

COWEN SETS FOR TOEPLITZ OPERATORS WITH FINITE RANK SELF-COMMUTATORS

WOO YOUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. Cowen's theorem states that if $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ then the Toeplitz operator T_φ is hyponormal if and only if the following 'Cowen' set $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is nonempty:

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \{k \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}) : \|k\|_\infty \leq 1 \text{ and } \varphi - k\bar{\varphi} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})\}.$$

In this paper, we give a complete description on the Cowen set $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ if the selfcommutator $[T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$ is of finite rank. In particular, it is shown that the solution for the cases where φ is of bounded type has a connection with a H^∞ optimization problem.

1. Introduction

A bounded linear operator A on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} is said to be hyponormal if its selfcommutator $[A^*, A] = A^*A - AA^*$ is positive semidefinite. Recall that given $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, the Toeplitz operator with symbol φ is the operator T_φ on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} defined by

$$T_\varphi f = P(\varphi \cdot f),$$

where $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$ and P denotes the orthogonal projection that maps $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Relationships between hyponormal operators and Toeplitz-like operators were discovered in papers [NF] and [Cla]. More recently, the problem of determining which symbols induce hyponormal Toeplitz operators was completely solved by C. Cowen [Co] in 1988. Here we shall employ an equivalent variant of Cowen's theorem that was proposed by T. Nakazi and K. Takahashi in [NT].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B20, 47B35

Key words and phrases. Cowen sets, H^∞ optimization problem, Toeplitz operators, Hankel operators, hyponormal, bounded type, Carathéodory–Schur interpolation problem.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - TEX

Cowen's theorem. [Co], [NT] *Suppose that $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is arbitrary and put*

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) := \{k \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}) : \|k\|_\infty \leq 1 \text{ and } \varphi - k\bar{\varphi} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})\}.$$

Then T_φ is hyponormal if and only if the set $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is nonempty.

Cowen's method is to recast the operator-theoretic problem of hyponormality for Toeplitz operators into the problem of finding a solution of a certain functional equation involving its symbol. This approach has been put to use in the works [CL1], [CL2], [FL], [GS], [HKL], [HL], [NT], [Zhu] to study Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space of the unit circle.

Now the set $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ will be called the *Cowen set* for the function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. The question about the Cowen set $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is of great interest. Indeed, $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ has been studied intensively in recent literature because when φ is of bounded type (i.e., quotient of two bounded analytic functions), it has a connection with the following H^∞ optimization problem which naturally arise in robust control theory (cf. [FF]):

H^∞ optimization problem. *Let $k_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and θ a fixed inner function in $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Find μ where*

$$\mu = \text{dist}(k_0, \theta H^\infty) \equiv \inf_{h \in H^\infty} \|k_0 - \theta h\|_\infty.$$

In this paper it is shown that via Nehari's theorem and Adamyan-Arov-Krein theorem, a solution of a H^∞ optimization problem provides information on $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ when φ is of bounded type and T_φ has finite rank selfcommutator.

2. Main Results

We begin with the connection between Hankel and Toeplitz operators. For φ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, the *Hankel operator* $H_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ is defined by

$$H_\varphi f = J(I - P)(\varphi f),$$

where $J : (H^2)^\perp \rightarrow H^2$ is given by $Jz^{-n} = z^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. For $\zeta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, we define

$$\tilde{\zeta} = \overline{\zeta(\bar{z})}.$$

The following is a basic connection between Hankel and Toeplitz operators:

$$T_{\varphi\psi} - T_\varphi T_\psi = H_{\bar{\varphi}}^* H_\psi \quad (\varphi, \psi \in L^\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad H_\varphi T_h = H_{\varphi h} = T_h^* H_\varphi \quad (h \in H^\infty).$$

