

Lecture I. Basic properties of m-isometries

Caixing Gu

California Polytechnic State University

San Luis Obispo, California

Operator Winter School, South Korea

December 21-24, 2014

Plan of the talk

- Background
- Definition
- Decomposition theorem
- Spectrum and norm
- Example

Some history

Historically, there were first studies of n -symmetric operators (with connection to Sturm-Liouville conjugate point theory) by Helton (1972,74), Agler (1980, 1992), Ball-Helton (1980), Bunce (1983), Rodman and McCullough (1996, 1997, 1998).

The study of m -isometries was started by Agler and Stankus " m -isometric transformations of Hilbert space, I, II, III" (1995).

For two-isometries, there was another approach by Richter, a representation theorem for cyclic analytic two-isometries (1991), and Olofsson, A von Neumann-Wold decomposition of two-isometries (2004). Hellings, Two-isometries on Pontryagin spaces (2008). For 3-isometries, McCullough (1987, 89).

isometry,

unitary,

unilateral shift,

invariant subspace (upper triangular block form),

reducing subspace (direct sum),

von Neumann-Wold decomposition

write on the white board some details

A bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space H is an m -isometry for a positive integer m if

$$\beta_m(T) := \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} T^{*k} T^k = 0$$

or equivalently for all $h \in H$,

$$\beta_m(T, h) := \langle \beta_m(T)h, h \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k h\|^2 = 0$$

Note that

$$\beta_{m+1}(T) = T^* \beta_m(T) T - \beta_m(T). \quad (1)$$

Thus if T is an m -isometry, then T is an n -isometry for all $n \geq m$.

If H_0 is an invariant subspace of T , then

$$\beta_m(T|H_0) = P_{H_0} \beta_m(T)|H_0 \quad (2)$$

where $T|H_0$ is the restriction of T to H_0 .

Write on the board for $m = 1, 2, 3$, proof of recursive formula and about H_0

We say T is a strict m -isometry if T is an m -isometry but not an $(m - 1)$ -isometry.

We say T is an ∞ -isometry if

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_m(T)\|^{1/m} = 0.$$

We say T is a finite-isometry if T is an m -isometry for some $m \geq 1$.

We say T is an ∞ -unitary if both T and T^* are ∞ -isometries.

Similarly, for $m \geq 1$, T is an m -unitary if both T and T^* are m -isometries.

For an m -isometry T and $l < m$, T is l -pure if T has no nonzero direct summand which is an l -isometry.

For an ∞ -isometry, T is a pure ∞ -isometry if T has no nonzero direct summand which is a finite-isometry.

Decomposition Theorems

For $m \geq 1$, subspace K_m is defined by

$$K_m(T) = K_m = \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \ker(\beta_m(T)T^i). \quad (3)$$

It follows from recursive formula that

$$K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3 \subseteq \cdots .$$

Proposition 1 *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then K_m is invariant for T and $T|_{K_m}$ is an m -isometry. Furthermore, if $M \subseteq H$ is invariant for T and $T|M$ is an m -isometry, then $M \subseteq K_m$.*

write proof

Proposition 2 *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then for each $m \geq 1$, there exists a unique subspace $M \subseteq H$ that is maximal with respect to the following properties:*

- (i) M is reducing for T , and*
- (ii) $T|_M$ is an m -isometry.*

Skip proof

Let R_m denote this unique reducing subspace for T .

Theorem 3 *Let $T \in B(H)$ be an ∞ -isometry. Let $V_1 = R_1, V_n = R_n \ominus R_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$ and*

$$V_\infty = H \ominus \bigvee \{R_i, i \geq 1\} = H \ominus \bigvee \{V_i, i \geq 1\}.$$

Then V_i is reducing for T for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ and with respect to the decomposition

$$H = V_\infty \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus \dots,$$

T has the following form

$$T = V_\infty \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n \oplus \dots$$

where $T|_{V_\infty}$ is an pure ∞ -isometry, $T|_{V_\infty}$ is an isometry, and $T|_{V_n}$ is a pure $(m - 1)$ -isometry.

No need for proof

Nagy-Foias-Langer decomposition theorem for contractions: every contraction is a direct sum of a unitary and a completely nonunitary contraction

Here are two generalizations.

