}^{\prime} w=\partial_{1} w$, which shows $w \in H_{1}(G)$. Hence, $w$ is a linear combination of $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}$. We conclude that $\tilde{z}$ is a linear combination of $\tilde{z}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{c}$ which proves the claim.

Hence, the above matrix can be taken as $\partial_{2}$ for $G^{a b}$. In what follows, a matrix with a subscript $S$ means its full submatrix whose rows are indexed by a set $S$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{a b}\right)^{t} \partial^{a b}\right) & =\sum_{S \subset E(G),|S|=c+1}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\partial^{a b}\right)_{S}\right]^{2} \\
& =\sum_{S \subset E\left(G^{a b}\right),|S|=c+1, a b \notin S}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}\right]^{2} \\
& =k(G / a b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality uses the Cauchy-Binet formula (Theorem 2.2), and the second equality comes from the definition of $\partial_{2}$. For the last equality, note that the subsets $S \subset E\left(G^{a b}\right)$ with $|S|=c+1$ and $a b \notin S$ such that $E\left(G^{a b}\right) \backslash S$ forms a spanning tree in $G^{a b}$ correspond bijectively to the spanning trees in $G^{a b} / a b$. Now, the result follows from $k\left(G^{a b} / a b\right)=k(G / a b)$ and Proposition 2.1. The second statement of the proposition is clear.


Figure 3: A wheel $W_{n}$

Example 3.2. A wheel $W_{n}$ is a graph obtained by connecting each vertex of a cycle $C_{n}$ of length $n \geq 1$ with a new vertex $w$ by a simple edge (see Figure 3). In this example, we will compute $k\left(W_{n} / a b\right)$ for $a=w$ and $b=v_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Choose the oriented edge $p=[a b]$ as a path from $a$ to $b$, and let $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}\right\}$ be the basis for $H_{1}\left(W_{n}\right)$ described in Figure 3. The matrix $\left(\partial^{a b}\right)^{t} \partial^{a b}$ for these choices is an $(n+1)$-by- $(n+1)$ matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 3 & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 3 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 3 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

By elementary row and column operations, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial^{a b}\right)^{t} \partial^{a b}=\operatorname{det}\left(T_{n}-R_{n}\right)$ where $R_{n}$ is the $n$-by- $n$ matrix whose $(1,1)$-entry and $(n, n)$-entry are 1 and other entries are 0 . By the multilinearity of determinant, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left(W_{n} / a b\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(T_{n}\right)-2 \operatorname{det}\left(T_{n-1}\right)+\operatorname{det}\left(T_{n-2}\right) \\
& =F_{2 n+2}-2 F_{2 n}+F_{2 n-2}=F_{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this result can be also obtained from the fact that $T_{n}-R_{n}$ is a reduced Laplacian of a fan $\hat{P}_{n-1}$. One can also compute $k\left(W_{n} / a b\right)$ by noting that $T_{n}$ is a reduced Laplacian of the contraction $W_{n} / a b$ [9]. Our method is different from these methods in that graph contractions or row and column deletions of a matrix are not required.

Example 3.3. In this example, we will rederive the formula

$$
k\left(W_{n}\right)=L_{2 n}-2
$$

where $L_{2 n}$ is the $2 n$-th Lucas number (refer to [11, 10, 9, 4]). Recall that the Lucas numbers $L_{n}$ are defined by $L_{0}=2, L_{1}=1$, and $L_{n}=L_{n-1}+L_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$. Expanding $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial^{a b}\right)^{t} \partial^{a b}$ for $W_{n}$ along the first row gives

$$
k\left(W_{n} / a b\right)=k\left(W_{n}\right)-2\left(k\left(\hat{P}_{n-1}\right)-k\left(\hat{P}_{n-2}\right)\right)+2
$$

Then from Example 2.6 and 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left(W_{n}\right) & =F_{2 n}+2\left(F_{2 n}-F_{2 n-2}\right)-2 \\
& =F_{2 n}+2 F_{2 n-1}-2=L_{2 n}-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Path intersection matrix

In this section, we define a path intersection matrix for connected graphs, which is one of the main objects of study in this paper, and discuss its intriguing properties. In [13], an example of this matrix was presented to illustrate total information contained in paths, which motivated our current work.

