ON ALUTHGE TRANSFORMS OF *p*-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

MUNEO CHŌ, IL BONG JUNG, AND WOO YOUNG LEE

Dedicated to Professor Tadasi Huruya on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. In this note we give an example of an ∞ -hyponormal operator T whose Aluthge transform \widetilde{T} is not $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -hyponormal for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and show that the sequence $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of interated Aluthge transforms of T need not converge in the weak operator topology, which solve two problems in [6].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable, infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has a unique polar decomposition T = U|T|, where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and U is a partial isometry satisfying kerU = kerT and ker U^* = ker T^* . If T = U|T| then the Aluthge transform of T is defined by $\widetilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (cf. [1],[6]). An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *p*-hyponormal if

(1.1)
$$(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \ge 0, \qquad p \in (0,\infty).$$

If p = 1, T is hyponormal and if $p = \frac{1}{2}$, T is semi-hyponormal. It is well known that q-hyponormal operators are p-hyponormal operators for $p \leq q$. In particular, T is said to be ∞ -hyponormal if (1.1) holds for every p > 0. Notice that the subnormality for operators is different from the ∞ -hyponormality (cf. [7]). In fact, D. Xia ([8]) introduced the notion of semi-hyponormal operators, which was generalized to p-hyponormal operators (cf. [3],[4]). It is well known ([1]) that if T is p-hyponormal, then \tilde{T} is $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal for $0 ; hyponormal for <math>\frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq 1$. In this note we show that this result is extremal, in the sense that there is a hyponormal operator T whose Aluthge transform \tilde{T} is not $(1+\varepsilon)$ -hyponormal for any $\varepsilon > 0$; this answers a question in [6, Problem 1.27] in the negative. In addition, we show that the sequence $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{_{n=1}}$ of iterated Aluthge transforms of T need not converge in the weak operator topology; this answers [6, Conjecture 1.11] in the negative.

Date: April 1, 2004.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B20, 47B37; Secondary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. Aluthge transform, p-hyponormal operator, weighted shift.

The second and third authors were supported by a grant (R14-2003-006-01000-0) from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

2. The Hyponormality by Aluthge Transform

We adopt an idea of [2]. Let $\mathcal{H} \equiv \bigoplus_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$, where $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathbb{C}^2$, and define T on \mathcal{H} by

,

(2.1)
$$T := \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & & \\ \ddots & 0 & & & & \\ & C & 0 & & & \\ & & C & [0] & & & \\ & & & D & 0 & \\ & & & & D & 0 & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where C and D are positive semidefinite matrices in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then we have T = U|T|, where $U = B \otimes I_2$ with the bilateral unweighted shift B on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers, and the 2×2 identity matrix I_2 , and so

$$\widetilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & & \\ \ddots & 0 & & & & \\ & C & 0 & & & \\ & & D^{\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}} & [0] & & & \\ & & & D & 0 & & \\ & & & & D & 0 & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore one can get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let T be an operator defined as (2.1). Then the following assertions hold.

(i) T is p-hyponormal $\iff D^{2p} \ge C^{2p}$ for any p > 0.

(ii) \widetilde{T} is p-hyponormal $\iff (C^{\frac{1}{2}}DC^{\frac{1}{2}})^p \ge C^{2p}$ and $D^{2p} \ge (D^{\frac{1}{2}}CD^{\frac{1}{2}})^p$ for any p > 0.

Proof. Immediate from a straight forward calculation.

In [6, Problem 1.27] the following question was addressed: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a hyponormal operator, is \widetilde{T} necessarily $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -hyponormal for some $\varepsilon > 0$? We now answer this question in the negative. In fact we can prove more:

Theorem 2.2. There is an ∞ -hyponormal operator T whose Aluthge transform \widetilde{T} is not $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -hyponormal for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Let T_x be defined by (2.1) with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } D = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (x \ge 1).$$

Then we have $D^{2p} \ge C^{2p}$ for any p > 0, and so T_x is ∞ -hyponormal for any $x \ge 1$. Observe that

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}CD^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & \sqrt{x} \\ \sqrt{x} & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