Theorem 4 *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then U_m defined by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} U_m &= K_m(T) \cap K_m(T^*) \quad (4) \\ &= \bigcap_{i \geq 0} \left[\ker(\beta_m(T)T^i) \cap \ker(\beta_m(T^*)T^{i*}) \right] \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

is the unique maximal reducing subspace on which T is an m -unitary. Furthermore $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ with respect to the decomposition $H = U_m \oplus U_m^\perp$ where T_1 is an m -unitary and T_2 is an operator which has no direct m -unitary summand.

Theorem 5 *Let $T \in B(H)$. Then R_1 defined by the formula*

$$R_1 = R_1(T) := \bigcap_{i,n \geq 0} \ker(\beta_1(T)T^i T^{*n}) \quad (6)$$

is the unique maximal reducing subspace on which T is an isometry. Furthermore $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ with respect to the decomposition $H = R_1 \oplus R_1^\perp$ where T_1 is an isometry and T_2 is an operator which has no direct isometry summand.

Maybe write Proof

Spectrum

Proposition 6 *If T is an m -isometry or an ∞ -isometry, then $\sigma_{ap}(T) \subseteq \partial D$. Therefore either $\sigma(T) = D^-$ or $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial D$. In particular T is left invertible.*

Proof

Proposition 7 *If T is an m -isometry, then the generalized eigenspaces corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.*

Skip proof

Reproducing formula for an m -isometry T :

for $n \geq m$

$$T^{*n}T^n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(T)$$

write proof

Therefore

$$\beta_{m-1}(T) \geq 0$$

write proof

Norm

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^n h\|^2 &= \langle T^{*n} T^n h, h \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(T) h, h \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(T, h)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if T is a strict m -isometry, then for constant c, C ,

$$Cn^{m-1} \geq \|T^n\|^2 \geq cn^{m-1} \text{ for } n \geq m.$$

A power-bounded m -isometry is an isometry.

write proof

Examples

Example 8 *If H is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then an ∞ -isometry is an m -isometry. An m -isometry is the direct sum of matrices of the form*

$$\lambda I + Q$$

where $Q^\ell = 0$ for some ℓ .

Maybe write proof

Example 9 Assume T and $Q \in B(H)$ are commuting and T is an m -isometry and Q is a nilpotent operator of order ℓ . Then $T + Q$ is an $(m + 2\ell - 2)$ -isometry. If T is an ∞ -isometry and Q is a quasinilpotent operator. Then $T + Q$ is an ∞ -isometry.

write Proof

Example 10 Let l_2 denotes the Hilbert space with basis $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. A unilateral weighted shift T on l_2 is defined by $Te_j = w_j e_{j+1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Without loss of generality, assume all weights are positive. Then T is a strict m -isometry if and only if there exists a polynomial $P(x)$ of degree $m - 1$ such that $P(n) > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$(w_n)^2 = \frac{P(n+1)}{P(n)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (7)$$

For the bilateral shifts case (m has to be odd), we only need to change both " $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ " in the above to " $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ".

will prove in last lecture

Example 11 An ∞ -isometry comes from the limit of a sequence of commuting finite-isometries. Let T_n be $n \times n$ Jordan block

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n & \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where the $\lambda_n \in \partial D$. Then T_n is a strict $(2n - 1)$ -isometry. Let

$$T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T_3 \oplus \cdots .$$

Then T is an ∞ -isometry but not a finite-isometry. Furthermore $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_n, n \geq 1\}^-$.

Explain as the limit of a sequence of commuting finite m -isometry.

Lecture II. 2-isometries

Caixing Gu

California Polytechnic State University

San Luis Obispo, California

Operator Winter School, South Korea

December 21-24

Plan of the talk

- Dilation, Extension and Lifting
- Von Neumann-Wold decomposition for 2-isometries
- Model for Analytic 2-isometries
- Lifting for 2-isometries

Extension and Lifting

Let $T \in L(H)$ and $B \in L(K)$ with $K \supseteq H$. Let B be such that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} T & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } K = H \oplus (K \ominus H) = H \oplus H^\perp$$

Since H is invariant for B , $T = B|_H$, B is called an extension (lifting) of T or T has a lifting (an extension) to B or T is a part of B .