From this we can see that if $k \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ then

$$(1) \quad [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] = H_{\bar{\varphi}}^* H_{\bar{\varphi}} - H_\varphi^* H_\varphi = H_{\bar{\varphi}}^* H_{\bar{\varphi}} - H_{k\bar{\varphi}}^* H_{k\bar{\varphi}} = H_{\bar{\varphi}}^* (1 - T_{\bar{k}}^* T_{\bar{k}}) H_{\bar{\varphi}}.$$

For an inner function θ , we write

$$\mathcal{H}(\theta) \equiv H^2 \ominus \theta H^2.$$

If $\varphi \in L^\infty$, write

$$\varphi_+ \equiv P(\varphi) \in H^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_- \equiv \overline{(I - P)(\varphi)} \in zH^2.$$

Thus we can write $\varphi = \varphi_+ + \bar{\varphi}_-$. Assume that φ is of bounded type, i.e., there are functions ψ_1, ψ_2 in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{\psi_1(z)}{\psi_2(z)}$$

for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Since $T_{\bar{z}} H_\varphi = H_\varphi T_z$ it follows from Beurling's theorem that $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}_-} = \theta H^2$ and $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}_+} = \theta_+ H^2$ for some inner functions θ, θ_+ . If T_φ is hyponormal then by (1), $\|H_{\bar{\varphi}_+} f\| \geq \|H_{\bar{\varphi}_-} f\|$ for all $f \in H^2$, so that

$$\theta_+ H^2 = \ker H_{\bar{\varphi}_+} \subseteq \ker H_{\bar{\varphi}_-} = \theta H^2,$$

which implies that θ divides θ_+ , i.e., $\theta_+ = \theta_0 \theta$ for some inner function θ_0 . Thus if $\varphi = \varphi_+ + \bar{\varphi}_-$ is of bounded type and T_φ is hyponormal then we can write (cf. [GS])

$$\varphi_+ = \theta_0 \theta \bar{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_- = \theta \bar{b},$$

where $a \in \mathcal{H}(\theta_0 \theta)$ and $b \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)$. If $k_0 \in H^\infty$ is a solution of equation

$$(2) \quad b - k_0 a = \theta h \quad \text{for some } h \in H^2$$

then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \{\theta_0(k_0 + \theta f) : f \in H^\infty \text{ and } \|k_0 + \theta f\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

By Nehari's Theorem [Ne], we have

$$(3) \quad \text{dist}(k_0, \theta H^\infty) = \inf_{f \in H^\infty} \|\bar{\theta} k_0 + f\|_\infty = \|H_{\bar{\theta} k_0}\|.$$

Thus we have (see [GS, Theorem 8])

$$(4) \quad T_\varphi \text{ is hyponormal} \iff \|H_{\bar{\theta} k_0}\| \leq 1.$$

The following theorem is our main result, which gives a description on the Cowen set $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ when the selfcommutator $[T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$ is of finite rank. In fact we can prove more:

Theorem 1. *If φ is of bounded type then we have that:*

- (a) *If $\ker H_{\overline{\varphi}} \not\subseteq \ker [T_{\varphi}^*, T_{\varphi}]$ then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is empty;*
- (b) *If $\ker H_{\overline{\varphi}} = \ker [T_{\varphi}^*, T_{\varphi}]$ and $\text{rank } [T_{\varphi}^*, T_{\varphi}] < \infty$ then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains infinitely many inner functions;*
- (c) *If $\ker H_{\overline{\varphi}} \subsetneq \ker [T_{\varphi}^*, T_{\varphi}]$ then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a unique function which is inner.*

If instead φ is not of bounded type such that T_{φ} is hyponormal then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a unique function.

To prove Theorem 1 we need auxiliary lemmas.

The following lemma is another version of Cowen's theorem.

Lemma 2. [CL1], [CL2, Lemma 1] *If $\varphi \equiv \varphi_+ + \overline{\varphi_-} \in L^{\infty}$, then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the equation $H_{\overline{\varphi_+}}k = \overline{z}\widetilde{\varphi_-}$ admits a solution k satisfying $\|k\|_{\infty} \leq 1$.*

T. Nakazi and K. Takahashi [NT] noticed that if T_{φ} is a hyponormal operator such that its selfcommutator is of finite rank then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a finite Blaschke product.