### 4.1. Path intersection matrix of a graph

Let $c$ be the corank of $G$. Given $a, b \in V(G)$ with $a \neq b$, a collection $P=\left\{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{c}\right\}$ of $c+1$ distinct paths each from $a$ to $b$ will be called saturated if the set of cycles $\left\{p_{i}-p_{j} \mid i \neq j\right\}$ spans $H_{1}(G)$. Equivalently, $P$ is saturated if $p_{0}$ is a path from $a$ to $b$ and $p_{i}=p_{0}+z_{i}$ for some basis $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}\right\}$ for $H_{1}(G)$. For a saturated $P$, let $\partial^{P}$ be the $m$-by- $(c+1)$ matrix whose columns are $p_{i}$ 's:

$$
\partial^{P}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
p_{0} & p_{1} & \ldots & p_{c}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Definition 4.1. The path intersection matrix $D^{a b}$ induced by a saturated $P$ is the symmetric matrix of order $c+1$ given by

$$
D^{a b}=\left(\partial^{P}\right)^{t} \partial^{P}
$$

The terminology path intersection matrix is motivated by the following observation: If all entries of each $p_{i} \in P$ are $\pm 1$ or 0 , a diagonal entry in $D^{a b}$ is the length of the corresponding path, and an off-diagonal entry is the "net intersection", i.e., the number of common edges with the same orientations minus that with the opposite orientations in the corresponding pair of paths.

There is an intriguing invariant $I_{a b}$ associated with $D^{a b}$ defined by

$$
I_{a b}=\text { the sum of all entries in the inverse of } D^{a b} .
$$

Theorem 5.1 will give a combinatorial interpretation of $I_{a b}$, and show that $I_{a b}$ depends only on the vertices $a$ and $b$ although $D^{a b}$ depends on the paths in its definition.

### 4.2. Examples

Example 4.2. (as appeared in [13]) Let $G$ be the graph in Figure 1 with the corank $c=2$. Let $C_{1}$ be the free abelian group generated by the oriented edges $\{[12],[14],[15],[23],[25],[34]\}$. To compute $I_{13}$, let $P=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\} \subset C_{1}$ where $p_{0}=[14]-[34], p_{1}=[12]+[23]$, and $p_{2}=[15]-[25]+[23]$. Then, it checks easily that $P$ is saturated, and we have

$$
\partial^{P}=\begin{gathered}
{[12]} \\
{[14]} \\
{[15]} \\
{[23]} \\
{[25]} \\
{[34]}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 1 & p_{1} \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad D^{13}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The inverse of $D^{13}$ equals $\frac{1}{10}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 4\end{array}\right]$ and $I_{13}=\frac{11}{10}$.
Example 4.3. Let $H_{3}$ be the 3 -cube graph (Figure 4), and let $a b \in E\left(H_{3}\right)$. Suppose that a basis $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, z_{5}\right\}$ of $H_{1}\left(H_{3}\right)$ is given as in Figure 4. If we let $P$ be the collection of paths $p_{0}:=[a b]$ and $p_{i}:=p_{0}+z_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 5$, then $D^{a b}$ is given by

$$
D^{a b}=\begin{gathered}
\\
p_{0} \\
p_{1} \\
p_{2} \\
p_{3} \\
p_{4} \\
p_{5}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrc}
1 & p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} & p_{4} & p_{5} \\
0 & 3 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 2 \\
1 & -1 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 1 \\
2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 7
\end{array}\right],
$$

and one can compute $I_{a b}=384 / 224$.