Let $T \in L(H)$ and $B \in L(K)$. We say T is unitarily equivalent to a part of B if there is an isometry $W : H \rightarrow K$ such that

$$WT = BW$$

In this case, set $M = WH \subseteq K$, then M is invariant for B and

$$T = W^{-1}(B|_M)W.$$

Dilation

Sarason: Let $C \in L(K)$ with $K \supseteq H$. Then $T^n = P_H C^n|_H$ if and only if H^\perp is invariant for T^* and

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } K = H \oplus (K \ominus H) = H \oplus H^\perp.$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } K = (K \ominus H) \oplus H = H^\perp \oplus H$$

The operator C is called a (power) dilation of T or T has an dilation C . Equivalently C^* is an extension of T^* .

maybe some proof

von Neumann-Wold decomposition for isometries:

An isometry T is the direct sum of a unitary and a unilateral shift.

Set $H_\infty := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} T^n H$, $T|_{H_\infty}$ is unitary

$H_0 := H \ominus TH = \ker(T^*)$ is called the wandering subspace of T

$T|_{H_0 \oplus TH_0 \oplus T^2 H_0 \oplus \dots}$ is a shift operator

$$H = H_\infty \oplus [H_0 \oplus TH_0 \oplus T^2 H_0 \oplus \dots]$$

Corollary: An isometry can be extended to a unitary or
An isometry is a part of a unitary.

Nagy isometric dilation theorem: Every contraction has an extension to an coisometry or Every contraction is a part of an coisometry. Let $T \in L(H)$, then $T^* = V^*|_H$ (or equivalently $T^n = P_H V^n|_H$) where

$$V^* = \begin{bmatrix} T^* & D_T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } K = H \oplus H \oplus \cdots .$$

The isometry V is called an isometric dilation of T and

V^* is called a coisometry extension (lifting) of T^* or T^* has an extension (lifting) to V^* .

write proof

Von Neumann-Wold decomposition for 2-isometries

Let $T \in L(H)$ be an 2-concave or 2-expansive. That is

$$\beta_2(T) = T^{*2}T^2 - 2T^*T + I \leq 0$$

Explain the terminology

$(-1)^m \beta_m(T) \geq 0$ for contractive, hypercontractive

$(-1)^m \beta_m(T) \leq 0$ for expansive, hyperexpansive

Recall $H_\infty = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} T^n H$

Lemma 1 H_∞ is reducing for T and $T|_{H_\infty}$ is an unitary.

skip proof

Lemma 2 $\|T^n h\|^2 - \|h\|^2 \leq n(\|Th\|^2 - \|h\|^2) = n \|Dh\|^2,$

$$\beta_1(T) := T^*T - I = D \geq 0.$$

write proof, to start for $n = 1$

$$\|T^2 h\|^2 - \|Th\|^2 \leq \|Th\|^2 - \|h\|^2$$

Consequence for spectrum and norm

$\sigma_{ap}(T) \subseteq \partial D$. Therefore either $\sigma(T) = D^-$ or $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial D$. In particular T is left invertible.

$$T^*T - I = D \geq 0 \text{ or } \|Th\|^2 - \|h\|^2 \geq 0$$

T is expansive operator

Late to extend these results to $2m$ -expansive operators

Theorem 3 *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an 2-concave or 2-expansive. Assume $H_\infty = \{0\}$. Then*

$$H = \bigvee_{i \geq 0} T^i M_0$$

where

$$H_0 = (H \ominus TH) = R(T)^\perp = \ker T^*$$

is called the wandering subspace for S .

PROOF

$L = (T^*T)^{-1}T^*$ is the leftinverse of T ,

$Q = TL$ is the projection onto $Range(T)$

$P = I - Q$ is the projection on to $R(T)^\perp = \ker T^*$

Let $x \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}(I - T^n L^n)h &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (T^j L^j - T^{j+1} L^{j+1})h \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j (I - TL) L^j h \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j P L^j h \in \bigvee_{i \geq 0} T^i M_0\end{aligned}$$

Will prove $(I - T^n L^n)h \rightarrow h$ weakly to finish the proof.

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|PL^j h\|^2 + \sum_{j=0}^n \|DL^j h\|^2 + \|L^n h\|^2$$

For $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &= \|Ph\|^2 + \|Qh\|^2 \\ &= \|Ph\|^2 + \|Lh\|^2 + \|TLh\|^2 - \|Lh\|^2 \\ &= \|Ph\|^2 + \|Lh\|^2 + \|DLh\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

then by induction (using this formula for $L^n h$).

