Fourier algebras on locally compact groups

Fourier algebras on locally compact groups

Hun Hee Lee, Seoul National University

KOTAC2015, June 18th, 2015

Locally compact groups and Banach algebras

- (Def) A locally compact (LC) group is a topological group whose topology is locally compact and Hausdorff.
- ► Examples of LC groups: (1) Lie groups such as T, R, SU(2), Heisenberg groups, ···) (2) discrete group, i.e. any groups with discrete topology.
- ► (Def) A Banach algebra is a Banach space A with a vector multiplication s.t.

$$||x \cdot y|| \leq ||x|| \cdot ||y||, \ x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A Banach algebra from a LC group

G: Locally compact group
 ⇒ ∃µ: A (left) Haar measure - (left) translation invariant measure (a generalization of the Lebesgue measure)

► (Convolution)

$$L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mu),$$

 $f * g(x) = \int_{G} f(y)g(x^{-1}y)d\mu(y), f, g \in L^1(G).$

► The space (*L*¹(*G*), *) is a Banach algebra, i.e. we have

$$||f * g||_1 \le ||f||_1 \cdot ||g||_1, \ f, g \in L^1(G).$$

This algebra is called the convolution algebra on G.

- (Wendel '52, L¹(G) "determines" G)
 L¹(G) ≅ L¹(H) isometrically isomorphic
 ⇔ G ≅ H as topological groups.
- ▶ For non-abelian G the algebra $(L^1(G), *)$ is non-commutative!

Another Banach algebra from a LC group

►
$$G = \mathbb{R}$$

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}} : L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \to C_{0}(\mathbb{R}), \ f \mapsto \hat{f}, \ \widehat{f * g} = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}, \ \widehat{f \cdot g} = \hat{f} * \hat{g}$
 $A(\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}}(L^{1}(\mathbb{R})), \ ||\hat{f}||_{A(\mathbb{R})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ||f||_{1}$: a subalgebra of $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$

▶ Note that $f \in L^1$ can be written $f = f_1 \cdot f_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in L^2$ with $||f||_1 = ||f_1||_2 \cdot ||f_2||_2$, then by Plancherel's thm

$$A(\mathbb{R}) = \{f * g : f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

For a general locally compact group we have a limited understanding of the group Fourier transform, which requires a heavy group representation theory, so that we take the last approach to define A(G).

Fourier algebra [Kreĭn '49, Stinespring '59, Eymard '64]

• We defien the Fourier algebra A(G) by

$$A(G) \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \{ f = g * \check{h} : g, h \in L^2(G) \},\$$

where $\check{h}(x) = h(x^{-1})$.

A(G) is equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{A(G)} = \inf_{f=g*\check{h}} ||g||_2 ||h||_2,$$

and is known to be a subalgebra of $C_0(G)$, so a commutative Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication.

- But still A(G) "in my mind" is the collection of functions whose "group Fourier transform" is in (non-commutative) L¹.
- For abelian G we do have A(G) ≅ L¹(G) via group Fourier transform, where G is the "dual group" of G. In this sense we regard A(G) as the "dual object" of L¹(G).

Fourier algebra: continued

- ▶ (Walters '72, A(G) "determines" G) $A(G) \cong A(H)$ isometrically isomorphic $\Leftrightarrow G \cong H$.
- The algebra A(G) has the advantage of being commutative.
- ► (**Def**) \mathcal{A} : a commutative Banach algebra Spec $\mathcal{A} = \{ \varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}, \text{ non-zero, multiplicative, linear} \}$
- ► (Eymard '64, Gelfand spectrum "detects" G) SpecA(G) ≅ G as topological spaces.
- The difficulty lies in the calculation of the Fourier algebra norm.

Extracting information of G from $L^1(G)$: Amenability

- ▶ (**Def, von Neumann**) *G* is called **amenable** if there is a mean φ on $L^{\infty}(G)$, i.e. $\varphi \in (L^{\infty}(G))^*$ satisfying (1) (positive) $\varphi(f) \ge 0$ for $f \ge 0$, (2) (unital) $\varphi(1) = 1$, and (3) (translation invariant) $\varphi(xf) = f$, $x \in G$, where $xf(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$.
- Compact groups, abelian groups are amenable and 𝑘_n, n ≥ 2 are non-amenable.
- (Def, Johnson '72) A Banach algebra A is called amenable if any bounded derivation D : A → X* for a A-bimodule X is inner, i.e. ∃ψ ∈ X* s.t. D(a) = a · ψ − ψ · a.
- ▶ (**Johnson '72**) $L^1(G)$ is amenable \Leftrightarrow G is amenable.

Extracting information of G from A(G): Amenability

- (**Question**) A(G) amenable \Leftrightarrow G amenable?
- (Johnson '94) A(SU(2)) is not amenable.
- ► (Ruan, '95) A(G) amenable in the operator space category ⇔ G amenable.
- Canonical operator space structure on A(G) is quite informative.

$$A(G \times H) \cong A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(H) \ncong A(G) \otimes_{\pi} A(H),$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}$ and \otimes_{π} are projective tensor products of operator spaces and Banach spaces, resp.

Note that the canonical operator space structure on L¹(G) is not so new.

Spectrum of weighted Fourier algebras and complexification of Lie groups

- ► (Question) Recall SpecA(G) ≅ G. Now we make A(G) weighted and investigate its spectrum. What can we say about the underlying group G??
- (Answer) We can say something about the complexification of G!
- (1) Weighted A(G)? (2) complexification of G?