Lemma 3. (Nakazi-Takahashi Theorem) [NT] *A Toeplitz operator T_{φ} is hyponormal and the rank of the selfcommutator $[T_{\varphi}^*, T_{\varphi}]$ is finite if and only if there exists a finite Blaschke product k in $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ of the form*

$$k(z) = e^{i\theta} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - \beta_j}{1 - \overline{\beta_j}z} \quad (|\beta_j| < 1 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n).$$

such that $\deg(k) = \text{rank } [T_{\varphi}^, T_{\varphi}]$, where $\deg(k)$ denotes the degree of k – meaning the number of zeros of k in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} .*

The following lemma is a solution of a H^{∞} optimization problem.

Lemma 4. *If b and q are finite Blaschke products then*

$$(5) \quad \deg(b) \geq \deg(q) \iff \text{dist}(b, qH^{\infty}) < 1.$$

Proof. In general, for a continuous function u on \mathbb{T} with $|u| \equiv 1$,

$$(6) \quad \text{dist}(u, H^{\infty}) < 1 \iff \text{wind}(u) \geq 0,$$

where $\text{wind}(\cdot)$ denotes the winding number with respect to the origin: indeed, this follows from the fact that (see [Ni, Appendix 4, Theorem 41])

$$\text{dist}(u, H^\infty) < 1 \iff T_u \text{ is left invertible} \iff \text{wind}(u) \geq 0,$$

where the second implication comes from the observation that T_u is Fredholm and hence, by Coburn's theorem T_u is left or right invertible and the Fredholm index of T_u is equal to $-\text{wind}(u)$. Applying (6) to $u = \frac{b}{q}$ gives that

$$\text{dist}(b, qH^\infty) < 1 \iff \text{wind}\left(\frac{b}{q}\right) \geq 0 \iff \deg(b) \geq \deg(q).$$

□

We are ready for:

Proof of Theorem 1. From (1) we can see that if T_φ is hyponormal then

$$\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}} \subseteq \ker [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi],$$

which proves statement (a).

Towards statement (b), suppose φ is of bounded type. So we can write $\varphi = \theta_0\bar{\theta}a + \bar{\theta}b$ for $a \in \mathcal{H}(\theta_0\theta)$ and $b \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)$. Now suppose $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}} = \ker [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$ and $\text{rank} [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] < \infty$. Since $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}} = \theta_0\theta H^2$ it follows that

$$\text{ran} [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] = (\ker [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi])^\perp = (\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}})^\perp = H^2 \ominus \theta_0\theta H^2,$$

which implies that $\theta_0\theta$ is a finite Blaschke product since $\text{ran} [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$ is finite dimensional. Also, by Lemma 3 there exists a finite Blaschke product $\theta_0 k_0$ in $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ such that $\deg(\theta_0 k_0) = \text{rank} [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$. Thus k_0 is a finite Blaschke product such that $\deg(\theta_0 k_0) = \text{rank} H_{\bar{\varphi}} = \deg(\theta_0\theta)$, and hence $\deg(k_0) = \deg(\theta)$. So by Lemma 4, we have that $\text{dist}(k_0, \theta H^\infty) < 1$, and hence by (3), $\|H_{\bar{\theta}k_0}\| < 1$. Remembering Adamyan-Arov-Krein theorem which states that if $f \in L^\infty$ and $\text{dist}(f, H^\infty) < 1$ then $f + H^\infty$ contains a unimodular function, we can see that if $\|H_{\bar{\theta}k_0}\| < 1$, then $k_0 + \theta H^\infty$ contains an inner function. Thus $\theta_0 k_0 + \theta_0\theta H^\infty$ contains an inner function, and in turn, $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains an inner function. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 > \text{dist}(\bar{\theta}k_0, H^\infty) &= \text{dist}(\bar{z}\bar{\theta}k_0, \bar{z}H^\infty) \\ &= \text{dist}(\bar{z}\bar{\theta}k_0 + \bar{z}c, H^\infty) \quad \text{for a suitable } c \\ &= \|H_{\bar{z}\bar{\theta}(k_0+\theta c)}\|, \end{aligned}$$