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\inf \left\{ \|T^n L^n h\|^2 - \|L^n h\|^2 : k \leq n \leq m \right\} \right) \sum_{n=k}^m \frac{1}{n} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=k}^m \frac{1}{n} \left(\|T^n L^n h\|^2 - \|L^n h\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=k}^m \|DL^n h\|^2 \leq \|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|L^n h\|^2$ is decreasing,

$$\liminf \|T^n L^n h\| = \lim \|L^n h\|$$

Thus there exists a weakly convergent subsequence

$$T^{n_j} L^{n_j} h \rightarrow y$$

for some y ,

but $T^{n_j} L^{n_j} h \in T^N H$ which is closed hence weakly closed. So $y \in H_\infty = \{0\}$.

THE PROOF IS COMPLETE.

In fact $\lim \|L^n h\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|T^{n_j} L^{n_j} h\| \rightarrow 0$.

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|PL^j h\|^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|DL^j h\|^2 \quad (1)$$

Theorem 4 Richter, *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an 2-concave or 2-expansive. Assume $H_\infty = \{0\}$. Then every invariant subspace M of T is of the form*

$$M = \bigvee_{i \geq 0} T^i M_0$$

where

$$M_0 = (M \ominus TM)$$

is called the wondering subspace for S .

There are generalizations of the above result.

By **Olofsson**,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^n h\|^2 - c \|h\|^2 &\leq c_n (\|Th\|^2 - \|h\|^2), \\ \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{c_n} &= \infty \end{aligned}$$

By **Shimorin**, operator related to 2-concave operator including Bergman shift, if $T \in L(H)$ is 2-concave, then $T' = T(T^*T)^{-1}$ satisfying

$$\|T'x + y\|^2 \leq 2(\|x\|^2 + \|T'y\|^2)$$

In another connection by **Chavan**, $T' = T(T^*T)^{-1}$ (called by him Cauchy dual to T) is a hyponormal contraction.

Model for Analytic 2-isometries

Richter for $\dim(H \ominus TH) = 1$

Olofsson for $\dim(H \ominus TH) > 1$.

Analytic 2-isometries means $H_\infty = \{0\}$.

Let E be a Hilbert space.

Definition 5 A **positive** $L(E)$ -valued operator measure on the unit circle $\mu(e^{i\theta}) = \mu(\theta)$. Let Ω be the σ -algebra of Borel sets of the circle. $\mu(\Omega_0) \geq 0$ in $L(E)$, and for any $x, y \in E$

$$\mu_{x,y}(\Omega_0) = \langle \mu(\Omega_0)x, y \rangle$$

are all complex regular Borel measures on Ω .

$$T = \int f d\mu \text{ means } \langle Tx, y \rangle = \int f d\mu_{x,y}.$$

The Fourier coefficients of μ are defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-in\theta} d\mu(\theta)$$

$\hat{\mu}(n)$ are bounded operators in $L(E)$.

The Poisson integral $P[\mu]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} P[\mu](z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(z, e^{i\alpha}) d\mu(e^{i\alpha}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)}{|e^{i\alpha} - z|^2} d\mu(e^{i\alpha}) \\ &= \sum \hat{\mu}(n) r^n e^{in\theta}, z = re^{i\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6 *The Dirichlet space $D(\mu)$. Let $f(z)$ be a E -valued analytic function on \mathbb{D}*

$$\|f\|_{\mu}^2 = \|f\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z)f'(z), f'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z)$$