Figure 4: the 3-cube graph $H_{3}$

Example 4.4. Let $P_{n}^{(2)}$ be the path graph of length $n$ each of whose edges has multiplicity 2 (Figure 5). To compute $I_{0 n}$, let $P$ be the collection of paths $p_{0}:=\left[e_{1}\right]+\left[e_{3}\right]+\cdots+\left[e_{2 n-1}\right]$ and $p_{i}:=p_{0}+\left[e_{2 i}\right]-\left[e_{2 i-1}\right]$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then $D^{0 n}$ is the $(n+1)$-by- $(n+1)$ matrix,

$$
D^{0 n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
n & n-1 & \cdots & \cdots & n-1 \\
n-1 & n & n-2 & \cdots & n-2 \\
\vdots & n-2 & n & \ddots & \vdots \\
n-1 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & n-2 \\
n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & n-2 & n
\end{array}\right], \text { and }\left(D^{0 n}\right)^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & b & \cdots & \cdots & b \\
b & c & d & \cdots & d \\
\vdots & d & c & \ddots & \vdots \\
b & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & d \\
b & d & \cdots & d & c
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a=\left(n^{2}-2 n+2\right) / n, b=-(n-1) / n, c=(n+1) / 2 n, d=1 / 2 n$. Then we obtain $I_{0 n}=a+2 n \cdot b+n \cdot c+\left(n^{2}-n\right) d=2 / n$.


Figure 5: the path $P_{n}^{(2)}$ of length $n$ each of whose edges has multiplicity 2

We will recover the computations in these three examples by using Theorem 5.1. We end this section with a lemma showing that $\operatorname{det} D^{a b}$ depends only on the vertices $a, b \in V(G)$.

Lemma 4.5. $\operatorname{det} D^{a b}=k(G / a b)$.
Proof. Note that $\partial^{a b}$ is a matrix obtained from $\partial^{P}$ by subtracting its first column from the other columns, i.e., $\partial^{a b}=\partial^{P} A$ where $A$ is a $(c+1)$-by- $(c+1)$ matrix such that its entries in the main diagonal are 1, its off-diagonals in the first row are -1 , and the others are 0 . Since $D^{a b}=\left(\partial^{a b} A^{-1}\right)^{t}\left(\partial^{a b} A^{-1}\right)$, Proposition 3.1 proves the lemma.

## 5. Combinatorial interpretation of $I_{a b}$

### 5.1. The main result

Recall that $I_{a b}$ is defined to be the sum of all entries in the inverse matrix of $D^{a b}=\left(\partial^{P}\right)^{t} \partial^{P}$ where $P$ is a saturated collection of paths from $a$ to $b$. The following theorem is the main result of the paper demonstrating, in particular, that $I_{a b}$ depends only on the choices of $a, b \in V(G)$ with $a \neq b$.

Theorem 5.1. For two distinct vertices $a$ and $b$ in a connected graph $G$,

$$
I_{a b}=\frac{k(G)}{k(G / a b)}
$$

Proof. In this proof, we will abbreviate $D^{a b}$ as $D$, and denote its $(i, j)$-minor by $D_{i j}$. Let $\partial^{P}(i)$ denote the matrix obtained from $\partial^{P}$ by removing its $i$-th column. Then we have $D_{i j}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{P}(i)\right)^{t} \partial^{P}(j)\right)$. Now, the formula of an inverse matrix gives

$$
I_{a b}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} D} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq c+1}(-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{P}(i)\right)^{t} \partial^{P}(j)\right)
$$

where $c$ is the corank of $G$. By Lemma 4.5, it suffices to show

$$
k(G)=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq c+1}(-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{P}(i)\right)^{t} \partial^{P}(j)\right)
$$