we can choose different constants α_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) such that $\|H_{\bar{z}\bar{\theta}(k_0+\theta\alpha_n)}\| < 1$. Applying again Adamyan-Arov-Krein theorem to $H_{\bar{z}\bar{\theta}(k_0+\theta\alpha_n)}$, there exists $q_n \in H^\infty$ such that $k_0 + \theta\alpha_n + z\theta q_n$ are inner functions. Evidently, $\theta_0 k_0 + \theta_0 \theta(\alpha_n + z\theta q_n) \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ and are different. This proves statement (b).

Towards statement (c), suppose $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}} \subsetneq \ker [T_{\bar{\varphi}}^*, T_{\bar{\varphi}}]$. If $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a function k which is not inner then $\ker(1 - T_{\tilde{k}}^* T_{\tilde{k}}) = \{0\}$: indeed if $g = T_{\tilde{k}}^* T_{\tilde{k}} g$ then $\|g\|^2 = \|T_{\tilde{k}}^* g\|^2$, and hence

$$\int |g|^2 d\mu = \|g\|^2 = \|T_{\tilde{k}}^* g\|^2 \leq \|\tilde{k}g\|^2 = \int |\tilde{k}|^2 |g|^2 d\mu,$$

which implies that $g = 0$ a.e. if \tilde{k} is not inner. Thus by (1) we have that $\ker [T_{\bar{\varphi}}^*, T_{\bar{\varphi}}] \subseteq \ker H_{\bar{\varphi}}$, which forces that $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}} = \ker [T_{\bar{\varphi}}^*, T_{\bar{\varphi}}]$, a contradiction. If instead $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains two different inner functions then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ has a function which is not inner: for if k_1 and k_2 ($k_1 \neq k_2$) are inner functions in $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ then we can easily see that $\frac{k_1+k_2}{2} \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ and $\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}$ is not an inner function since every inner function is an extreme point of the unit ball of H^∞ . Thus $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a unique inner function. This proves statement (c).

For the second assertion write $\varphi = \varphi_+ + \bar{\varphi}_-$. If φ is not of bounded type then by an argument of Abrahamse [Ab, Lemma 3], we have that $\ker H_{\bar{\varphi}_+} = \ker H_{\bar{\varphi}} = \{0\}$. Thus the solution k of the equation $H_{\bar{\varphi}_+} k = \bar{z}\bar{\varphi}_-$ should be unique. Thus the second assertion follows at once from Lemma 2. \square

We would like to remark that if $H_{\bar{\theta}k_0}$ attains its norm (e.g., it is of finite rank) then $\text{dist}(k_0, \theta H^\infty) = 1$ implies that $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a unique inner function. To see this, recall (cf. [Ni, p.202]) that if $f \in L^\infty$ and H_f attains its norm then $f + H^\infty$ contains a unique element of least norm which is of the form $\lambda \frac{\bar{h}}{h\nu}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, h is an outer function and ν is an inner function. So if $\|H_{\bar{\theta}k_0}\| = 1$ and $H_{\bar{\theta}k_0}$ attains its norm then by (3), $\bar{\theta}k_0 + H^\infty$ contains a unique unimodular function. Thus $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a unique inner function.

We now turn our attention to the cases of Toeplitz operators with symbols that are trigonometric polynomials. If φ is a trigonometric polynomial of the form $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=-m}^N a_n z^n$, where a_{-m} and a_N are nonzero, then the rank of the selfcommutator $[T_{\bar{\varphi}}^*, T_{\bar{\varphi}}]$ is finite. Thus if $T_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is hyponormal then by Lemma 3, $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a finite Blaschke product.