By the mathematical induction we can see that for n = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$\left(D^{\frac{1}{2}}CD^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{n}}} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2^{n}}} \left(\frac{1}{1+x}\right)^{\frac{2^{n}-1}{2^{n}}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & \sqrt{x} \\ \sqrt{x} & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Thus

$$D^{2(1+\frac{1}{2^{n}})} - (D^{\frac{1}{2}}CD^{\frac{1}{2}})^{1+\frac{1}{2^{n}}}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x^{2(1+\frac{1}{2^{n}})} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x & \sqrt{x}\\ \sqrt{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2^{n}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1+x \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{2^{n}-1}{2^{n}}} \begin{pmatrix} x & \sqrt{x}\\ \sqrt{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} * & *\\ * & 1 - (\frac{1}{2})^{1+\frac{1}{2^{n}}}(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2^{n}}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose a strictly increasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ of real numbers such that

(2.2)
$$1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 + \frac{1}{2^n}} (1 + x_n)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} < 0.$$

Therefore $D^{2(1+\frac{1}{2^n})} - (D^{\frac{1}{2}}CD^{\frac{1}{2}})^{1+\frac{1}{2^n}}$ is not positive semidefinite for x_n satisfying (2.2). Thus by Lemma 2.1, \widetilde{T}_{x_n} is not $(1+\frac{1}{2^n})$ -hyponormal. On the other hand, note that $||T_x|| = x$. Let $\mathcal{K} \equiv \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$, where $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}$, and define an operator T on \mathcal{K} by

$$T = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x_n} T_{x_n}$$

Then by the preceding consideration, T is ∞ -hyponormal, but T is not $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -hyponormal for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This completes the proof.

3. Aluthge Iteration

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we define $\widetilde{T}^{(1)} := \widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{T}^{(n+1)} := (\widetilde{T}^{(n)})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [6, Conjecture 1.11], the following was conjectured: For every $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the sequence $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the norm topology to a limit \widetilde{T}_L which is a quasinormal operator. We show that $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ need not converge in the weak operator topology below.

Let $T \equiv W_{\alpha}$ be a unilateral weighted shift on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ with weight sequence $\alpha \equiv \{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, that is, $W_{\alpha}e_n := \alpha_ne_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. If U is the unilateral unweighted shift and $D = \text{diag}\{\alpha_n\}$ then T = UD is the polar decomposition of T. A straightforward calculation shows that $\widetilde{T} = D^{\frac{1}{2}}UD^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a unilateral weighted shift with weight sequence $\{\sqrt{\alpha_n\alpha_{n+1}}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. By induction we can see that

(3.1)
$$\widetilde{T}^{(n)}e_k = \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \alpha_{j+k}^{\binom{n}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} e_{k+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1,$$

where $\binom{n}{j} = \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}$.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that a and b are any distinct positive real numbers. Let $T := W_{\alpha}$ be a unilateral weighted shift whose weights are either a or b. Suppose

that only finitely many weights of T are equal to a. Then the sequence of the first weights of $\widetilde{T}^{(n)}$ converges to b.

Proof. Recall that the first weight of $\widetilde{T}^{(n)}$ is

(3.2)
$$\widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(n)} := \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \alpha_j^{\binom{n}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}}$$

Let p be the largest number satisfying $\alpha_p = a$. Then for n > p, we have

$$\log \widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \log \alpha_j$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^p \binom{n}{j} \log \alpha_j + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \binom{n}{j} \log b \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} \log \alpha_j + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=p+1}^n \binom{n}{j} \log b$$

Moreover, for j = 1, ..., p, we have

(3.3)
$$\frac{n!}{2^n j! (n-j)!} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdots (n-j+1)}{j! 2^n} \le \frac{n^p}{2^n},$$

which converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Hence since $\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^n {n \choose j} = 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.3) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \log \widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=p+1}^n \binom{n}{j} \log b$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \log b$$
$$= \log b.$$

Hence the sequence of the first weights of $\widetilde{T}^{(n)}$ converges to b.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose a and b are any distinct positive real numbers. Then there is a unilateral weighted shift $T := W_{\alpha}$ with weight sequence α such that the sequence of the first weights of $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ have two subsequences converging to a and b, respectively.

