SPECTRAL PICTURES OF AB AND BA

ROBIN HARTE, YOUNG OK KIM AND WOO YOUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. The spectral pictures of products AB and BA of Banach space operators are compared.

We shall describe an operator A in the algebra B(X) of bounded linear operators on a Banach space X as being of index zero whenever there is Banach space isomorphism

$$A^{-1}(0) \cong X/\operatorname{cl} A(X):$$

for example a Fredholm operator has this property if and only if its Fredholm index is zero. In particular, finite dimensional operators and normal operators acting on a Hilbert space are of index zero. D. Djordjevic [Dj] has essentially noticed that

1. Theorem. If $A \in B(X)$ is of index zero and $B \in B(X)$ there is implication

$$(1.1) AB invertible \iff BA invertible$$

and

$$(1.2) AB \ Fredholm \iff BA \ Fredholm,$$

 $in \ which \ case$

(1.3)
$$\operatorname{index}(AB) = \operatorname{index}(B) = \operatorname{index}(BA)$$
.

Proof. If BA is invertible then A is left invertible, in particular one-one with closed range, hence by index zero has dense range, which now makes it invertible. For invertible A the implication (1.1) is clear. If conversely AB is invertible then A is right invertible, in particular onto, hence by index zero one-one and again invertible. This gives (1.1) both ways; towards (1.2) argue that if BA is Fredholm then A is upper semi-Fredholm, having closed range and finite dimensional null space. If also A is "index zero" in the sense of (0.1) then its closed range must have finite codimension making it Fredholm, in which case (1.2) is clear. Conversely if AB is Fredholm then A is lower semi-Fredholm, in the sense of having closed range of finite codimension, hence also finite dimensional null space and again Fredholm. This gives (1.2) both ways; finally since also index(A) = 0 the usual index-of-product formula gives (1.3).

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\!\mathcal{M}\!\mathcal{S}\!\text{-}\!\mathrm{T}_{\!\mathrm{E}}\!\mathrm{X}$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A10, 47A53, 47A66

Key words and phrases. Spectral pictures, index zero, quasitriangular, essentially normal operators, compalent, generalized Aluthge transforms.

This work was supported by a grant (R14-2003-006-01000-0) from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

If $A \in B(X)$, write $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma^{\text{left}}(A)$, $\sigma^{\text{right}}(A)$, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{left}}(A)$, and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{right}}(A)$ for the spectrum, the left spectrum, the right spectrum, the essential spectrum, the left essential spectrum, and the right essential spectrum, respectively, of A. If $A \in B(X)$, a hole in $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$ is a bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$ and a pseudohole in $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$ is a component of $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{left}}(A)$ or $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{right}}(A)$. The spectral picture of A, denoted $S\mathcal{P}(A)$, is the structure consisting of the set $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$, the collection of holes and pseudoholes in $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$, and the indices associated with those holes and pseudoholes. Write K(X) for the ideals of compact operators on X.

We now have:

2. Theorem. If $A \in B(X)$ is of index zero and $B \in B(X)$ then

(2.1)
$$\sigma(BA) = \sigma(AB)$$

(2.2) $\sigma^{\text{left}}(AB) \subseteq \sigma^{\text{left}}(BA) \quad and \quad \sigma^{\text{right}}(BA) \subseteq \sigma^{\text{right}}(AB) ;$

(2.3)
$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(BA) = \sigma_{\rm ess}(AB) ;$$

(2.4)
$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{left}}(AB) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{left}}(BA) \quad and \quad \sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{right}}(BA) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{ess}}^{\text{right}}(AB) \ .$$

Further if $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is not in any pseudohole of either AB or BA, then

(2.5)
$$S\mathcal{P}(AB) = S\mathcal{P}(BA)$$
.