This identity will be shown by the following equalities. As before, a matrix with a subscript $S$ means its full submatrix whose rows are indexed by a set $S$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq c+1}(-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{det}\left(\left(\partial^{P}(i)\right)^{t} \partial^{P}(j)\right) \\
= & \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq c+1}(-1)^{i+j} \sum_{S \subset E(G),|S|=c} \operatorname{det} \partial^{P}(i)_{S} \operatorname{det} \partial^{P}(j)_{S} \\
= & \sum_{S \subset E(G),|S|=c} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq c+1}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{det} \partial^{P}(i)_{S}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{det} \partial^{P}(j)_{S} \\
= & \sum_{S \subset E(G),|S|=c}\left[\sum_{1 \leq i \leq c+1}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{det} \partial^{P}(i)_{S}\right]^{2} \\
= & \sum_{S \subset E(G),|S|=c}\left[\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \cdots 1 \\
\left(\partial^{P}\right)_{S}
\end{array}\right]\right]^{2} \\
= & \sum_{S \subset E\left(G^{a b}\right),|S|=c+1, a b \in S}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}\right]^{2} \\
= & k(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality uses Cauchy-Binet formula (Theorem 2.2). The second equality follows from changing the order of summation. The fifth equality follows from the definition of $\partial_{2}$ for $G^{a b}$. For the last equality, note that for $S \subset E\left(G^{a b}\right)$ with $|S|=c+1$ and $a b \in S$, a subgraph defined by $E\left(G^{a b}\right) \backslash S$ is a spanning tree in $G$ iff $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{2}\right)_{S}\right|=1$ by Proposition 2.1.

Example 5.2. Let $G$ be the graph in Example 4.2. One can compute $k(G)=11$ and $k(G / 13)=10$. By the above theorem, $I_{13}=11 / 10$, matching Example 4.2.
Example 5.3. Let $H_{3}$ be the 3-cube in Example 4.3. Note that $k\left(H_{3}\right)=384$ (refer to [12]), and using the symmetry of $H_{3}$ we find $k\left(H_{3} / a b\right)=(7 / 12) \cdot 384=224$. By the above theorem, $I_{a b}=384 / 224$, matching Example 4.3.
Example 5.4. Let $P_{n}^{(2)}$ be the path graph of length $n$ with 2 multiple edges in Example 4.4. From $k\left(P_{n}^{(2)}\right)=2^{n}, k\left(P_{n}^{(2)} / 0 n\right)=n \cdot 2^{n-1}$, we have $I_{0 n}=2 / n$, matching Example 4.4.

### 5.2. Weighted analogue

Suppose that each $e \in E(G)$ is assigned a positive weight $w_{e}$. Let $\sqrt{W}$ be a diagonal matrix whose columns are indexed by the same manner as the rows of $\partial_{2}$ for $G$ and whose diagonal entry corresponding to $e \in E(G)$ is $\sqrt{w_{e}}$. Define the second weighted boundary operator $\hat{\partial}_{2}$ to be $(\sqrt{W})^{-1} \partial_{2}$. Then the weighted analogue of Proposition 2.1 is as follows. For $S \subset E(G)$, let $\left(\hat{\partial}_{2}\right)_{S}$ be the submatrix of $\hat{\partial}_{2}$ whose rows are indexed by $S$.

Proposition 5.5. Let $S \subset E(G)$ with $|S|=$ corank of $G$. If $E(G) \backslash S$ forms a spanning tree in $G$, then $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\hat{\partial}_{2}\right)_{S}\right|=1 / \prod_{e \in S} \sqrt{w_{e}}$. Otherwise, it equals 0 .

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 2.1 and the construction of $\hat{\partial}_{2}$.
Now, we define the weighted analogue of $\partial^{P}$ to be $\widehat{\partial^{P}}=(\sqrt{W})^{-1} \partial^{P}$. Then the corresponding weighted path intersection matrix is defined to be $\hat{D}^{a b}=\left(\widehat{\partial^{P}}\right)^{t} \widehat{\partial^{P}}=\left(\partial^{P}\right)^{t} W^{-1} \partial^{P}$. For two distinct vertices $a, b \in V(G)$, let

$$
I_{a b}=\text { the sum of all entries in the inverse of } \hat{D}^{a b} .
$$

The following theorem is the weighted analogue of Theorem 5.1. Denote by $\hat{k}(G)_{a b}$ the weighted tree-number of $G^{a b}$ containing the edge $a b$ with $w_{a b}:=1$, i.e.,

$$
\hat{k}(G)_{a b}=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}\left(G^{a b}\right), a b \in E(T)} w_{T} .
$$