Proof. We first take $\alpha_0 = a$. Consider a weight sequence $\beta^{(1)} : a, b, b, \dots$ Then by Lemma 3.1 with $\beta^{(1)}$, there is m_1 such that $|b - \widetilde{\beta}_{m_1}^{(1)}| < \frac{1}{2}$, where $\{\widetilde{\beta}_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of the first weights of $\{\widetilde{W}_{\beta^{(1)}}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ induced by (3.1). Let

$$p_1 := \max\{\binom{m_1}{j} : 0 \le j \le m_1\}$$

and put $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{p_1} = b$. And consider a weight sequence $\gamma^{(1)} := \{\gamma_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$\gamma_n^{(1)} = \begin{cases} a & n = 0, \\ b & 1 \le n \le p_1, \\ a & p_1 < n. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1 with $\gamma^{(1)}$, there is n_1 such that $|a - \widetilde{\gamma}_{n_1}^{(1)}| < \frac{1}{2}$, where $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of the first weights of $\{\widetilde{W}_{\gamma^{(1)}}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let

$$q_1 := \max\{\binom{n_1}{j}, p_1 + 1 : 0 \le j \le n_1\}$$

and put $\alpha_{p_1+1} = \ldots = \alpha_{q_1} = a$. Consider a weight sequence $\beta^{(2)} := {\{\beta_n^{(2)}\}}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$\beta_n^{(2)} = \begin{cases} a & n = 0, \\ b & 1 \le n \le p_1, \\ a & p_1 < n \le q_1, \\ b & q_1 < n. \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 with $\beta^{(2)}$, we obtain m_2 such that $|b - \widetilde{\beta}_{m_2}^{(2)}| < \frac{1}{2^2}$, where $\{\widetilde{\beta}_n^{(2)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of the first weights of $\{\widetilde{W}_{\beta^{(2)}}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let

$$p_2 := \max\{\binom{m_2}{j}, q_1 + 1 : 0 \le j \le m_2\}.$$

Put $\alpha_{q_1+1} = \dots = \alpha_{p_2} = b$. Then similarly we may obtain a sequence $\gamma^{(2)}$ and n_2 such that $|a - \tilde{\gamma}_{n_2}^{(2)}| < \frac{1}{2^2}$. Repeating this process alternately with n_k and m_k , we have $\beta^{(k)}$, $\gamma^{(k)}$, p_k , and q_k with

$$q_k := \max\{\binom{n_k}{j}, p_k + 1 : 0 \le j \le n_k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$p_{k+1} := \max\{\binom{m_{k+1}}{j}, q_k + 1 : 0 \le j \le m_{k+1}\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that

(3.4)
$$|a - \widetilde{\gamma}_{n_k}^{(k)}| < \frac{1}{2^k}$$
 and $|b - \widetilde{\beta}_{m_k}^{(k)}| < \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$

According to the above construction, we obtain a sequence α with

$$\alpha : \underbrace{\underbrace{a, b, ..., b, a, ..., a}_{(q_1)}, b, ..., b, a, a, ..., a, ..., a}_{(q_2)}$$

satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(m_k)} = \widetilde{\beta}_{m_k}^{(k)}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(n_k)} = \widetilde{\gamma}_{n_k}^{(k)}$, where $\{\widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of the first weights of $\{\widetilde{W}_{\alpha}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Hence by (3.4) we have

$$|a - \widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(n_k)}| < rac{1}{2^k}$$
 and $|b - \widetilde{\alpha}_0^{(m_k)}| < rac{1}{2^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$

Thus the proof is complete.

The following comes at once from Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. There exists an operator T such that the sequence $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ does not converge in the weak operator topology.

The operator T in the proof in Proposition 3.2 is not hyponormal. We were unable to decide whether $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the strong operator topology (or the weak operator topology) when T is hyponormal.

Theorem 3.4. Let $T \equiv W_{\alpha}$ be a hyponormal bilateral weighted shift on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a weight sequence $\alpha \equiv \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $a := \inf\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $b := \sup\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a quasinormal operator in the norm topology if and only if a = b.