Proof. It is familiar [Ba], [GGK], [Ha], [LYR] that

(2.6)
$$\omega(AB) \setminus \{0\} = \omega(BA) \setminus \{0\}$$

for the spectrum $\omega = \sigma$, as well as for the left, right, essential, left essential and right essential spectrum: thus (2.1) and (2.3) follow from(1.1) and (1.2). For the same reason (2.2) and (2.4) depend only on the fate of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus if *BA* has a left inverse then *A* is left invertible, which together with having "index zero" makes it invertible, which now gives *AB* a left inverse:

$$CBA = I \Longrightarrow CB = A^{-1} \Longrightarrow ACB = I$$

means that B is now left invertible, and hence also AB. The argument for right invertibility is exactly the same. For (2.4) suppose BA is upper semi-Fredholm. Then by the Atkinson's theorem BA is left invertible modulo K(X) and so $I - U(BA) \in K(X)$ for some $U \in B(X)$. Note that A is upper semi-Fredholm and hence by assumption, it is Fredholm of index zero. Remembering ([Ha, Theorem 6.5.2]) that a Fredholm operator of index zero can be written as the sum of an invertible and a finite rank operator, write A = V + K, where V is invertible and K is of finite rank. Then

$$I - UB(V + K) \in K(X) \Longrightarrow I - UBV \in K(X) \Longrightarrow I - VUB \in K(X),$$

which implies B is upper semi-Fredholm and hence, so is AB, giving the first inclusion of (2.4) and the argument for the second is the same. Finally, to see (2.5), it is effective to remember ([GGK, p.38]) that with no restriction on A,

(2.7)
$$\begin{pmatrix} AB-I & 0\\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = F \begin{pmatrix} BA-I & 0\\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} E,$$

where

$$E := \begin{pmatrix} B & I \\ AB - I & A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F := \begin{pmatrix} A & I - AB \\ -I & B \end{pmatrix}$$

are both invertible. Thus from (2.7),

(2.8)
$$\operatorname{index}(AB - I) = \operatorname{index}(F) + \operatorname{index}(BA - I) + \operatorname{index}(E) = \operatorname{index}(BA - I)$$

This implies that whenever $\lambda \neq 0$ is in a hole or pseudohole common to AB and BA then the value of the index for that pseudohole is the same for both. Thus if $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is not in any pseudohole of either AB or BA, then we can conclude that $S\mathcal{P}(AB) = S\mathcal{P}(BA)$. This proves (2.5).

We would remark that 0 can be in a pseudohole of AB but not in a pseudohole of BA, or vice versa, but that if 0 is in the polynomially convex hull of a pseudohole of AB then it is also in the polynomially convex hull of a pseudohole of BA, and vice versa. On the other hand, none of the inclusions in (2.2) and (2.4) can be replaced by equality:

3. Example. If $X = \ell_2$ and

$$(3.1) A(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots) = (0, x_2, 0, x_4, 0, x_6, \dots) ,$$

$$(3.2) B(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots) = (0, x_1, 0, x_2, 0, x_3, \dots) ,$$

$$(3.3) B'(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots) = (x_2, x_4, x_6, x_8, x_{10}, x_{12} \dots)$$

then A is of index zero, AB is left invertible but BA is not upper semi-Fredholm, while B'A is right invertible but AB' is not lower semi-Fredholm.

Proof. Observe

$$BB' = A \neq I = B'B ; AB = B \neq BA ; B'A = B' \neq AB'$$

and look at the null space of BA and the closure of the range of AB'.

In Example 3, a straightforward calculation shows that SP(AB) and SP(BA) has only one pseudohole H_0 whose polynomially convex hull contains 0: with \mathbb{D} the open unit disk

$$H_0(AB) = \mathbb{D} \text{ with index } H_0(AB) = -\infty;$$

$$H_0(BA) = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \text{ with index } H_0(BA) = -\infty.$$

On the other hand, from (2.7) we can see that for each $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$(AB - \lambda I)^{-1}(0) \cong (BA - \lambda I)^{-1}(0)$$
 and $X/\operatorname{cl}(AB - \lambda I)(X) \cong X/\operatorname{cl}(BA - \lambda I)(X)$,

which implies that with no restriction on A and B,

(3.4)
$$AB - \lambda I$$
 "of index zero" $\iff BA - \lambda I$ "of index zero", $\lambda \neq 0$.