Theorem 5.6. For distinct vertices $a, b \in V(G)$ in a weighted graph $G$,

$$
I_{a b}=\frac{\hat{k}(G)}{\hat{k}(G)_{a b}} .
$$

Proof. We use a similar method used in Theorem 5.1, replacing Proposition 2.1 with Proposition 5.5. Then we have

$$
I_{a b}=\frac{\sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}\left(G^{a b}\right), a \& \& E(T)}} \prod_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{T}\left(G^{a b}\right), a b \in E(T)}} \prod_{e \notin E(T)} 1 / w_{e}}{} .
$$

Multiplying both the numerator and the denominator by $\prod_{e \in E\left(G^{a b}\right)} w_{e}$, the result follows.
Example 5.7. Regarding $P_{n}^{(2)}$ as the path graph of length $n$ whose edges have 2 as weights, we will compute $I_{0 n}$. Note that $\hat{D}^{0 n}$ is just a 1-by-1 matrix whose entry is $n / 2$. Hence, $I_{0 n}=2 / n$, matching Examples 4.4 and 5.4.

## 6. Examples

### 6.1. Effective resistance

Consider an electrical network $G$ where each edge is weighted by its conductance. Let $L$ be the Laplacian matrix of $G$. Let $V=\left(\phi_{v}\right)^{t}$ and $I=\left(\iota_{v}\right)^{t}(v \in V(G))$ be voltage and current vectors, respectively, satisfying $\alpha=\iota_{a}=-\iota_{b}$ and $\iota_{v}=0$ for $v \neq a, b$. Solving for $V$ in the equation $L V=I$, the effective resistance $R_{a b}$ between $a$ and $b$ is defined to be $\left(\phi_{b}-\phi_{a}\right) / \alpha$. Using Kirchhoff's laws and Ohm's law, Thomassen [14] showed that

$$
R_{a b}=\frac{\hat{k}(G / a b)}{\hat{k}(G)}=I_{a b}^{-1}
$$

For a derivation of this formula using a combinatorial Laplacian, refer to [7]. The combinatorial interpretation of $I_{a b}$ gives a combinatorial proof of Foster's Theorem on electrical networks [5]:

Theorem 6.1. [5, Foster's Theorem] For a weighted graph $G$ with $n$ vertices, the following identity holds.

$$
\sum_{a b \in E(G)} w_{a b} R_{a b}=n-1
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}=\{(T, a b) \mid T \in \mathcal{T}(G)$ and $a b \in E(T)\}$. Then, we get

$$
\hat{k}(G)(n-1)=\sum_{(T, a b) \in \mathcal{F}} w_{T}=\sum_{a b \in E(G)} w_{a b} \hat{k}(G / a b)
$$

This together with Theorem 5.6 yields the desired identity.
For the unweighted complete graph $K_{n}$, the effective resistance $R_{a b}$ is constant for every edge $a b$ by symmetry. Since $\left|E\left(K_{n}\right)\right|=\binom{n}{2}$, Theorem 6.1 implies $R_{a b}=(n-1) /\binom{n}{2}=2 / n$ for each pair $a, b \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ with $a \neq b$.

### 6.2. Information centrality

Stephenson and Zelen [13] introduced the information centrality as follows. Let $L$ be the Laplacian matrix of $G, J$ the all 1's matrix, and $(L+J)^{-1}=\left(g_{a b}\right)$. Based on the theory of statistical estimation, they defined the information between two nodes $a$ and $b$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{a a}+g_{b b}-2 g_{a b}\right)^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kook [7] gave a combinatorial interpretation of the right-hand side of (1), i.e.,

$$
\left(g_{a a}+g_{b b}-2 g_{a b}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\hat{k}(G)}{\hat{k}(G / a b)}=I_{a b} .
$$

The information centrality $I_{a}$ for a node $a$ is defined to be the harmonic mean of the information between $a$ and other vertices [13], i.e.,

$$
I_{a}=n \cdot\left[\sum_{b \in V(G) \backslash a} \frac{1}{I_{a b}}\right]^{-1} .
$$

We end this paper with a question. Can a path intersection matrix $D^{a b}$ be obtained from properties or laws governing electrical networks? This question was motivated by the fact that the Laplacian matrix $L$ of a network is a direct consequence of Kirchhoff's laws.
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