If $f = \sum a_j z^j$ is an E -valued analytic polynomial, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z)f'(z), f'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z) \\ &= \sum_{j,k \geq 1} \min\{j, k\} r^{2 \max\{j,k\}} \left\langle \hat{u}(k-j)a_j, a_k \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](re^{i\theta})f(re^{i\theta}), f(re^{i\theta}) \right\rangle_E d\theta \\ &= \sum_{j,k \geq 0} r^{2 \max\{j,k\}} \left\langle \hat{u}(k-j)a_j, a_k \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7 M_z on $D(\mu)$ is an analytic 2-isometry.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z) (zf)'(z), (zf)'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z) \\
&= r^2 \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z) f'(z), f'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z) \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2}{2\pi} \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](re^{i\theta}) f(re^{i\theta}), f(re^{i\theta}) \right\rangle_E d\theta \\
& \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z) (z^2 f)'(z), (zf)'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z) \\
&\quad + r^4 \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z) (f)'(z), (f)'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z) \\
&= 2r^2 \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left\langle P[\mu](z) (zf)'(z), (zf)'(z) \right\rangle_E dA(z) \\
& \left\| M_{z^2} f \right\|_\mu^2 + \|f\|_\mu^2 = 2 \|M_z f\|_\mu^2
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8 *Let $T \in L(H)$ be an analytic 2-isometry. Then T is unitarily equivalent to M_z on $D(\mu)$ for some measure μ .*

Lifting for 2-isometries by Agler and Stankus

Recall $T \in L(H)$ is a 2-isometry if

$$\beta_2(T) = T^{*2}T^2 - 2T^*T + I = 0.$$

In this case

$$\Delta = \Delta_T = \beta_1(T) = T^*T - I \geq 0.$$

The simplest 2-isometry is when $\text{rank}(\Delta) = 1$.

Difference between isometry and 2-isometry. (isometry of rank $(I - TT^*) = 2$ is the direct sum of rank 1 isometry) but not for 2-isometry.

T on $H^2 \oplus H^2 \oplus C \oplus C$ with $\text{rank}(\Delta) = 2$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 & \sqrt{2} \otimes 1 & 0 \\ 0 & S & 0 & \sigma \otimes 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 9 *Brownian shift of covariance σ ($\sigma > 0$) and angle θ is the block operator $B_{\sigma, e^{i\theta}}$ acting on $H^2 \oplus C$ defined by*

$$B_{\sigma, e^{i\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} S & \sigma(1 \otimes 1) \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute $\Delta = \beta_1(B_{\sigma, e^{i\theta}}) = \sigma^2(1 \otimes 1)$.

Proposition 10 *If $\text{rank}(\Delta) = 1$ and T is pure, then T is unitarily equivalent to $B_{\sigma, e^{i\theta}}$.*

skip proof

Definition 11 *Brownian unitary of covariance σ is an operator which is unitarily equivalent to*

$$U \oplus \int_{\oplus} B_{\sigma, e^{i\theta}}^{(n(\theta))} d\mu(\theta) \text{ on } H \oplus \int_{\oplus} (H^2 \oplus C)^{n(\theta)}$$

where μ is a finite positive measure on $[0, 2\pi)$ and $n(\theta)$ is a μ measurable multiplicity function.

Proposition 12 *B is a Brownian unitary of covariance σ if and only if B has the block form*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} V & \sigma E \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } K = K_0 \oplus K_1$$

where V an isometry, U an unitary and E an isometry maps K_1 onto $\ker V^$.*

skip proof

Theorem 13 *Let $T \in L(H)$ be a 2-isometry of covariance σ , then T is unitarily equivalent to a part of a Brownian unitary $B \in L(K)$ of covariance σ .*

PROOF

We need to construct B on K and also an isometry

$$L : H \rightarrow K = K_0 \oplus K_1$$

such that

$$LT = BL.$$

Here is the L

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{(I - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\Delta)} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will use $LT = BL$ to construct B .

$$\begin{aligned}
LT &= \begin{bmatrix} \delta T \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta}T \end{bmatrix} \\
BL &= \begin{bmatrix} V & \sigma E \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} V\delta + E\sqrt{\Delta} \\ U\frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
U\frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta} &= \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\Delta}T \\
V\delta + E\sqrt{\Delta} &= \delta T
\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite the second equation as the third equation

$$V\delta + E\sqrt{\Delta} = VV^*\delta T + (I - VV^*)\delta T$$

First equation

$$U \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\Delta} T$$

Now define U_0 on $H_1 = \text{Range}(\sqrt{\Delta})^\perp$ by

$$U_0 \sqrt{\Delta} h = \sqrt{\Delta} T h, h \in H$$

and extend U_0 to be an unitary U on K_1 .