However if $\lambda = 0$, (3.4) may fail though each of A, B, AB and BA has closed range and A is of index zero. For example, consider A and B in Example 3: AB = B is not of index zero since $B^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \neq X/\operatorname{cl} B(X)$, while $(BA)^{-1}(0)$ and $X/\operatorname{cl} (BA)(X)$ are infinite dimensional, and so $(BA)^{-1}(0) \cong X/\operatorname{cl} (BA)(X)$, i.e., BA is of index zero.

We however have:

4. Proposition. If X is separable Hilbert space and if each of AB, BA and B has closed range, then if also A is Fredholm there is equivalence

$$(4.1) BA "of index zero" \iff AB "of index zero".$$

Proof. If either AB or BA is Fredholm then this is contained in (2.3), and if either BA is upper semi-Fredholm or AB is lower semi-Fredholm then this is contained in (2.4). Thus we may assume that the null space of BA is infinite dimensional and that the range of AB is of finite codimension: on separable space X this implies

$$(4.2) (BA)^{-1}(0) \cong X \cong X/(AB)X$$

we therefore have to show

$$(4.3) (AB)^{-1}(0) \cong X \Longleftrightarrow X/(BA)X \cong X$$

We claim

$$(4.4) (BA)^{-1}(0) \cong X \Longrightarrow B^{-1}(0) \cong X \Longleftrightarrow (AB)^{-1}(0) \cong X$$

and

(4.5)
$$X/(AB)X \cong X \Longrightarrow X/B(X) \cong X \Longleftrightarrow X/(BA)X \cong X;$$

this is because of the isomorphisms [Ha, (6.5.4.6), (6.5.4.7)]

(4.6)
$$(AB)^{-1}(0)/B^{-1}(0) \cong A^{-1}(0) \cap B(X); \quad AX/(AB)X \cong X/(BX + A^{-1}(0)),$$

(4.7)
$$(BA)^{-1}(0)/A^{-1}(0) \cong B^{-1}(0) \cap A(X); \quad BX/(BA)X \cong X/(AX + B^{-1}(0)).$$

If $A^{-1}(0)$ is finite dimensional then the first part of (4.6) gives the second part of (4.4), while the first comes from the first part of (4.7). If A(X) is of finite codimension then the second part of (4.7) gives the second part of (4.5), while the first comes from the second part of (4.6); alternatively take adjoints in (4.4).

The spectral picture SP(T) determines whether an operator is "quasitriangular" [AFV], and whether it is "compalent" to another operator [BDF].

Recall ([Pe, Definition 4.8]) that $T \in B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H is called *quasitriangular* if there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of projections of finite rank in B(H) that converges strongly to 1 and satisfies $||P_nTP_n - TP_n|| \to 0$. The set of quasitriangular operators can be characterized as the set of all sums of the form $T_0 + K$, where T_0 is triangular and $K \in K(H)$ (cf. [Pe, Corollary 4.19]). We have:

5. Corollary. If $A \in B(H)$ is of index zero then AB is quasitriangular if and only if BA is quasitriangular.

Proof. By Apostol, Foias, and Voiculescu [AFV] the operator T is quasitriangular if and only if SP(T) contains no hole or pseudohole with negative index.

Recall that $T \in B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H is called *essentially normal* if $T^*T - TT^* \in K(H)$ and that operators T_1 and T_2 in B(H) are said to be *compalent* if there exists a unitary operator $W \in B(H)$ and a compact operator $K \in K(H)$ such that $WT_1W^* + K = T_2$. Then by the beautiful Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theorem ([BDF]) we have: **6.** Corollary. Let $A \in B(H)$ be of index zero. If AB and BA are essentially normal then AB and BA are compalent.

Proof. If AB and BA are essentially normal then neither of them have any pseudoholes, so that (2.5) holds; now the result follows from Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theorem - if T_1 and T_2 are essentially normal then T_1 and T_2 are compalent if and only if $SP(T_1) = SP(T_2)$.