Proof. Since the necessity is obvious, we only consider the sufficiency. Assume that $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a quasinormal operator \tilde{T}_L in the norm topology and suppose a < b. If U is the bilateral unweighted shift and $D = \text{diag}\{\alpha_n\}$ then T = UD is the polar decomposition of T. Thus $\tilde{T} = D^{\frac{1}{2}}UD^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is also a bilateral weighted shift, and hence if the sequence $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the norm topology to an operator \tilde{T}_L then \tilde{T}_L must be a bilateral weighted shift. Note that T is hyponormal and the spectrum, $\sigma(T)$, of T is the annulus $\{\lambda : a \leq |\lambda| \leq b\}$. Thus for every $n, \tilde{T}^{(n)}$ is hyponormal and $\sigma(\tilde{T}^{(n)}) = \sigma(T)$ (cf. [6, Theorem 1.3]). Note that since \tilde{T}_L is a fixed point of the mapping $\tilde{}: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, it follows that \tilde{T}_L is quasinormal (cf. [6, Proposition 1.10]). On the other hand, since σ , a setvalued function, is a continuous function when restricted to the set of hyponormal operators (cf. [5]), it follows that

$$\sigma(T_L) = \sigma(T) = \{\lambda : a \le |\lambda| \le b\}.$$

Observe that a bilateral weighted shift W_{β} with weight sequence $\beta \equiv \{\beta_n\}$ is quasinormal if and only if either W_{β} is a scalar multiple of the bilateral unweighted shift or there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta_n = 0$ for all $n < n_0$ and $\beta_n = \beta_{n_0}$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Thus the spectrum of a bilateral quasinormal weighted shift is a (possibly degenerated) circle or a disk with center 0. Thus \widetilde{T}_L is not quasinormal, which is a contradiction.

The following example shows the existence of an operator T such that $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converge in the strong operator topology but not the norm topology.

Example 3.5. Let $T \equiv W_{\alpha}$ be a hyponormal bilateral weighted shift on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with weight sequence $\alpha \equiv \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where α_n is given by

$$\alpha_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & (n < 0), \\ 1 & (n \ge 0). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.4, $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ does not converge to a quasinormal operator in the norm topology. In fact SOT-lim_{$n\to\infty$} $\widetilde{T}^{(n)} = B$ (where B is the bilateral unweighted shift). Indeed, we first observe that

$$\widetilde{T}^{(n)}e_k = \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \alpha_{j+k}^{\binom{n}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} e_{k+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So the weight sequence of $\widetilde{T}^{(n)}$ is composed of

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{k}^{(n)} := \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k}^{\binom{n}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{n}}}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For n > k, we have

$$|\log \widetilde{\alpha}_{-k}^{(n)}| = |\frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \log \alpha_{j-k}| = |\log 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}|.$$

By (3.3) obviously

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} = 0,$$

for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{\alpha}_{-k}^{(n)} = 1$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{\alpha}_{k}^{(n)} = 1$ for $k \ge 0$ obviously, SOT- $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{T}^{(n)} = B$.

If T is quasinormal, obviously $\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to T. If T is a hyponormal weighted shift with weight sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which converges to α , then by the previous argument, $\widetilde{T}^{(n)}$ is a weighted shift with weight sequence

$$\left\{ \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j+k}^{\binom{n}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{n}}} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+},$$

whose k-th weight, by a straightforward calculation, converges to α for each $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ Consequently, $\{\tilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to αU (where U is the unilateral unweighted shift) in the norm topology. Note that αU is quasinormal. Thus we may revise Conjecture 1.11 in [6] as following.

Conjecture 3.6 ([6]). If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *p*-hyponormal operator with $0 , then <math>\{\widetilde{T}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the strong operator topology.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Professor Carl Pearcy for several helpful suggestions in this paper.

References

- A. Aluthge, On p-hyponormal operators for 0 13 (1990), 307-315.
- [2] M. Chō and H. Jin, On p-hyponormal operators, Nihonkai Math. J. 6 (1995), 201-206.
- [3] R. Curto, P. Muhly, and D. Xia, A trace estimate for p-hyponormal operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 6 (1983), 507-514.
- [4] M. Fujii and Y. Nakatsu, On subclasses of hyponormal operators, Proc. Japan Acad. 51 (1975), 243-246.
- [5] I. S. Hwang and W.Y. Lee, The spectrum is continuous on the set of p-hyponormal operators, Math. Z. 235 (2000), 151-157.
- [6] I. Jung, E. Ko, and C. Pearcy, Aluthge transforms of operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 37 (2000), 437-448.
- S. Miyajima and I. Saito, ∞-hyponormal operators and their spectral properties, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 67 (2001), 357-371.
- [8] D. Xia, Spectral Theory of Hyponormal Operators, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 221-8686, JAPAN *E-mail address*: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU 702-701, KOREA *E-mail address*: ibjung@knu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA *E-mail address*: wylee@math.snu.ac.kr