write proof U_0 is an isometry

Second equation

$$V\delta + E\sqrt{\Delta} = \delta T$$

Define V_0 on

$$Range(\delta)^- = R(\delta T)^- \oplus (R(\delta)^- \ominus R(\delta T)^-)$$

by

$$V_0 \text{ on } R(\delta T)^- : V_0 \delta T h = \delta h,$$

$$V_0 \text{ on } R(\delta)^- \ominus R(\delta T)^- : V_0 = 0$$

and extend V_0 to a coisometry V^* on K_0 .

write proof V_0 is a contraction

Third equation

$$V\delta + E\sqrt{\Delta} = VV^*\delta T + (I - VV^*)\delta T$$

Finally define E from $K_1 = H_1 \oplus (K_1 \ominus H_1)$ onto $(I - VV^*)K_0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} E \text{ on } H_1 &= R(\sqrt{\Delta})^- : E\sqrt{\Delta}h = (I - VV^*)\delta Th \\ E \text{ on } K_1 \ominus H_1 &: \text{ an arbitrary isometry } F \end{aligned}$$

Note E maps H_1 onto $(I - VV^*)\text{Range}(\delta T)^-$,
so F has to map $K_1 \ominus H_1$ onto M where

$$M = (I - VV^*)K_0 \ominus (I - VV^*)R(\delta T)^-$$

The existence of F requires that

$$\dim(K_1 \ominus H_1) = \dim(M)$$

which can be achieved by the freedom on K_1 .

write proof E on H_1 is an isometry

The original C^* -algebra proof of lifting theorem is based on the following abstract Theorem by **Agler**.

Let $C^{m \times m}[x, y]$ denote the set of the polynomials in x and y with $m \times m$ matrix coefficients. If

$$h = \sum c_{ij} y^j x^i \in C^{m \times m}[x, y]$$

and a is an element of a C^* -algebra with unit, then define $h(a) \in A^{m \times m}$ (the C^* -algebra of $m \times m$ matrices with entries in A) by

$$h(a) = \sum c_{ij} a^{*j} a^i.$$

If $T \in L(H)$, then $h(T)$ is an operator from $H^{(n)} = H \oplus H \oplus \cdots \oplus H$ (m copies) into $H^{(n)}$.

Theorem 14 *Let A to be a C^* -algebra with unit and fix $a \in A$. An operator $T \in L(H)$ has the form*

$$\pi(c)|_H$$

where $\pi : A \rightarrow L(K)$ is a unital $$ -representation, $K \supseteq H$ and H is invariant for $\pi(c)$ if and only if $h(T) \geq 0$ whenever $m \geq 1$, $h \in C^{m \times m}[x, y]$ and $h(c) \geq 0$.*

Lecture III. M -isometries on Banach spaces and related operators

Caixing Gu

California Polytechnic State University

San Luis Obispo, California

Operator Winter School, South Korea

December 21-24, 2014

Plan of the talk

- Definitions
- Spectrum and norm
- Examples—weighted shifts
- Related operators—Reversing inequality

A bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space H is an m -isometry if all $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_m(T, h) &: = \langle \beta_m(T)h, h \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k h\|^2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

An bounded linear operator T on a Banach space X is called an (m, p) -isometry if

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) & \tag{1} \\ : &= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \|T^k x\|^p = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.\end{aligned}$$

Then recursive formula

$$\beta_{m+1}(T) = T^* \beta_m(T) T - \beta_m(T) \tag{2}$$

becomes

$$\beta_{(m+1,p)}(T, x) = \beta_{(m,p)}(T, Tx) - \beta_{(m,p)}(T, x).$$

write the proof

If H_0 is an invariant subspace of T , then

$$\beta_m(T|H_0, h_0) = \beta_m(T, h_0) \quad (3)$$

where $T|H_0$ is the restriction of T to H_0 .

skip some decomposition theorem

Spectrum

Proposition 1 *If T is an m -isometry or an ∞ -isometry, then $\sigma_{ap}(T) \subseteq \partial D$. Therefore either $\sigma(T) = D^-$ or $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial D$. In particular T is left invertible.*

write the proof

Reproducing formula on H for an m -isometry T :
for $n \geq m$

$$T^{*n}T^n = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(T)$$

Reproducing formula on X

$$\|T^n x\|^p = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \quad (4)$$

Maybe write the proof.

Therefore for all $x \in X$,

$$\beta_{(m-1,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$$

Write the proof.

Note also the right side of (4) is a polynomial of degree $m - 1$ or less.