We write Lat (A) for the invariant subspace lattices of $A \in B(X)$, and recall that a "quasiaffinity" is one-one with dense range; obviously if A is not a quasiaffinity then either its null space or the closure of its range will be in Lat (A). We observe

7. Proposition. If A, B in B(X) are such that BA is a quasiaffinity then

(7.1)
$$\operatorname{Lat}(BA) \text{ nontrivial} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Lat}(AB) \text{ nontrivial.}$$

Proof. By assumption A is one-one and B has dense range. We claim that if $N \in Lat(BA)$ is nontrivial then

(7.2)
$$M = \operatorname{cl}(AN) \Longrightarrow M \in \operatorname{Lat}(AB) \text{ with } \{0\} \neq M \neq X.$$

The invariance of M is clear; $M \neq \{0\}$ is because A is one-one and N is nonzero; $M \neq X$ is because B is dense and $N \neq X$.

An operator $T \in B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H has a unique polar decomposition T = U|T|, where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and U is a partial isometry with the same null space as T. Associated with T, there is a useful related operator $T_{\epsilon} := |T|^{\epsilon}U|T|^{1-\epsilon}$ ($0 \le \epsilon \le 1$) called the *generalized Aluthge transform* of T of order ϵ ([Al]). If $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ this really is the Aluthge transform while if $\epsilon = 0$ we get back T itself; if $\epsilon = 1$ then this is what Carl Pearcy has called the "Duggal transplant" of T.

We recapture [JKP, Corollary 1.12]:

8. Corollary. Let $T \in B(H)$. If SP(T) has no pseudoholes then $SP(T) = SP(T_{\epsilon})$ for each $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$. *Proof.* Let T = U |T| be the polar decomposition of T. Note that $|T|^{\epsilon}$ is of index zero. Now applying Theorem 2 with $A := |T|^{\epsilon}$ and $B := U|T|^{1-\epsilon}$ gives the result.

9. Corollary. If $T \in B(H)$ is a quasiaffinity and $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$ then Lat (T) is nontrivial if and only if Lat (T_{ϵ}) is nontrivial.

Proof. Let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition of T. Note that if T is a quasiaffinity then T_{ϵ} is a quasiaffinity and U is a unitary operator. Write $A := |T|^{\epsilon}$ and $B := U|T|^{1-\epsilon}$. Now applying Proposition 7 with T = BA and $T_{\epsilon} = AB$ gives implication one way, and for the other way reverse them.

10. Remark. If $f(\lambda)$ is a holomorphic function on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(AB)$ with f(0) = 0 then for $A, B \in B(X)$ we can see that

$$f(AB) = AC$$
 and $f(BA) = CA$ for some $C \in B(X)$

(cf. [Ba. Corollary 8]). Thus the results of this paper can be extended to f(AB) and f(BA) with such a function f.

References

- [Al] A. Aluthge, On p-hyponormal operators for 0 , Integral Equations Operator Theory**13**(1990), 307–315.
- [AFV] C. Apostol, C. Foias and D. Voiculescu, Some results on non-quasitriangular operators, II, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 20 (1975), 159-181.
- [Ba] B. A. Barnes, Common operator properties of the linear operators RS and SR, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 1055-1061.
- [BDF] L. Brown, R.G. Douglas and P. Fillmore, Extensions of C*-algebras and K-homology, Ann. of Math. 105 (1977), 265–324.
- [Dj] D. Djordjevic, Operators consistent in regularity, Publ. Math. Debrecen (to appear).
- [GGK] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg and M. A. Kaashoek, Classes of Linear Operators, Vol II, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [Ha] R.E. Harte, Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators, Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [JKP] I. B. Jung, E. Ko, and C. Pearcy, Spectral pictures of Aluthge transforms of operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 40 (2001), 52–60.
- [LYR] C. Lin, Z. Yan and Y. Ruan, Common properties of operators RS and SR and p-hyponormal operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 43 (2002), 313–325.
- [Pe] C. Pearcy, Some recent developments in operator theory, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1978.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE, DUBLIN, IRELAND *E-mail address*: rharte@maths.tcd.ie

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA *E-mail address*: yhkim@skku.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA *E-mail address*: wylee@math.snu.ac.kr