We now have:

Corollary 5. *Let $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=-m}^N a_n z^n$ be such that T_φ is a hyponormal operator.*

- (a) *If $\text{rank}[T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] < N$ then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains a unique finite Blaschke product;*
- (b) *If $\text{rank}[T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] = N$ then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ contains infinitely many inner functions. Furthermore if $b \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is a finite Blaschke product then $\deg(b) \geq N$.*

Proof. Since $\ker H_{\overline{\varphi}} = z^N H^2$, Part (a) corresponds to the case where $\ker H_{\overline{\varphi}} \subsetneq \ker [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$ and Part (b) corresponds to the case where $\ker H_{\overline{\varphi}} = \ker [T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi]$. Thus the statement (a) and the first assertion of statement (b) follow at once from Theorem 1 together with Lemma 3.

For the second assertion of statement (b), assume to the contrary that $b \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is a finite Blaschke product of degree less than N . By Lemma 3, there exists a finite Blaschke product $k \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ of degree N . Then we have

$$\widehat{k}(j) = \widehat{b}(j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where $\widehat{f}(j)$ means the j -th Fourier coefficients of $f \in H^\infty$. Thus by the uniqueness argument of [HL, Lemma 1] we should have that $b = k$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 5(a) is an extended result of [HKL, Corollary 4].

The following is an immediate result from Corollary 5.

Corollary 6. *Suppose that $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=-m}^N a_n z^n$ and that k is a finite Blaschke product in $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$.*

- (a) *If $\deg(k) < N$ then $\text{rank}[T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] = \deg(k)$;*
- (b) *If $\deg(k) \geq N$ then $\text{rank}[T_\varphi^*, T_\varphi] = N$.*

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Professors Caixing Gu, Young-One Kim and the referee for several helpful suggestions concerning the topics in this paper. This work was supported by SNU foundation in 2003.

REFERENCES

- [Ab] M. B. Abrahamse, *Subnormal Toeplitz operators and functions of bounded type*, Duke Math. J. **43** (1976), 597–604.
- [Cla] K. F. Clancey, *Toeplitz models for operators with one-dimensional self-commutators. Dilation theory, Toeplitz operators, and other topics* (Timișoara/Herculane, 1982), 81–107, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 11, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

- [Co] C. C. Cowen, *Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 809–812.
- [CL1] R. E. Curto and W. Y. Lee, *Joint hyponormality of Toeplitz pairs*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. no. 712, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2001.
- [CL2] ———, *Reduced Cowen sets*, New York J. Math. **7** (2001), 217–222.
- [FL] D. R. Farenick and W. Y. Lee, *Hyponormality and spectra of Toeplitz operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 4153–4174.
- [FF] C. Foiaş and A. Frazho, *The Commutant Lifting Approach to Interpolation Problems*, Operator Theory: Adv. Appl., vol **44**, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Boston, 1990.
- [GS] C. Gu and J. E. Shapiro, *Kernels of Hankel operators and hyponormality of Toeplitz operators*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 553–572.
- [HKL] I. S. Hwang, I. H. Kim and W. Y. Lee, *Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators with polynomial symbols*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), 247–261.
- [HL] I. S. Hwang and W. Y. Lee, *Hyponormality of trigonometric Toeplitz operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2461–2474.
- [NF] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *An application of dilation theory to hyponormal operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **37** (1975), 155–159.
- [NT] T. Nakazi and K. Takahashi, *Hyponormal Toeplitz operators and extremal problems of Hardy spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), 753–769.
- [Ne] Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 153–162.
- [Ni] N. K. Nikolskii, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [Zhu] K. Zhu, *Hyponormal Toeplitz operators with polynomial symbols*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **21** (1995), 376–381.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA
E-mail address: wylee@math.snu.ac.kr