Norm

Therefore if T is a strict m -isometry, then for constant c, C ,

$$Cn^{m-1} \geq \|T^n\|^2 \geq cn^{m-1} \text{ for } n \geq m.$$

A power-bounded m -isometry is an isometry.

Examples

If X is finite dimensional, we do not know.

Example 2 Assume T and $Q \in B(H)$ are commuting and T is an m -isometry and Q is a nilpotent operator of order ℓ . Then $T + Q$ is an $(m + 2\ell - 2)$ -isometry.

Not true on Banach space

Example 3 Assume T and $S \in B(X)$ are commuting. Assume T is an (m, p) -isometry and S is an (l, p) -isometry. Then TS is an $(m + l - 1, p)$ -isometry.

skip the proof

Example 4 Let l_p denotes the Hilbert space with basis $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. A unilateral weighted shift T on l_p is defined by $Te_j = w_j e_{j+1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Without loss of generality, assume all weights are positive. Then T is a strict m -isometry if and only if there exists a polynomial $P(x)$ of degree $m - 1$ such that $P(n) > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$(w_n)^p = \frac{P(n+1)}{P(n)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (5)$$

For the bilateral shifts case (m has to be odd), we only need to change both " $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ " in the above to " $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ".

In order to prove the above example, we look at the reproducing formula more closely. For $n \geq m$,

$$\|T^n x\|^p = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \quad (6)$$

It turns out the above formula is automatically true (T does not have to be an m -isometry) for $0 \leq n \leq m-1$ if one interprets $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ if $n < k$. We state this formally as a lemma.

Lemma 5 *Let $T \in B(X)$. For $x \in X$, Then the unique polynomial $P_x(y)$ interpolating $\{(k, \|T^k x\|^p, 0 \leq k \leq m-1)\}$ is*

$$P_x(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{y}{k} \beta_k(T, x)$$

where for a real number x ,

$$\binom{y}{k} = \frac{y(y-1) \cdots (y-k+1)}{k!}.$$

skip the proof.

The following characterization of m -isometry seems to be a slight change of perspective to the reproducing formula, but it is proved to be very powerful. This characterization essentially follows from the following combinatorial (or difference equation) fact. Let Z denote the set of integers and Z_+ denote the set of nonnegative integers.

Lemma 6 *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in Z_+}$ be a sequence of real number, then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} a_{j+k} = 0 \text{ for } j \geq 0$$

if and only if there exists a polynomial $P(y)$ of degree less than or equal to $m - 1$ such that $a_n = P(n)$. In this case $P(y)$ is the unique polynomial interpolating $\{(k, a_k), 0 \leq k \leq m - 1\}$.

Proposition 7 *Let $T \in B(X)$. For any $x \in X$, set $a_n := \|T^n x\|^p$. Then T is an m -isometry if and only for each x there exists a polynomial $P_x(y)$ of degree less than or equal to $m - 1$ such that $a_n = P_x(n)$.*

write the proof.

Theorem 8 *Let T weighted shifts. Then T is an m -isometry if and only if the reproducing formula holds only for $x = e_0$. Equivalently T is a strict m -isometry if and only if there exists a polynomial $P(x)$ of degree equal to $m - 1$ such that $\|T^n e_0\|^p = P(n)$ for $n \in Z_+$ (or $n \in Z$).*

write the proof

Theorem 9 *Let T be a strict (m, q) -isometric weighted shift (bilateral or unilateral) on l_p for $m \geq 2$ and $q \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exist $m_0 \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ such that $(m, q) = (k(m_0 - 1) + 1, kp)$ and T is a strict (m_0, p) -isometry on l_p .*

skip the proof

1 Related operators

Recall that for $T \in B(X)$ and $x \in X$,

$$(-1)^m \beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \|T^k x\|^p.$$

Throughout the paper, in particular in the following definition, $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ really means $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$.

We will define several class operators on X by using $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x)$. These operators have been studied on Hilbert spaces starting by Agler's paper on hypercontractions.

Definition 10 For $T \in B(X)$ and $m \geq 1$.