Simplest case $G = \mathbb{R}$

- ► Recall A(ℝ) ≅ L¹(ℝ). We call w : R̂ ≅ ℝ → (0,∞) a weight if it is sub-multiplicative. Then, A(ℝ, w) := L¹(ℝ̂, w) is still a Banach algebra w.r.t. convolution (we call this a weighted convolution algebra or a Beurling algebra).
- Examples of weights:

(1)
$$w_{\alpha}(t) = (1 + |t|)^{\alpha}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \ge 0$$

(2) $w_{\beta}(t) = \beta^{|t|}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \ge 1.$

- Spec $A(\mathbb{R}, w_{\beta}) \cong \{c \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathsf{Im}c| \le \log \beta\}$
- The proof uses C_c(R̂) ⊆ A(R, w_β) and solve a Cauchy functional equation to get the conclusion.

Another simple case $G = \mathbb{T}$

- ▶ Recall A(T) ≅ L¹(Z). We call w : Z ≅ R → (0,∞) a weight if it is sub-multiplicative. Then, A(T, w) := L¹(Z, w) is still a Banach algebra w.r.t. convolution.
- Examples of weights:

(1)
$$w_{\alpha}(n) = (1 + |n|)^{\alpha}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \ge 0$$

(2) $w_{\beta}(n) = \beta^{|n|}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \ge 1.$

- Spec $A(\mathbb{T}, w_{\beta}) \cong \{ c \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{\log \beta} \le |c| \le \log \beta \}$
- The proof uses Trig(T) ⊆ A(T, w_β) and note that Trig(T) is singly generated to get the conclusion.

The case of a general Lie group G

- ► (Weighted A(G)?) There is a natural way of constructing "sub-multiplicative" weights on the "dual of G" extending the ones from the commutative Lie subgroups of G (or from the Lie derivative directions).
- Complexification of G?) G has its Lie algebra g, which can be easily complexified g_C. If there is a "canonical" Lie group whose Lie algebra is g_C, then we call it the complexification of G with the notation G_C. For example, ℝ_C = C, T_C = C\{0}, SU(2)_C = SL(2, C).
- (Conjecture) Spec $A(G, w) \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and it reflects the structure of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ in a various way (e.g. translation, automorphism).
- The conjecture is somehow true for compact (Lie) groups and for some non-compact Lie groups (Heisenberg group, Euclidean motion group).

The case of Heisenberg group H_1

• Heisenberg group
$$H_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(The complexification of
$$H_1$$
)
 $(H_1)_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\{ (x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ & 1 & y \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^3.$

▶ (L./Spronk, preprint) Let w_β be the exponential weight extended from the subgroup $Y = \{(0, y, 0) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Spec}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_1, w_\beta) &\cong \{(x, y, z) \in (\mathcal{H}_1)|_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^3 \\ &: \mathsf{Im} x = \mathsf{Im} z = 0, |\mathsf{Im} y| \le \log \beta \}. \end{aligned}$$

Other subgroups have similar results.

The case of Heisenberg group H_1 : ingredients

- $\widehat{H_1}$: irreducible unitary representations on H_1 .
- ► Very careful choice of a subalgebra (or a subspace) of A(H₁, w_β) replacing C_c(H₁)!
- Solving Cauchy functional equation for distributions!

Group Fourier transform of H_1

For any r∈ ℝ\{0} we have the Schrödinger representation π^r(x, y, z)ξ(w) = e^{2πir(-wy+z)}ξ(-x + w), ξ ∈ L²(ℝ).
For f ∈ L¹(H₁) and r ∈ ℝ\{0} we define f^{H₁} by f^{H₁}(r) := ∫_{H₁} f(x, y, z)π^r(x, y, z)dμ(x, y, z) ∈ B(L²(ℝ)).

We have

$$A(H_1) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, |r|dr; S^1(L^2(\mathbb{R}))),$$

where $S^1(\mathcal{H})$ is the trace class on \mathcal{H} .

The case of E(2), the Euclidean motion group

• The group E(2) and its complexification $E(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ are

$$\begin{split} E(2) &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi], z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (\alpha, z_1, z_2) : \alpha \in [0, 2\pi], z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^2. \\ E(2)_{\mathbb{C}} &= \left\{ (\alpha, z_1, z_2) : \alpha, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^3. \end{split}$$

- For a > 0 we consider the representation $\pi^{a}(\alpha, z)F(\theta) = e^{ia(z_{1}\cos\theta + z_{2}\sin\theta)}F(\theta - \alpha), F \in L^{2}[0, 2\pi].$
- (Group Fourier transform on E(2)) For $f \in L^1(E(2))$ and a > 0 we define

$$\widehat{f}^{E(2)}(a) = \int_{E(2)} f(\alpha, z) \pi^{a}(\alpha, z) d\mu(\alpha, z).$$

• $A(E(2)) \cong L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, ada; S^1(L^2[0, 2\pi])).$

The case of E(2): Results

(L./Spronk, preprint) Let w_β is the exponential weight coming from the subgroup {(α, 0) : α ∈ [0, 2π]}, then we have

Spec
$$A(G; w_{\beta}) \cong$$

 $\{(\alpha, z_1, z_2) \in G_{\mathbb{C}} : \operatorname{Im} z_1 = \operatorname{Im} z_2 = 0, |\operatorname{Im} \alpha| \le \log \beta\}.$

Fourier algebras on locally compact groups

Thank you for your attention!