- (1) T is (m, p) -contractive if $(-1)^m \beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$;
- (2) T is (m, p) -hypercontractive if $(-1)^k \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$;
- (3) T is completely p -hypercontractive if $(-1)^k \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ for $k \geq 1$;
- (4) T is (m, p) -expansive if $(-1)^m \beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \leq 0$;
- (5) T is (m, p) -hyperexpansive if $(-1)^k \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \leq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$;
- (6) T is completely p -hyperexpansive if $(-1)^k \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \leq 0$ for $k \geq 1$;
- (7) T is (m, p) -alternatingly expansive if $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$;
- (8) T is (m, p) -alternatingly hyperexpansive if $\beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$;
- (9) T is alternatingly p -hyperexpansive if $\beta_{(k,p)}(T, x)$ for $k \geq 1$.

write out for $k = 1, 2$.

I will prove an surprising inequality for $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x)$.

Reversing inequality: $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$
implies $\beta_{(m-1,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$.

When $m = 2$ on a Hilbert space, this is due to Richter.

Lemma 11 *Let $T \in B(X)$, $n \geq m \geq 1$ and $x \in X$.
Then*

$$\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) = \beta_{(m-1,p)}(T, Tx) - \beta_{(m-1,p)}(T, x), \quad (7)$$

$$\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) = \|T^m x\|^p - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x), \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, Tx) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n+1}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x) + \binom{n}{m-1} \beta_{(m,p)}(T, x). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

maybe write the proof of third equality

Theorem 12 (a) If $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$, then for $n \geq m$,

$$\|T^n x\|^p \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x), x \in X. \quad (10)$$

(b) If $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$, then for $n \geq m$,

$$\|T^n x\|^p \geq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{(k,p)}(T, x), x \in X. \quad (11)$$

write the proof

write the proof of **Reversing inequality**

Some applications of Reversing inequality

Lemma 13 *Let $T \in B(X)$. If T is invertible, then*

$$\beta_{(m,p)}(T^{-1}, x) = (-1)^m \beta_{(m,p)}(T, T^{-m}x).$$

When $T \in B(H)$, then

$$\beta_m(T^{-1}) = (-1)^m T^{-*m} \beta_m(T) T^{-m}.$$

Corollary 14 *Assume T is invertible.*

If $\beta_{(m,p)}(T, x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and some even m , then T is an $(m - 1, p)$ -isometry. In particular if T is an invertible (m, p) -isometry for some even n , then T is also an $(m - 1, p)$ -isometry.

Conclusion. Invertible strict (m, p) -isometry only for ODD m .

write the proof

Berger-Shaw type result by Agler and Stankus.

We are now back on Hilbert spaces.

Proposition 15 *Let m be even. Let $T \in B(H)$ be an m -isometry. If T is finitely cyclic, then $\beta_{m-1}(T)$ is a compact operator.*

Next we will generalize this result to m -expansive operators by using Reversing inequality in Calkin algebra $B(H)/K(H)$

Let \mathcal{A} denote a C^* -algebra with identity. For $t \in \mathcal{A}$, we write

$$\beta_m(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} t^{*k} t^k.$$

We have the following definition similar to Definition 10 but only stated partially.

Definition 16 *Let $t \in \mathcal{A}$. We say t is m -isometric, m -contractive, m -expansive if $\beta_m(t) = 0$, $(-1)^m \beta_m(t) \geq 0$, $(-1)^m \beta_m(t) \leq 0$ respectively.*

Theorem 17 *Let $t \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(a) If $\beta_m(t) \leq 0$, then for $n \geq m$

$$t^{*n}t^n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(T).$$

If $\beta_m(t) \geq 0$, then the above inequality with \geq holds.

If $\beta_m(t) = 0$, then the above inequality becomes an equality.

(b) If $\beta_m(t) \leq 0$, then $\beta_{m-1}(t) \geq 0$.

write the proof of (b).

Theorem 18 *Let $T \in B(H)$ and $\pi(T)$ be its image in the Calkin algebra.*

(a) Assume $\pi(T)$ is invertible. If $\beta_m(\pi(T)) \leq 0$ for some even m , then $\pi(T)$ is an $(m - 1)$ -isometry. In particular if $\pi(T)$ is an invertible m -isometry for some even n , then $\pi(T)$ is also an $(m - 1)$ -isometry.

write the proof

Theorem 19 *Let m be even. Let $T \in B(H)$ be an m -expansive operator. If T has a finite-dimensional co-kernel, then $\beta_{m-1}(T)$ is a compact operator.*

write the proof